

DEDICATED TO



Late Smt. Tripuraneni Mani Chowdary

The memory of my wife, late Smt. Tripuraneni Mani Chowdary who inspired and sustained me in all my endeavours to deliver service and not merely administer rules and to demolish the monopoly in telecom services and bring them within the reach of the poorest of the poor citizens of this great country and transforming the telecoms into electronic – photonic - wireless infrastructure for storage and distribution and exchange of electrified information in all its forms.

Other Works By Dr. T.H. Chowdary

➤ Books:

1. The Tales the Telephones Tell (1981)
(Telephone Cheppina Kathalu in Telugu-First persons Narration of Telecom persons while in duty)
2. From America to Andhra (1982)
(Plays with telegraph & telephone services as themes) (Telugu)
3. We The Telephone Men in Service (1982)
(Delineation of the duties, functions and work of DOT's employees)
4. Right Number: Cheap Service, Telephones Unlimited (1983)
(Play on how telephone services are administered by techno-bureaucrats and ministers)
5. Telephoning Rural Areas of Andhra Pradesh (1987)
6. Are You Listening ? (1990)
(The story of his struggles in the DOT to deliver service and not merely administer rules)
7. Issues In Telecom De-Monopolisation In India (1999)
8. P-Telcos In India: Why Did India Get Them So Wrong? (2000)
9. India Under Strain (2002)
10. India! Speak Up !! (2003)
11. India: Explorations (2004)
12. ICTs for Classes and Masses (2004)
13. In True Conscience (2006)
14. Alochinchandi (2007)*
15. Yedhechchasi Tadhakuru (2008)*
16. Thamasoma Jyothirgamaya (2008)*
17. Adigi Telusukondi (2008)*
18. Testing Times (2008)
19. Telecoms, IT & Society (2009)

➤ Monographs:

- 1) Dynasty and Corruption (1997)
- 2) Federalism and Coalition Governments in India - Emerging Challenges in Center-State Relations (2005)
- 3) India Under Assault (2006)
- 4) A Country with Minorities Overwhelming Un-unifiable Majority (2006)
- 5) The Summer Soldier and Sunshine Patriot (2011)
- 6) Why Grieve over Babri "Masjid"? How About Somnath, Rama and Thousands of Other Temples ? (2014)
- 7) India's Nation-hood Before and After 1947 (2013)
- 8) Patel, Nehru and Modi (2014)
- 9) Secularism- Precept and Practice in Post -Independence India (2014)
- 10) Truth & Reconciliation Commission for India (2015)

➤ Journals:

1. Journal of the C.T.M.S / ICTs and Society (since Jan 1992)
2. Bharatiya Pragna (since Jan 1996)
3. "Secularism" Combat (since May 2003)

* In Telugu

Truth Triumphs

Bharat on Freedom Road

Dr. T. H. Chowdary

A Publication by:
Sri Hanuman Mani Education & Culture Trust
March, 2017

Published by:

Sri Hanuman Mani Education & Culture Trust

Author :

Dr. Tripuraneni Hanuman Chowdary

Printed: First Edition: March 2017

Copies: 500

Price: Rs. 150/-

Available from:

- 1) **Sahithya Niketan**
3-4-852, C/o Kesava Nilayam,
Barkatpura,
Hyderabad - 500 027
T: (40) 2756-3236
email : sahithyaniketanap@gmail.com

- 2) **Trust office:**
Plot No: 8, P&T Colony
Karkhana
Secunderabad-500009
T: (40) 2784-3121

Printed at :

Colour Graphics

D. No. 3-1-151, Bhagyalaxmi Nilayam,
Nimboli Adda, Kachiguda,
Hyderabad - 500 027.
Mobile: 8686963078

INDEX

Preface	i	21. Peculiarism of Indian "Secularism"	98
Foreword	iii	22. Regulate Places of Worship	100
Prologue	vii	23. Diabolical "Secularism"	103
1. Bangladeshi Muslim Infiltrators	01	24. Kashmir Problem can be Settled	105
2. Terrorism and How to Eliminate it	03	25. Muslim League (Pre-1947) Strikes Back	109
3. IIT being Conceived by Jawaharlal Nehru Historic Lies	13	26. Verdict on Rama Janmabhoomi	111
4. The Pitiabale Plight of Hindus in their only Land	16	27. Somnath Day	114
5. Why don't many Hindus vote for the BJP ?	20	28. Weakening and Destroying our Nationhood	118
6. Not Dialogue, Not Tolerance But Reciprocal Reverence	32	29. Urbanisation – An Alternative and a Less Painful Process	124
7. Challenges of China to India and World	37	30. Opium Feed to People	131
8. MIM in Hyderabad	42	31. Rulership for "Minorities" - Servitude for Hindus	134
9. Midwifing the Birth of Ninety Pakistans in India	46	32. Disintegrationist Development & Wasting Welfare	139
10. Kashmir's Unceasing Secessionist Movements	51	33. Political Parties Regulatory Authority of India	151
11. Kashmir's Separatist Movement	53	34. Sri P V Narasimha Rao, Mauna Muni - Deng Tsiao Ping of India	155
12. National Integration and Security – Religious Fundamentalism	57	35. Eliminating the Poor or Poverty?	159
13. What the Maoists/ PWG can do to gain the gratitude of the people of Andhra Pradesh (and India)	67	36. Ill Fares The Land Where "Welfare" Substitutes For Work	162
14. Welfare Without Wealth & Work	72	37. Trivialisation of Engineering Education	173
15. Losing Friends And Appeasing Non-Friends (Enemies)	76	38. Reservation for Muslims	176
16. Reservations for Muslims – Jawaharlal Nehru's Failed Attempt	79	39. The Changing Indian Family System: Westernisation & Globalisation or Modernisation ?	186
17. Fee Reimbursement by Government of A.P for Students	83	40. <i>Book Review: The Kalam Effect - My Years with the President By P.M.Nair, IAS (Retd.)</i>	213
18. Congress organizing Muslims (and Christians) to Disintegrate the Nation	87	41. Rotten Rulers and Infected Officers In The Governance of Andhra Pradesh	220
19. Unpardonable Ingratitude	90	42. Moral Corruption is More Injurious And Dangerous Than Every Other Type of Corruption.	226
20. Nationalise Inter-State Rivers	95		

43.	Teachers as Gurus To Ignite Intelligence, Energize Divinity and Promote Harmony And Peace Among Peoples	230	66.	Backwardness as a Badge for Power	325
44.	How not to be Dictated and Ruled by Minorities	245	67.	Israel and Gaza	327
45.	The Menace of Regional Parties	250	68.	Permanent Poverty for the Poor Prosperity for Politicians	330
46.	Media Must Have Duties, Not Only Rights	254	69.	Who should be in the TTD and other Temple Trust Boards in the Telugu States	333
47.	Haj Subsidies	257	70.	Separate sub-plan for Minorities	336
48.	Is the Jagan Phenomenon Unique and Unprecedented?	259	71.	The Savagery of Fundamentalist Islamists	338
49.	The First And Essential Steps For Bringing Down Corruption	264	72.	All - free Praja Parties in Telugu States	341
50.	If This is Not Crisis What Else is?	268	73.	The Inimitable Sardar	343
51.	Js. Rajinder Sochar Report - Re-hash of the Muslim Leagues (1938) Pirpur Report	271	74.	Less known facts about the great Jawaharlal Nehru	347
52.	Tackling Maoist Insurgency – Tribal (like Muslim) First Programs And Special Administration	278	75.	What Spain, Poland, Russia and African people did to alien Conquerors and Domestic Tyrants	351
53.	Feudalisation of Indian Politics	281	76.	The Pleasures and Privileges of Being Poor	354
54.	Ushering in Minority Raj	284	77.	How To Disintegrate The Hindu Society	356
55.	Dalit Uplift	286	78.	Castes in Christianity	358
56.	Immoral Governments Encourage Criminal Businesses	289	79.	Shuddhi, Ghar Vapasi and Reservations - Are Hindus a Community like Muslims & Christians?	360
57.	Kashmir Film Festival & Ambedkar Students' Association	293	80.	Muslim Clerics Questioning the RSS on Hindu Rashtra	364
58.	Son-stroked Politicians	296	81.	Mother Teresa's Motive	370
59.	Legacy of Maulana Azad	301			
60.	Sardar Patel - A Proper Appraisal	306			
61.	Population, Development, & Employment	311			
62.	Pamulaparthi Venkata Narasimha Rao The Pradhama Bharatiya Pradhana Manthri	314			
63.	The Uniqueness in Sri Modi's Victory	317			
64.	Certifying but not Qualifying "Education"	319			
65.	Why did America drop not one but two atom bombs on Japan?	323			

Dt: 13//03/2017

Truth Triumphant: Bharat on Freedom Road

PREFACE

N VITTAL*

I am very happy that this book, “*Truth Triumphant: Bharat on Freedom Road*” is being released at the right time and by the right people. Most important, it has also been authored by the right person.

Singlehandedly, Dr. Hanuman Chowdary, who has been honoured by the Government of India this year with the Padma Shri, has carried out more than two decades at his own cost a campaign against the highly biased and highly financed secular intelligentsia which controlled the print and electronic media as well as the academic institutions and the entire spectrum of government organisations. These intelligentsia have systematically distorted the history and truth about the heritage of India and misinterpreted the constitution to ensure that the benefits of the freedom of the country flow not to the majority of the citizens, but to the so-called “minorities”.

Nearly two decades ago, Dr. Hanuman Chowdary launched his journal, **Secularism Combat** as a challenge to the magazines run by the secular intelligentsia. This book contains the collection of 81 articles. Each article brings out the degree of distortion and prejudice displayed by the secular intelligentsia.

The title of the book, “*Truth Triumphant: Bharat on Freedom Road*” is the English rendering of our Government’s motto which is taken from the Mundakaupanishad. The Padma Shri conferred on Dr. Hanuman Chowdary this year by the government was for his unique contribution for the development of the communication infrastructure of our country.

This book is a reflection of another dimension of the many splendoured personality of Dr. Hanuman Chowdary, that is, his commitment to the heritage and culture of India and his readiness to combat powerful opponents on an ideological front. His success is not surprising because he is on the side of truth.

I wish the book and Dr. Hanuman Chowdary continued success.

(*IAS, Retd, Former Secretary, Department of Telecommunications , Chairman, Telecom Commission, Central Vigilance Commissioner And Padma Vibhushan awardee)

Flat no: 12, 3rd floor, “Sreela”
No: 22, Gilchrist Avenue, (Off. Harrington Road)
Chatput, Chennai- 600031
T: (44) 2836-5533
M: 9841292698
nagarajanvittal@hotmail.com

FOREWORD

*If you want to be at peace with yourself,
do not mind to be at war with the world.*

-Max Mueller

I graduated as a telecom engineer; was in All India Radio and ; then in the Department of Telecommunications rose up to Dy Director General and finished the career in government as the first Chairman & Mg. Director of the state –owned Videsh Sanchar Nigam. While in the High School, I was active in hailing the Azad Hind Fauz and Netaji Subhaschandra Bose; while in Loyola college and College of Engineering, Guindy, both in Chennai . I was drawn to the Communist Party and was elected as President of the Engineering College Students Association as Communist (July 1951) not because of my communist leaning but because I was seen as a first ranker and an orator. As a student, I was writing and speaking on a variety of subjects. I studied Marx, Engels, Lenin, Stalin, SA Dange and D.D Kosambi. I was also sufficiently influenced by my great clansmen, Tripuraneni Ramaswamy Chowdary, a Bar-t-Law, poet, social reformer, rationalist, polemicist and his equally worthy son, Gopichand, a great writer, film-director who progressed from atheism- rationalism, socialism, humanism to Aurobindoism finally. My social consciousness as son of a farmer, in the company and under the influence of rationalists and communists in my youth (well into my late twenties) was as keen as my proficiency in telecom engineering and services while in government service and later in information technology, as I.T Advisor to Government of Andhra Pradesh (1997-2004).

Nikita Khrushchev's disclosures at the 20th Party Congress (1954) about Stalin's crimes led me to read several books by former communist intellectuals; Arthur Koestler; George Orwell, Andre Gide, Richard Wright, Stephen Crossman, Sitaram Goyal, Ram Swaroop, Minoo Masani. At the same time I was also reading communist writers, Howard Fast (Freedom Road, Spartacus, Peekskill USA); Notes from the Gallows (Julius Fucik), The Socialist Sixth of the World by Hewlett Johnson , the Red Dean of Canterbury. These

studies led me to not merely read but study our own classics, Mahabharata, Ramayana and Bhagawata and the Upanishads by several Bharatiya scholar-statesmen - Loka Manya Tilak, Aurobindo, Rajaji, KM Munshi; our history (R.C. Mazumdar, K.M. Panikkar, Grant Duff, and philosopher- historians (Arnold Toynbee and Will Durant). I started realising that false and inadequate ideas in Marxism and communism and romantic socialism are alienating us from India's ancient universal and eternal knowledge and wisdom, Sanatan Dharma, generally called Hinduism from a few centuries past. I was drawn to the Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan. I had conversations with Kulapati Munshiji; Prof N G Ranga and Rajaji I picked up enough Sanskrit to read in Devanagari and understand our Itihasas and Kaavyas.

While I was in Yemen on an United Nations assignment I read the Koran and observed Islam in actual life. That led me to read the Old and New Testaments of the Bible; the rise of Christianity; the destruction of the ancient, tolerant, nature-centric faiths and civilisations and nations by the mutually antagonistic Abrahamic religions Christianity and Islam (and their child Marxism-Leninism-Stalinism- Mao-ism) .

During all my service life and work in the Government's Department of Telecoms I strove to people-orient telecoms and eventually their demonolisation , private sector entry, competition and regulation to fully benefit by the potential of technology to realise the dream of "haath haath mein telephone; gaon gaon mein Internet". That story is told in some of my books (see list).

But what is the use of study of anything and technology if the knowledge and lessons of history are not used to promote right thinking and right action among many for social good and prosperity for people? With this in mind, besides my professional exertions, I have been writing and speaking on politics, history, society, population, economic and moral development , education, nationhood, national security and integrity, welfare/ work ethic; divisive, feudalising, proprierised politics, parties, ICT -based electioneering and balloting and the actions of "resident non-Indians" (RNI) to

annihilate Hinduism (not caste in it) by “permanentifying” castes and setting each caste group against all others in the name of “social justice” and uniting the “minorities” by religion (theological and political). Essays of mine on these themes, amount to over two thousand in English and Telugu. Some in this collection were written over a period of time (date given against each article); some may require supplementing but I did not undertake that to preserve the theme-time connection.

I hold that on 14/15 August 1947, Hindus did not get freedom and self -rule. Muslims who claimed, asserted, rioted and voted to be a separate nation got independence from Britain and Hindus. They created the Islamic states of Pakistan and Bangladesh and got rid of Hindus, Sikhs and Buddhists almost completely in Pakistan and largely (to 7% and falling from 35%) in Bangladesh. A great majority of Muslims in what is now Indian territory rioted and voted to divide India had stayed on and since Aug 1947 increased from 9.8% to 16% (including 30 mln Bangladesh’s Muslim infiltrators and now, Rohingyas too) of India’s population.

India can make no law or rule which is not acceptable to the “minority” i.e Muslims. Electoral politics, increasingly influenced by regional parties are in subversion of secularism, one of the basic features of our Constitution, promoting separateness and separatism of minorities i.e Muslims (and now-a-days Christians too). Some articles (eg. 30) of the Constitution are interpreted and operated to give rights and privileges to minorities (i.e Muslims and Christians) that are not available to “Hindus” (divided into over 4500 castes, each a miniscule minority, some of them being inveigled into conversion to a faith largely being discarded in its homelands by the converting missions receiving humongous funds in undetectable and unstopped ways).

The articles published in this collection are only a fraction of the many published during the period from 24-12-2008 to 4-3-2015. Some selected ones from later articles may be published in the months/years to come.

I thank my great patron (and mentor too) Padma Vibhushan Dr F.C.Kohli , the founder of India’s largest I.T Company, Tata Consultancy Services (TCS) and Sri B. Ramalinga Raju, the founder of Satyam Computer Services & Sify Technologies; the first Andhra promoted global I.T companies.

My wife, the late Smt. Mani encouraged me to speak and write truthfully, fearlessly and never to be afraid of standing upto any superior in the government or power-wielding politicians.

My sons Dr T.Prabhakar and Er. T.Mahidhar and my daughters - in-law Dr. Bharathi & Rajeswari have not restrained me from expressing my views that are critical and derisive (or denunciatory) of the populist politicians in power who could be vindictive to affect the pursuits of my sons .

I thank Sri G.Padma Reddy, my colleague in the DOT and a very good friend of mine for his suggestions in selection of articles and patiently proof -reading this book.

My work has been and is possible largely due to the indefatigable labour of my angelic associate since 1992 Smt. A.L.Sujatha who joyfully puts up with my tempers and exacting demands of work. Smt. P.Parijatha was another cheerful associate for long. She went through the proofs.

Sri Kondala Rao was my Secretary when I was I.T Advisor (1997-2004) to the Government of Andhra Pradesh . He is an example of how a government official could be a servant and not master and friend of common people; respectful, counselling and guiding them.

I thank all of them and my printer Sri A.Rajender who never minded redoing many contents repeatedly.



(Dr T.H.Chowdary)

Dt: 13-03-2017

PROLOGUE

Truth Triumphant: Bharat on Freedom Road

(Although the articles in this collection were written on the dates indicated, their publication now deserves comment on a current development – the surge of the Bharatiya Janata party in the polls since 2014 for the Lok Sabha, State Legislative Assemblies, local bodies and most remarkably, the BJP's stunning victory in the election to U.P's Legislative Assembly, trouncing the caste and "minority" mobilising parties. That a nationalist party can win without appeasing a divisive, separatist "minority" is proved by the BJP not putting up a single "minority" person for election either in 2014 or 2017 in UP. Hence is this article on a current topic in the time-characterised collection.)

The stunning and unprecedented victory of the Bharatiya Janata Party in the elections in March 2017 to the Uttar Pradesh Legislative Assembly is characterised by two significant facts. One, the BJP did not put up a single Muslim as its candidate just like in 2014 for the Lok Sabha elections. Two, the BJP included Rama Mandir in Ayodhya in its election manifesto.

The Samajwadi, the Bahujan Samaj and the Sonia Congress parties have all been competitively appeasing and wooing Muslims, Yadavas and Dalits in UP describing themselves as protectors of these groups from the exploitative and oppressive upper caste, communal Hindus.

The BJP's victories since 2013 in several states, for the Legislative Assemblies and local bodies show that the Mullah-Missionary-Marxist-Left / liberal "secular" slandering of the BJP as a Hindu communal party and holding Indian Union Muslim League (IUML) and All India Majlis-e-Ittehadul Muslimeen (AIMIM)as secular parties with whom they can have alliance to save "secularism" no longer fools most Hindus.

Looking back, we should realise that in August 1947, Hindus – Bharatiyas did not get freedom from foreign rule. Muslims of India got freedom both from the imperial British and a possible majority Hindu rule in independent, democratic India. Pakistan (including Bangladesh) was carved out as Islamic state as a result of rioting and voting by Muslims (Direct Action since Aug 16, 1946 in Bengal). The 18% Hindus and Sikhs of (West) Pakistan and 35% Hindu –Buddhists of (East) Pakistan, (Bangladesh since 1972) had been ethnically cleansed to less than 2% and 7% respectively in the two Muslim States on the West and East of India. Mahatma Gandhi and Jawaharlal Nehru continued to patronise the Muslims in what remains as India , urging them to stay in India despite the Muslim League's demand for complete exchange of minority populations, (a wise and correct scheme for final and lasting settlement of the Muslim problem as advocated by Dr B R Ambedkar in 1940 itself but rejected by Congress) between Pakistan and Hindustan (Muslim League and Pakistan even today call India as Hindustan). Nehru invited the Muslim Leaguers of India to join the Congress (which they did in droves) to strengthen himself against Sardar Patel in the Congress.

While Pakistan declared itself as an Islamic State, Nehru prevailed to write for us a "secular" state constitution giving to minorities ie. Muslims and Christians rights and privileges not available to Hindus (eg. Art -30 and Art -370; Art-25 freedom to propagate –and so convert; it is one way because Hindus don't convert others). While traditional and customary personal laws of Hindus in regard to marriage, inheritance

and equality of sexes had been changed to conform to our Constitution, those of Muslims are untouched as Muslims oppose change; the Constitution's directives in regard to uniform civil code and banning of cow slaughter cannot be enacted because of Muslims' opposition; polygamy and triple talaq cannot be out-lawed because Muslims oppose; Muslim's Haj pilgrimage is subsidised from state-revenues; not Hindus' pilgrimage to Amarnath and Manasarovar; Imams and Muezzins are paid salaries and their religious schools are funded by government and not the Archakas of temples and Veda Pathasalas of Hindus. In other words, India can make no laws or rules which Muslims won't accept; Hindus are unfree even to implement their Constitutions' mandates, if Muslims oppose. These freedoms to Muslims and their denial to Hindus can be corrected only by governments by party/parties which are truly secular i.e. no laws or rules or policies or programs based on the religions of different people. The Congress Party which has been converted into the property of a Dynasty of mixed races and religions is now a party of "minorities". Almost all regional parties, are in the image of Congress party are proprietary and dynastic. All these competitively woo the "minorities" and castes among Hindus thus perpetuating religion-based separatism and divisiveness and caste-based strife among Hindus and "permanence" of caste, instead of its annihilation by education, economic development, industrialisation and urbanisation.

India's democracy has been profaned. Hindus are unfree so far. Instead of integrating our people and fostering and strengthening our nationhood, an evil quartet has been at work to undermine our nation-hood, the integrity of our land and erasure of millennial heritage and culture, captured in the word Sanatan Dharma, Bharata Varsha, Bharatiyata and Bharat Mata. The surge of the Bharatiya Janata Party in the elections to the Lok Sabha in 2014 and the stunning victory in India's largest state, Uttar Pradesh (Feb-march 2017) and other States promises freedom to its millennial old Hindu polity without talk of "minorities" and

"secularism", the seductive and disintegrative words and puts Bharat on the Freedom Road to build a prosperous, powerful, intellectual, ethical Bharat that could be a Jagat Guru once again.

A Prayer

Where the mind is without fear

(fear to say I am a Hindu)

And the head is held high (as a Bharatiya)

Where Bharat is not broken up

Into fragments of minorities,

Dalits, B.Cs, MBCs, EBCs,

Progressives, radicals and guerrillas

Where words come out from the depths of truth

(and not false caste certificates from corrupt officials)

Where tireless striving creates wealth

And frees me from government welfare

Where the mind is led forward by Thee

Into ever-widening thought and action

Into that heaven of freedom let my Bharat march!

(With apologies to Gurudev Rabindranath Tagore)

Dt. 24/12/2008

Bangladeshi Muslim Infiltrators

As if the furious proliferation of resident Muslims is not of sufficient, India is now burdened with the continuing and facilitated infiltration of Bangladeshi Muslims, first into Assam and Bengal and now almost all over India. Some areas like Okhla in Delhi are predominantly Bangladeshi Muslims. These have even obtained ration cards and are entered into voter lists. These have now spread over the whole of India and could be found in places like Mumbai, Hyderabad, Chennai and even smaller places like Mehboobangar (which is about 40% Muslim) and now in the strategic city of Visakhapatnam. In fact it appears that Visakhapatnam is the target for infiltration and from there they spread into the interior parts of Andhra Pradesh.

Communists in West Bengal and the Congress party in Assam had been very solicitous of Muslims and have constituted the infiltrators into bonded vote banks. One of the reasons for the continuous victory of the communists in West Bengal is the significant Bangladeshi Muslim vote. It is estimated that there are about 30 million Bangladeshi Muslims in India. The Supreme Court had, on a number of occasions, drawn the attention of the Government of India to the menace of this illegal infiltration. Whole districts in Assam and Bengal are now Muslim-infested and are on the way to Muslim-majority. Excluding these districts in these two states and the recommendation of the Sachar Committee, the Ministry of Minority Welfare in Delhi has identified 90 more districts across the whole of India where Muslims are in significant numbers. In the 11th 5-year plan 15% of the Plan funds are earmarked for **Muslim First** development programs. In Andhra Pradesh alone, government ministers have very proudly announced that Rs. 800 Cr are set apart for development of Muslims in the state. So the proliferating residues of the pre-1947 Muslims still staying in India and the Bangladeshi infiltrators together, are claiming to be already constituting about 20% of India's population!

Successive governments including the NDA in Delhi and the Assam Gana Parishad in Assam have failed to tackle these illegal infiltrators. These are hosted and facilitated by the residues of Muslim Indians since some Political Parties are wanting to use them as vote banks. It is necessary that patriotic and national parties like the BJP and their various associate organizations form special units and cells to track the Bangladeshi Muslims and report on them to the police and publicise their names and their hosts and a campaign must be launched that none of these should be employed. They offer themselves for every type of job at very low wages. Lured by such low wage labour many Hindus are apt to employ them and slowly assist them, to get ration cards and enter into electoral registers and in the census. Squads of patriotic Indian youth must hunt these Bangladeshis and make their stay uncomfortable and impossible if the governments themselves do not catch them and throw them out of India. This may lead to conflict between their Muslim hosts and the hunting squads. We must face that. The BJP would be failing India and Hindus in particular if it does not organize a people's movement to identify, corral and throw out these illegal infiltrators. It may be remembered that during the Second World War President Roosevelt has corralled a few hundred thousands of Japanese into the specified areas and kept them under surveillance. Bangladeshi Muslims are particularly venomous. We may recall that on the Direct Action Day, 16 Aug 1946 it was the Bangla speaking Muslims in Calcutta that massacred more than 10,000 Hindus in that city on one day. The Naokhali murder, rape and loot unleashed on Hindus in East Bengal has no parallel in India's history. That was the first (Moslem League) government-organised pogrom in India.

Bengali friends tell us that no Hindu Bengali born in West Bengal, belonging to West Bengal would go out of the state and get menial jobs like maids, drivers, majdoors, malis, vendors etc. If any Bengali-speaking person outside that state doing a menial work can safely be assumed to be a Bangladeshi Muslim. They may have even assumed Hindu names. A Bangla speaking employee was found in the dining room of Secunderabad Club. I spoke to him in Bengali he gave me his

name as Saurab on questioning he admitted he is a Muslim from Bangladesh! So it is obvious that in order to escape delusion and expulsion they are assuming Hindu names. There are Bangladeshi Muslims women who have also assume Hindu names like Saraswati and are even sporting **bindi and kumkum** on the head. On close questioning I found them to be Bangladesh women married to the native Muslims. In the district town Mahboobnagar in one Nursing Home with which I am very familiar I have seen many Bangladesh Muslim women married to local Muslim families delivering babies. Bangladesh Muslims infiltration and their integration into local Muslim families is going on unchecked. Regional and “secular” parties are competing with one another to patronize them and to get them on to voter lists. Hence is the need for the civic society itself to tackle this menace of Bangladeshi Muslims/ infiltrators.

Dt. 08/01/2009

Terrorism and How to Eliminate it

Ideological and theological inspiration:

“Since wars begin in the minds of men, it is in the minds of men that the defenses of peace must be constructed.”

- UNESCO Constitution.

Terrorists want to impose their will upon the society, community, and the country by terrorising the opponents into silence. Usually they are a self-righteous virtuous minority but they think that they have got either the mandate of history or that of a god to impose their ideology or theological /religious goals.

- The political ideology -inspired terrorists are anarchists in pre-Soviet Russia, Stalinists, Maoists and Naxalites in our country. They do not kill innocent civilians but eliminate individually whom they think are the enemies of the people.
- Terrorists inspired by religion think that they have got the mandate of their God or Prophet. No Prophet or founder of a religion

except Judaism and Islam has ever indulged in violence, preached violence wielded arms and terrorised the Mahaveera, Buddha, Zarathustra, Jesus, Gurnanak...none of these wielded weapons or preached violence. The Prophets of Judaism and Islam did wield weapons waged battles and led armies of conquest.

- Jesus did not incite his followers to rebellion against Rome nor did he preach violence or indulge in violence. It is altogether a different matter that after the Roman emperor, Constantine embraced Christianity, Christianity got identified with conquerors and imperialists. Emperors were told by Popes that they have a duty to spread Christianity. Armies of Christians in Europe inspired by religious fervour waged crusade for nearly 300 years. They were totally repulsed and defeated.
- Hinduism, Buddhism, Jainism and Sikhism did not preach violence. On the other hand they have been saying **ahimsa paramodharmaha** - non violence is the supreme virtue. Ahimsa is almost a creed with them not merely a belief. There had been deviations but non -violence indulged in by followers of these religions have no religious or prophetic or avataric sanction for indulgence or propagation.
- Scores of member countries of the UNO adopted the Universal Declaration of Human Rights but Muslim countries in the Organization of Islamic Conference (OIC) came out with another declaration - Islamic Declaration of Human Rights, thus setting themselves apart from human beings of non-Islamic religions. It shows the theological motivation of followers of Islam.
- At the Khilafat conference in Delhi called by Maulanas Mohamemd Ali and Shaukat Ali, Gandhiji offered the support of the National Congress to the Muslim Indians Khilafat movement. He proposed one condition namely that the movement should be non-violent. The Maulana brothers did not agree; they said non-violence is not their creed. Gandhiji pleaded with them that if not a creed, they should accept non -violence as a tactic for conduct of the Khilafat movement. This is being mentioned to bring out the mental, religious and ideological attitudes of the followers of some religions for whom non-violence is not a value,

not a creed whereas for Indic religions it is a creed though it may be violated in practice. Violence has no religious sanction in Indic religions.

Ruthless, War-Like Actions to Eliminate Terrorists:

- India was tormented by anti-social, terrorists called thugs and pindaris in the 18th / 19th century. These were ruthlessly eliminated by the British who hunted them and shot them. They were not caught hold of and tried according to any law. They were anti-socials. The British law enforcement authorities killed the thugs and Pindaris whenever they were encountered. If a society or a nation or a government is committed to elimination of terrorism and lawlessness the British example is worth emulating.

Above-Ground Terrorists' Infrastructure

- Terrorists cannot operate without support structures. Indian communists, who waged a rebellion against Jawaharlal Nehru government immediately after independence characterizing him a stooge of Anglo- American imperialism, had so many above - ground fronts in the name of civil liberties, freedom of press and expression, All India Peace Council, Progressive Writers Association, Indian People Theater Association, progressive lawyers and so on. All of them were using the laws of the civilized democracy in defence of those who were waging war against the government.
- That necessitated enactment of Prevent Detention Act by the independent Government of India in the late 1940 and early 1950s. It helped quite a lot in neutralising the above -ground infrastructure of the armed men at war with India.
- Without safe houses, informers money collection including fake currency distribution, bomb factories, indoctrination schools and batteries of lawyers who utilized all the rights and freedom that civilized democratic government allowed to its peaceful citizens, without these above ground outfits (which are in fact a part of the terrorist organizations some of them operating in the name of charity) terrorists cannot be in business.

State Support to Terror:

Ali-Akbar Hashemi Rafsanjani, President of the Islamic Parliament of Iran in 1979 thundered : “if for **each Palestinian killed today in Palestine five Americans, English or French were executed, they would not commit such acts any more...there are Americans everywhere** in the world..... they protect Israel. Does their blood have any value? Scare them outside Palestine so that they don’t feel safe...there are a hundred thousand Palestinians in a country. They are educated and they work.....the factories that serve the enemies of Palestine function thanks to the work of the Palestinians. **Blow up the factory. When you work, you can take action...let them call you terrorists.....they (Americans and enemies of Islam) commit crimes and call it human rights. We call it the defense of rights of an oppressed people.....** they will say the President of the Parliament (of Iran) officially incites terror...let them say it.

(Source: Terror, Islam and democracy by Ladan Boroumand and Roya Boroumand in the book, Understanding the War on Terror, published by Foreign Affairs / Council of Foreign Relations, New York)

Rafsanjani called the west’s offense as human rights ; against it he urges Muslims **to use terror as the best weapon for defending the rights of an oppressed people**

Prime Minister Md Ali Razai of Iran called “ the take over of the US Embassy in Tehran in 1979”, the greatest political gain in the social history of the world and an act that “had forced the great Satanic power to its knees

So taking hostages is what is instigated by Islamists. The denounced projects like human rights before the UN Human Rights Committee, **as an imperialist** myth. And here in India, there are ever so many above - ground comrades of terrorists in defense of human rights, civil liberties etc.

Citizens or Patriots:

Are they Indian Muslims or Muslim Indians: Muslims are proud to say that they belong to a borderless transnational umma; that is an Islamic community. They don't have territorial loyalty but their loyalty is to their community. That is why they refuse to sing Vandemataram. Because that is salutation to the mother land. The Khilafat movement of 1919 -21 was led by the Maulana brothers Md.Ali and Shaukat Ali. That movement had nothing to do with India or India's freedom. The Caliph who was also the Sultan of Turkey led his country into an alliance with Germany and Austria and then fought against Britain and its allies. The Ottoman Sultan/ Caliph was defeated and he was stripped off all his imperial possessions - all the Arab lands and some areas in the Christian Balkan states. Muslims nowhere in the world lifted their little finger, against the stripping of the Caliph cum Sultan of Turkey from his imperial possessions but **Muslims in India started the Khilafat Movement against the British** demanding his victorious power to restore the Caliph / Sultan's privileges and jurisdiction over Mecca and Madina which are in Saudi Arabia, an Arab land which proudly felt that it was freed from the non-Arab Turkish imperial rule. Mahatma Gandhi committed the National Congress to the Khilafat movement. At that time Rabindranath Tagore & Madan Mohan Malavya questioned the wisdom of Mahatma Gandhi in supporting the communal agitation and that too not connected with India. Md. Ali Jinnah also opposed this.

The **Khilafat committee demanded of the British that Muslim soldiers in the Indian army should not be used against any Muslim country**. At the height of the Khilafat movement, the khilafatists invited the Ameer of Afghanistan to invade India in support of the Muslims cause.

Despite Mahatma Gandhi committing the entire Congress to Khilafat movement in the hope that Muslims would later join India's freedom struggle, Maulana Mohammed Ali the supremo of the Khilafat movement objected to the singing of **Vandemataram**. He said that if this slogan is raised along with it the slogan **Allah ho Akbar** must also be raised. In the Kakinada Congress held in 1923, presided over by Maulana Md.Ali, he ruled out the singing of the Vandemataram.

During the course of the Khilafat movement Md. Ali whom Mahatma Gandhi called his brother said of Mahatma Gandhi, speaking at Aligarh and Ajmere:

"However pure Mr. Gandhi's character may be, he must appear to be from the point of view of religion inferior to any Musalaman, even though he be without character".

The Statement created a great stir. Many did not believe that Mr. Mohamed Ali, who testified to so much veneration for Mr. Gandhi was capable of entertaining such ungenerous and contemptuous sentiments about him. When Mr. Mohamed Ali was speaking in a meeting held at Aminabad Park in Lucknow, he was asked whether the sentiments attributed to him were true. Mr. Mohamed Ali without any hesitation or compunction replied.

"Yes! According to my religion and creed, I do hold an adulterous and a fallen gentleman to be better than Mr. Gandhi"

So it is very clear that Muslims feel themselves Muslims first and Indians later, if at all they want to acknowledge their relationship with India. There is Sayyed Sahabuddin, a former IFS officer and formerly a Congress Member of the Parliament who is boldly asserting that they are **Muslim Indians and not Indian Muslims**. He is the Editor of the largely circulated (among Muslims) English periodical, 'Radiance'.

Two-nation Theory - Dead or Alive:

Jinnah, spurned by National Congress at its Nagpur session which opposed Congress' involvement in the communal Khilafat movement, became vengeful. After a short period of inactivity he came back with full vigor with a divisive agenda. He asserted that Muslims are not a minority in India and that by every test, **they are a separate nation. Therefore they are entitled to the partition of India to create a homeland** for the Muslims of the sub-continent in what would be known as the Islamic state of Pakistan. His great speech in support of the two-nation theory is noteworthy.

Excerpts from Mohammed Ali Jinnah
Presidential address at
All India Muslim League Lahore Session, March, 1940

“.....It is extremely difficult to appreciate why our Hindu friends fail to understand the real nature of Islam and Hinduism. They are not religions in the strict sense of the word, but are, in fact, different and distinct social orders and it is a dream that the Hindus and Muslims can ever evolve a common nationality, and this misconception of one Indian nation has gone far beyond the limits and is the cause of most of our troubles and will lead India to destruction if we fail to revise our notions in time. The Hindus and Muslims belong to two different religious philosophies, social customs, and literature. They neither intermarry, (only Hindu girls marry Moslems, but they have to convert to Islam – Ed) nor interdine together and, indeed they belong to two different civilizations which are based mainly on conflicting ideas and conceptions. Their aspects on life and after life are different. It is quite clear that Hindus and Mussalmans derive their inspiration from different sources of history. They have different epics, different heroes and different episodes. Very often the hero of one is a foe of the other and, likewise, their victories and defeats overlap. To yoke together two such nations under a single state, one as a numerical minority and the other as a majority, must lead to growing discontent and final destruction of any fabric that may be so built up for the Government of such a state.....”

When in 1942 Gandhiji launched the Quit India movement and non-cooperation for British war effort in India, Md.Ali Jinnah called upon the Indian Muslims to join the armed forces to fully cooperate with the British. He asked the British “**divide and quit**” as opposed to Gandhiji’s, “**Quit India**”. When in 1939 the Congress ministers resigned from the provincial governments objecting to imperial Britain declaring India to be at war with Germany, Md.Ali Jinnah called upon Moslems to celebrate the end of the Congress ministries, as **Liberation Day for Muslims from Hindu rule and it was widely celebrated.**

Muslims living in India have not given up their old slogan of inventing grievance after grievance, injustice after injustice. Even if some of them were resolved, they went on inventing some more. They are also saying they are insecure. They would feel insecure until Muslims rule is restored again in India. To get Muslims to feel secure in India by giving them weighted representation (33.5% in the Central Legislative Assembly for the 25% Muslims population; 50% weighted representation in the Hindu majority Provinces in 1939) they were not satisfied. They still press for the division of India. In their notorious war upon Hindus by launching Direct Action Day on 16 Aug 1948 when thousands of Hindus were killed in Calcutta in the state-sponsored pogrom of the Muslim League government in Bengal to frighten the Congress and Hindus into the acceptance of the demand for partition, which eventually was carried out on the basis of religion only.

Md.Ali Jinnah and the Muslim League first demanded there should be exchange of minority populations but when the Congress rejected it, asserting that it is not accepting the 2-nation theory although it agreed to the division of the country on religion lines, **Muslims who rioted and voted (over 98% of them) for the Muslim League in the 1945-46 election to the Central Legislative Assembly) stayed on in India.** And now the old story of “minority in danger”, “Islam in danger”, “injustices are being done to Muslims” etc., are revived.

Was Babur an Indian or Invader:

Of late they are saying that the demolition of the Babri structure is the cause of Muslims’ militancy and Islamist terrorism in India. Communal riots in India started in the 18th century itself as noted by Dr.B.R. Ambedkar. As the Muslims’ power in India weakened Hindus were becoming bold to assert their culture and religious practices leading to communal riots.

If Babri structure is so important and its pulling down is the reason for Islamist terrorism, then how about the pulling down of Somnath and 3000 more temples? Should Hindus also take to terrorism? How about the existence of mosques right adjacent to Hindus holiest places in Mathura and Kasi? Should Hindus take revenge and pull down those mosques?

Muslim Problem!

It was thought that with the partition of India the Muslim problem would end but they stayed on in India. Communal riots have not ended. The terrible communal riots of Ahmedabad in the 1960s of Meerut in the 1970s, Jabalpur in 1950s, Mumbai, Hyderabad and in several other places have taken a heavy toll. The Muslim problem and communal riots in India are as frequent and ferocious as before the partition.

Other Points:

- Urdu university in Hyderabad, technical courses in Urdu medium.
- Urdu medium education and madrasas.
- Haj houses and Haj subsidy from the tax monies of the people of India mostly Hindus. This is Zezia in a covert form.
- Poverty is related to large family size, unemployability of Urdu medium and madarasa educated persons and the dropping out of the young from schools because of the need of support of the income of the large families.
- Divisiveness as virulent as pre-1947 – bold proposition of Mughalistan by Prof. Amar Abbas in the article in the Economic & Political Weekly in Dec 2000. That is a Muslim State covering the north of Bihar (adjacent to Bangladesh) and UP through Punjab to link up with Pakistan.
- Carving out Muslim majority districts in Kerala and Haryana (Mallapuram and Mewat) and now developing 90 districts out - side Assam and West Bengal through Muslims First and Sachar programs.
- Minority colleges.
- In Andhra Pradesh Urdu Academy gets Rs. 35 cr; Telugu Academy gets Rs. 8 lakhs and Telugu University gets Rs.9 cr.
- Kashmir ethnically cleansed Hindus from the Valley
- Why not autonomy for Jammu & Laddak but autonomy only for J&K
- Pakistan solved its minority problem by ethnic cleansing; should that be the model for India?
- Why should there not be reciprocity as regards treatment for minorities in successor states namely India, Pakistan and

Bangladesh.

- Why should Muslims in India demand that India break relations with Israel and oppose America. Why don't they have any concern for Indian citizens, Hindus in Kashmir but show concern for Palestinians? Because they are Muslims. What is the message that they are sending.

How to eliminate terrorism and terrorists:

- The United Nations has directed all its members to enact special laws to fight and put down terrorism
- USA, Australia, England, Italy, Spain etc., have enacted special laws. USA even created a new Department of Inland Security. Since Sept 2001, there is not a second instance of terrorism in USA.
- The jihadis are not ordinary criminals for which the Indian criminal procedure code may be sufficient. These are combatants, enemy soldiers, at war with India. They should be hunted and eliminated.
- Confessions of terrorists/associates before police officers must be accepted as evidence. Is there any sense in not accepting the confessions of Mohammed Ajmal Kasab as evidence in India but asking Pakistan to accept what he said and ask it to extradite the terrorists.
- We cannot catch terrorists here, but ask Pakistan to catch them in that county!
- When we cannot prosecute whom we catch, is it not funny to ask Pakistan to send the Pakistan-based terrorist. When we cannot, don't hang Md Afzal, why bring Pakistan-based terrorists?
- When the Kerala Legislative Assembly unanimously urges government to release Madani of Coimbatore blasts, why catch & prosecute terrorists
- If Government of Andhra Pradesh is terrorized by militant demonstrators and chases out Taslima Nasreen and bans Ahmedias conference, how can we catch terrorists.
- If the government is terrorized to hold judicial inquiry into police action against vandals who rampage the shops of a particular community and not who threw the bombs.

IIT being Conceived by Jawaharlal Nehru Historic Lies

Smt. Sonia Gandhi, while (speaking) laying the foundation stone for the IIT in Medak, claimed that while (speaking) normally she is against laying foundation stones, she agreed to do so for the IIT in Medak because of the association of the Nehru-Gandhi family with the founding of the IITs. IITs were conceived and planned for even before we got Independence. Here are the facts.

In communist countries the currently ruling communist dictator -leader used to get the history re-written to either totally negate or underplay the contribution of his predecessors and glorify his own contribution. The same practice of Marxist historiography is being repeated in India in regard to the Nehru Dynasty.

Claims like Nehru having initiated the IITs idea and unveiling the bust of Rajiv Gandhi in Andaman & Nikobar Islands are in the nature of inventing history, a practice of Marxists, fascists and in India, Nehruvian, “secular” socialists” and their palanquin-bearers, “eminent historians” of the Marxist Madrasas, other wise known as Jawaharlal Nehru University (JNU). Rajiv Gandhi would have been an infant or not even born when Andaman Islands gained notoriety by being the distant and forlorn place to which Indian patriots of the caliber of Swatantra Veer Savarkar and numerous others had been consigned in chains. It may happen that the Red Fort and the Taj Mahal would also be one day dedicated to the nation as having been associated with the Nehru dynasty (unfurling India’s flag on independence day by Jawaharlal Nehru at the Red Fort and tributes to Taj Mahal and its architecture by Nehru in his Letters to His Daughter ...).

Pre -independence developments:

The concept of the IITs originated even before India gained independence in 1947. After the end of the Second World War and before India got independence, Sir Ardeshir Dalal from the Viceroy’s Executive Council

foresaw that the future prosperity of India would depend not so much on capital as on technology. He, therefore, proposed the setting up of the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research. To man those laboratories, he persuaded the US government to offer hundreds of doctoral fellowships under the Technology Cooperation Mission (TCM) program. However realizing that such steps can not help in the long run for the development of India after it gains independence, he conceptualized institutes that would train such work forces in the country itself. This is believed to be the first conceptualization of IITs. Unfortunately he didn’t live to see the IITs being born.

Development leading to the first IIT:

Dr Humayun Kabir encouraged Dr B. C. Roy, the Chief Minister of West Bengal to work on Sir Ardeshir’s proposal for an IIT. It is also possible that Sir J. C. Ghosh, the then Director of the Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, prompted him to do so. In 1946, Dr Kabir along with Sir Jogendra Singh of the Viceroy’s Executive Council (Department of Education, Health and Agriculture) set up a committee to prepare a proposal, and made Sir Nalini Ranjan Sarkar the chairman. The Sarkar Committee was taking too much time, but Dr Roy did not wait for the Committee to finalise its report and started working on the interim draft itself. The 22 member committee (in its interim draft) recommended the establishment of *Higher Technical Institutions* in the Eastern, Western, Northern and Southern regions of the country. Possibly on the lines of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA), these institutes were recommended to have a number of secondary institutions affiliated to them. The draft also urged the speedy establishment of all the four institutions with the ones in the East and the West to be started immediately. The committee also felt that such institutes should not only produce undergraduates but should be engaged in research — producing research workers and technical teachers as well. The standard of the graduates was recommended to be at par with those from elite institutions abroad. They felt that the proportion of undergraduates and postgraduate students should be 2:1.

L. S. Chandrakant and Biman Sen in the Education Ministry played significant role in producing a blueprint for a truly autonomous educational institution. Sir J. C. Ghosh (later to be the first Director of IIT Kharagpur) ensured liberal provisions of the IIT Act allowing the IITs to work free from nitpicking interference from the *babudom*. It is largely because of the IIT Act that IIT directors were granted authority superseding even some parts of the government. On the ground Bengal had the highest concentration of engineering industries, the Committee suggested that an IIT may be set up in that state. This encouraged Dr. Roy. to use that fragment of a report in order to persuade Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru to push through a special Act to establish an IIT in Bengal.

With the recommendations of the Sarkar committee in view and on the basis of blueprint made by L. S. Chandrakant, Biman Sen, and J. C. Ghosh, the first Indian Institute of Technology was born in May, 1950 at the site of Hijli Detention Camp in Kharagpur, a town in eastern India. Initially the IIT started functioning from 5, Esplanade East, Calcutta (now Kolkata) and shifted to Hijli in September, 1950 when J.C. Ghosh offered the place as a readymade place for the IIT. The present name 'Indian Institute of Technology' was adopted before the formal inauguration of the Institute on August 18, 1951, by Maulana Abul Kalam Azad. On 15 September 1956, the Parliament of India passed an act known as the *Indian Institute of Technology (Kharagpur) Act* declaring it as an Institute of National Importance. Jawaharlal Nehru, India's first Prime Minister, in the first convocation address of IIT Kharagpur in 1956, said: "Here in the place of that Hijli Detention Camp stands the fine monument of India, representing India's urges, India's future in the making. This picture seems to me symbolical of the changes that are coming to India."

Congress: A Fascist Organisation?

The Congress.....what is it but a fascist organisation? Gandhi is the dictator like Stalin.....what Gandhi says they accept and even the working Committee follows him; then it goes to the All India Congress Committee which adopts it, and then the Congress. There is no scope for any difference of opinion.

-Sri Aurobindo: India's Rebirth, P.213

The Pitiable Plight of Hindus in their only Land

As India goes to the polls for election to the 15th Lok Sabha and some state assemblies like Andhra Pradesh, the pitiable plight to which Hindus have been reduced in their only land Bharat for millenia beggar's? description . Every party is bending head over heels to please the "minorities", so far only Muslims but of late, Christians also. To what extent the vote -seeking and power -mongering Hindus, describing themselves as "secular", are going to please Muslims & Christians is exemplified in the states of Andhra Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh. In UP, the most unthinkable and brazenly anti-Hindu and pro- Muslim action was taken by the BSP's supremo, Mayavathi. Sri Varun Gandhi spoke about Hindus and Muslims. What is alleged to be spoken by him as denigrating Muslims has been held to be a cause enough for him to be accused and locked up, under the long dormant National Security Act (NSA). The NSA was intended to be used against terrorists and who are waging war against the State like zihadis and Maoists . Not even a Congress government would have dared to do what Mayawati did to Varun Gandhi. But Susri Mayavathi who unabashedly asserts that with 20% SC, 15% Muslim and 14% Brahmins (the newly found allies), she needs no body's support, she needs no body's acceptance of her. She can beat all others and hope to become the Prime Minister. In that insatiable quest for Prime Ministerial power, she would go to any extent to humiliate and exterminate Hindu consciousness, Hindutva, and Hinduism itself. She has no economic or social or cultural or educational or security agenda for India. Her one-point program is to become Prime Minister by whipping up caste and religion. One of her Muslim ministers offered a prize of Rs. 50 cr for anyone who would assassinate the writer and publisher of some cartoons held to be derogatory to Prophet Mohamed in the far off land, Netherlands. Such a public offer is a criminal offense according to Indian law but she did not take any action against that Muslim Minister However, Varun Gandhi's laudatory words about Hinduism and his Hindutva and his alleged questioning of Muslims attitude to India are cause enough for her to put him in jail under NSA. This is plain appeasement of Moslems and war on Hindus' self-esteem and self-confidence.

In Andhra Pradesh every leader of the casteist, regional and communal parties – Dr. Samuel Rajasekhara Reddy, Sri Chnadra Babu Naidu, Chandrasekhara Rao, Sri Chiranjeevi and lesser people like **Talasani Srinviase Yadav** and cinema actress, Jayasudha (born-Brahmin but converted to Christianity) and ever so many candidates of the “secular” parties are donning Muslim caps, going to Mosques, and Dargas in Muslim attire and invoking the blessings of Muslim Imams, Mullahs and Maulanas for their victory, promising whatever Muslims are demanding, including reservations and proportional representation; the same demands that were made in the 1930s and 1940s leading to the partition of India and creation of the Islamic state of Pakistan from where Hindus, Sikhs and Buddhists have been ethnically cleansed. In order to escape the Election Commission’s rules about communal speeches these “secular leaders” are all the while talking of welfare for “minorities”. If instead of the word “minority”, they use the words, Muslims & Christians, they could be held to be making speeches appealing to religion and invite EC action “Minority” is now a cover-word for Muslims (and Christians). Nationalists would do well to refer to Hindus as Bharatiyas and talk of the welfare protection and rights of Bharatiyas and that Bharatiyas will be given all privileges, exemptions, subsidies and special rights given to minorities.

No party, no civil society, not even the BJP which generally is believed to be a defender of Hindu interests, is talking of the discrimination and the oppression to which Hindus are being increasingly subjected. Muslim and Christian organizations can appeal to their community to vote only for those who defend and advance their interests like reservations and special rights and personal laws and so on. But Hindu politicians are not talking about the tax monies of Hindus being used to subsidize (i) the pilgrimages of Muslims & Christians to Mecca and Jerusalem; and (ii) subsidise their marriages, and the denial of such privileges, such subsidies to Hindus. They are not talking of the special charges Hindus have to pay to the State Road Transport Corporation on the occasion of their festivals when they travel from the state’s capital, Hyderabad to their native places in the districts. Those Hindus have to pay twice the normal charge to visit their kith and kin during their festivals whereas

Muslims & Christians are being subsidised for travel to Mecca and to Jerusalem. No Hindu, no “secular” is talking about (iv) as to why when Muslims (& Christians) have unlimited number of professional colleges, there should be reservation for Muslims in the non-minority professional colleges for engineering, medicine, dentistry, MBA, MCA etc. No secular or Hindu is talking about (v) why their temples alone should be managed by the government and its Christian & Muslim officials, while the mosques and churches are not managed and administered by the government but totally left to the Muslims & Christians only for management. No Hindu or “secularist” is (vi) asking why the tax monies should be utilised to perform the marriages of Muslims & Christians and not that of Hindus.

Nobody is talking about (vii) the fraud of Hindu-dalits converted to Christianity put up for elections from constituencies reserved for SC-Hindus. No Hindu or “secularist” is asking (viii) why there should be Minority Commissions, Minority State Finance Corporations, State Christian Finance Corporation, when there are no such corporations for Hindus in states where they are a minority (J&K, Meghalaya, Nagaland, Mizoram) and nowhere in the world are there such Commissions besides Human Rights Commissions at the central as well as the State level. In their own land, Hindus have now become **dhimmies**; that is, people suffered to live under no-Hindu, Moslem (and now Christian) rule in return for the taxes they pay to subsidise the minorities and their proliferation. Those who raise these questions are being branded as Hindu-communalists. Those who are demanding rights and freedom to convert Hindus, who are demanding assurances for the advancement of Muslim & Christian interests are being wooed and are called seculars. The congenitally anti-Hindu Marxist communist party hails the detention of Varun Gandhi under NSA

Raising these questions itself is expressive of the anguish and humiliation to which Hindus are being subjected. There is no country in the world where a community which constitutes 85% of its population is subjected to such discrimination in favour of “minorities”. It is a pity that neither the religious leaders of Hindus nor Hindus calling themselves “seculars” are utilising the elections, to question the leaders and candidates who

are seeking the votes of Hindus. We have Christian & Muslim organisations inviting leaders of “secular” parties, placing before them their demands for privileges and extraordinary rights and getting assurances for support for them while no such effort is forth-coming from Hindus. On the other hand, caste-wise mobilisations are encouraged by “secular” parties and claims of every caste as backward and so, representation for them in proportion to their population, is conceded. None of these caste—formations are ever asking why extraordinary privileges and favours are being promised and conferred upon Muslims & Christians but not for them. It appears that Hindus are unconsciously driven to suicidal extinction by internationally inspired and funded minority ideologies and religious theologies of foreign origin. If Hindus’ rights to be spoken is an election offense, why can’t leaders use the term Bharatias for Hindus, just as they use the term “minorities” for Muslims and Christians ?

Suppose a frog is kept in water, and the water is gradually heated to boiling point. The frog ignores the slow rise in temperature and so puts no effort to jump out and survive. If the same frog is put in boiling water, it will immediately jump out and avoid being boiled to death. The position of Hindus appears to be like that of the frog in a water which is being slowly heated. They would boil to death. If the frog is thrown into boiling water, it would immediately jump out and save itself; in a like-wise manner, if Hindus are suddenly drawn into war with Pakistan or China, they may fight and win. If anti-Hindu parties win the 2009 elections, then the process of subjugation of Hindus and extinction of Hinduism in this country started five years ago, under the over-all leadership of a foreign-born leader of the oldest party in the country, will be successfully accomplished. May readers think over our repeated warning in the words of Winston Churchill.

“If you will not fight for the right when you can easily win without bloodshed; if you will not fight when your victory will be sure and not too costly; you may come to the moment when you will have to fight with all the odds against you and only a small chance of survival. There may even be a worse case: you may have to fight

when there is no hope of victory, because it is better to perish than to live as slaves.”

And as warned by Dr. Ambedkar in his last address to the Constituent Assembly, that if India’s politicians and parties care for their caste and for their region and their language and their religion more than for the country and the nation, then India would once again loose freedom **and this time forever**. The Muslims, First programs to be implementing with 15% of the 11th Plan funds would create 90 Muslim dominated majority districts (these 90 exclude those in Assam and West Bengal). They would be clamouring first for autonomy as in J&K and then with support from “secular” parties in India and their co-religionist states outside the country, fight for independence as in Kashmir. While Md. Ali Jinnah was satisfied with division of the country into two, the “seculars” and the Marxists would be effecting the division of India into 90 non-Hindu states and a greatly weekend and disjointed and despaired and surrounded Bharat.

Dt: 10/06/2009

Why don’t many Hindus vote for the BJP ?

Dr Geeta Reddy, a senior Minister of the Congress party in the government of Andhra Pradesh proudly and gratefully stated publicly that her victory in the April/May 2009 elections to the Legislative Assembly of Andhra Pradesh is entirely due to the “minorities” (Muslims). Smt. Jayasudha born a Brahmin later converted to Christianity and a former cinema actress won as an MLA from the Congress party from the Secunderabad constituency. She has also publicly said that her victory is entirely due to the support of the “minorities” (in her case, Christians). We have an Indian Union Muslim League MP, Sri E Ahmed in the UPA’s Union Cabinet. From Hyderabad there is Asaduddin Oweisi of the MIM, a purely Muslim party. All these people have absolutely no hesitation (or shame) in saying that they are winning with the support of the “minorities”. There is not one BJP MLA or MP who would say that he has won due to the support of the “majority” (that is, Hindus).

Christian Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh Dr Y.S.Rajasekhara Reddy made a pilgrimage to Jerusalem for giving thanks to Jesus Christ for his party's signal victory in Andhra Pradesh. On his return he said that rains are coming in time in AP due to his prayers to Jesus Christ. Is there one BJP MLA or MP or a leader who would so proudly and publicly acknowledge his sincere and strongly held belief in his religion and his co-religionists for their victories?

Dr Shama Prasad Mukherjee founded the Bharatiya Janasangh in 1951 after his efforts as a Minister in Nehru's cabinet to do justice to the millions of Hindus and Sikhs and Buddhists that were being expelled from Pakistan including its then eastern wing (now Bangladesh) were frustrated by Nehru's obvious apathy to the plight of Hindus of Pakistan. While in the Cabinet he witnessed how Jawaharlal Nehru was willing to provide in 1950 reservations* to Muslims in the draft Nehru-Liaquat Ali Pact. The Jana Sangh was founded on the principles of nationalism, secularism and the indivisibility of whatever remained as India. It also stood for the integration of the J&K state into India, just like any other state and for termination of the temporary provision of Art-370 in our Constitution giving special status to J&K. In the 1952 general elections the main adversary to the Congress were communists. They had just a few months ago given up arms which they took to destroy the Nehru government as they held that India did not gain true independence and it was just a stooge of Anglo-American imperialism. Instead of attacking the Communist Party and its subversive activities, Nehru was attacking the Jana Sangh even in South India where the Jan Sangh had no set-up at all. It was becoming clear to the Janasangh that Jawaharlal Nehru would lead his Congress party to be an anti-Hindu party and that he would be seeking Muslims' votes by attacking the Jana Sangh as a Hindu communal party. That political line has become the heritage of the Congress. It has been proclaiming itself to be the defender of "minorities" (that is, Muslims). The ideology it invented for this purpose is "secularism". "Secularism" has become cover word for appeasement of Muslims (some of the appeasements are mentioned in later paragraphs). It has been wanting to ensure that all Muslims voted for it.

And that wish has been fulfilled for decades until the regional parties started pushing out the Congress Party. The Congress Party is now its former name-sake in states like Tamil Nadu, Bihar, Uttar Pradesh and Orissa. It has to align itself as a tail of one of the regional parties to get some seats in the state Assemblies and in the Parliament. As it is becoming weaker and weaker, it has been working ever harder to endear itself to Muslims. With the **(This story of why and how Liaquat Ali Khan) came to India immediately after Sardar Patel's threat that if the flood tide of Hindu refugees from East Bengal under the Muslim League government did not stop, he would liberate a few districts of that Province and provide a secure zone for the Hindu minorities of east Pakistan. Alarmed at the resolute public declaration of Sardar Patel, Liaquat Ali Khan Prime Minister of Pakistan came and met Nehru separately and in the draft agreement got Nehru's consent for provision of reservations for Muslims in India's armed forces, police and the civil services. When it came for ratification in the Cabinet, Sri N V Gadgil very boldly and vehemently opposed this as totally subversive of the secular character of the Indian state. In the event, because of Sardar Patel's support to Gadgil's stand, that fatal provision was dropped. This is narrated by Sri N V Gadgil in his book, "From Inside the Government" whose re-publication was stopped after the ascendancy of the Dynasty)* help of communists and "eminent" historians of Marxist faith, the history of India including our struggle for freedom have been re-written (or fabricated) rationalising the Islamic aggressions, plunder, iconoclasm, slaughter and reduction of Hindus to second class citizenship under humiliating impositions like the *zezia* and appropriation of vast lands of defeated Hindus as *waqf* property. Few Indians in their 20s and 30s know on what basis India was partitioned and what were the movements and why the Muslim problem in India is not yet solved. even after partition of the country and creation of the Islamic states of Pakistan and Bangladesh from where almost all Hindus, Sikhs, Buddhists have been expelled even as Muslims in India who asserted they were not Indians but a separate nation by didn't of their religion, have increased their proportion from over 9% to double that. While there are no minority commissions and minority educational institutions in Pakistan

and Bangladesh and even in the Indian states like J&K, Nagaland, Mizoram and Meghalaya and Punjab wherever Hindus are a minority, there are minority commissions, minority finance corporations, minority educational institutions, minority welfare departments and ministries and so on in all Hindu majority states and at the Union level. The word “minority” is a cover word for Muslims so far and now it includes Christians also. In the decade after capture of the Congress Party by Sonia Gandhi Christian elements are on the ascendant in Congress and government ..

In reaction to all these above mentioned and many more pro- Muslim, (pro- Christian) and Hindu -insensitive actions of the Congress Party and almost all the regional parties also seeking the block vote of “minorities”, the Jana Sangh, the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) since 1980, was coming to be looked to, for protection and defence of Hindus, their land, heritage, culture and aspirations to build anew after centuries of foreign rule. The RSS provided a number of its intellectuals and activists for work in the Bharatiya Janasangh. As the Congress Party spread the calumny that the RSS and allied Hindu elements were responsible for the assassination of Mahatma Gandhi, Hindus shied to support the Jansangh. As the Muslim- appeasing and Hindu- insensitivity policies of the Congress and regional parties started hurting Hindus the BJP, started gaining strength. The Rama Janmabhoomi movement electrified Hindus. The stubbornness of the “minority” and support to them from Communists and Congress enraged many Hindus and drew them to the BJP through the Ayodhya movement to reclaim, Rama’s Janmabhoomi (over which a mosque was constructed and named after Babur, a Moslem invader after destroying a temple that existed there). The Ramajanma Bhoomi movement to reclaim Ram’s birth-spot peaked Hindu support to the BJP. The result was that by 1998 the BJP emerged as the single largest all India Party relegating the Congress to the second position. It led two coalition governments in 1998 & 1999 for a total period of six years in Delhi. It became ruling party in a number of states (Rajastha, Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat, Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh for a little while and in coalitions in Bihar, Punjab and Orissa, Maharastra and Karnataka. Hindus expected that during the rule of the BJP-led coalition at the

center, many wrongs done to Hindus would be set right. Unfortunately, very little of that sort happened. Disenchantment with the BJP led to its decline in 2004 and further in 2009.

We will now see some of the specific and glaring injustices which Hindus expected the BJP would make an issue in its election manifesto and implement through its governments but they did not. That is why Hindus voting for BJP are going down.

- In 1956 the very secular Pt. Jawaharlal Nehru moved in the Parliamnt a bill to make a law providing for state subsidies to Muslims making the Haj pilgrimage to Mekka . Year after year the number and the amount have been increasing and in the fiscal 2009 the subsidy amounted to Rs. 300 cr. In every state capital, precious land has been given for building a Haj House where people from the state going on pilgrimage can assemble, be fed at state expense and then wished farewell by Ministers(this is the practice in A P). **Such subsidies have not been forthcoming for Hindus and Sikhs** . The BJP never moved to undo the injustice to Hindus.
- We have Human Rights Commissions at the state and national levels. But in order to please Muslims (called minority), every state has a Minority Commission . Pakistan & Bangladesh the Islamic states carved out of India have no minority Commissions for Hindu, Sikh and Buddhist minorities there. Within India, while every Hindu majority state has a minority commission, **in J&K Nagaland, Mijoram, Meghalaya and Punjab where Hindus are a minority there is no minority commission**. This is clear discrimination against Hindus. The BJP has done nothing to do justice to Hindus.
- While in every Hindu majority state as well as at the Center, there is a Department of Minority welfare and a minister for that (in Andhra Pradesh a separate department for Christian welfare has been created by the Christian Chief Minister in the year 2008-'09), the BJP has not striven to provide justice in this regard to Hindus in non-Hindu majority states .

- While the mosques of Muslims and churches of Christians are not administered and managed by governments, in all the southern and some other states in India temples of Hindus alone are managed and administered by “secular” governments. In the administering authority and ministries there are non-Hindus. It means that non-Hindus can be involved in the management of Hindu temples. In Andhra Pradesh, ruled by Christian Chief Minister, the Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanam (TTD) Board, awarded a contract for making the most sought after, divinely **graced -laddus** to a Christian company from Kerala. The BJP has not made this an issue in the elections or in its public agitation and educational programs.
- In Andhra Pradesh, the Christian Chief Minister created a separate Department for Christians’ Welfare and also a separate Financial Institution for Christians’ development. As in no other state, his government started giving a Rs. 25,000 subsidy to Christians making pilgrimage to Jerusalem; his government is giving Rs.15,000 for the marriage of Christians & Muslims. This pilgrim and marriage assistance is not extended to Hindus. In other words, **Hindus are taxed to pay for the pilgrimages of** people of religions which are preying upon Hindus. Their people are assisted to marry and produce more Muslims & Christians. The BJP has not made an issue of this either to end these discriminatory subsidies or to extend them to Hindus also.
- The monies contributed by the devotees of Hindu temples are managed by the “secular” governments. Part of this goes into Common Good Fund. Part of it is utilised for the repair and construction of mosques & churches. The BJP has not made an issue of this.
- In Andhra Pradesh, the Christian Chief Minister has declared in Feb 2007 in a public meeting that **“his is a government of minorities”** and that government would pay in full the fees of Muslim students in professional colleges. This largesse is so appealing and appeasing to Muslims that while in the year 2007, **6000 Muslims joined in engineering colleges, in the next year 2008 (of no fees charged for Muslims), 18,000 of them**

joined in engineering colleges. The then Muslim minister (Mr.Shabbir Ali) for minorities held meetings urging all Muslims to join every educational institution for they need not have to pay any fees; they would be paid by the government itself. This **no -fee** facility is **not extended to all Hindus** just as it is extended **to all Muslims**. The Minister for Minority (i.e Muslim) Welfare pushed several IT and engineering companies in Hyderabad to hold “job Melas” for “minorities and coaxed companies to recruit minorities as a special task. No such melas were organised for the benefit of SCs, STs or BCs. Now some companies are prevailed upon to set apart “prayer rooms” for minorities, and they are asserting to go off work for Friday prayers. The BJP has not made an issue of this.

- In Andhra Pradesh, even while the courts have pronounced adversely, the government is **implementing 4% reservations for Muslims in educational institutions and in government jobs.** Muslims have got unlimited number of **minority professional colleges not for teaching Islam or Arabic or Urdu but even for engineering, MCA, MBA B.Ed etc. which are non-religious subjects.** Thus Muslims are having both **minority colleges** which are outside the government rules and regulations as well as 4% reservation in non- Muslims’ colleges and no fee payment privilege. The tax monies 95% of which are coming from Hindus are spent for the benefit and welfare of Muslims. Together with the pilgrimage and marriage assistance, **these are a form of zezia on Hindus, a tax imposed by some Muslim rulers like Aurangzeb in the past.** The BJP has not made an issue of this.
- The lands of temples are being acquired by government for allotting house -sites to the poor and for other “public” purposes. Not a sq.mtr of land belonging to churches or mosques including waqf is acquired for “public” purposes. In Amalapuram (East Godavari), the Christian wife of a S.C Minister (who holds a certificate as Hindu SC but is a practising Christian) managed to get temple land to start a “minority” college, public agitated. This is rank discrimination against Hindus. The BJP has not made an issue of this.

- While widening roads, Hindu mandirs coming in the way are broken down some time without any relocation or reconstruction. **But mosques and churches are left inviolate even in the corridors itself congestion on transport roads.** For example: A **mazar** occupies one-third of the carriage way on the western lane of the Tank Bund Road in Hyderabad and a mosque protrudes upto half of the southern lane of Rd. No:1 in Banjara Hills of Hyderabad. They are untouched for road -widening. The BJP has not made an issue of this.
- The Chief Minister and other ministers, leaders of so called political parties (Praja Rajyam Party, Telugu Desam Party and Telengana Rashtra Samiti) don Muslim caps and give Iftar parties. They attend the meetings convened by “minorities” where they promise to fulfill every demand that they make. As eg: Christians in AP demanded the withdrawal of government order prohibiting evangelisation and religious conversion activities near designated Hindu temples and extension of the reservation meant for SC in Hindus to Dalits converts to Christianity. The BJP has not made an issue of this.
- In the prestigious newly constructed airport in Shamshabad (Hyderabad) provision is made for a hall for Muslims’ prayers. No provision is made for a mandir. In January 2002, the (TDP) government of Andhra Pradesh gave Rs. 5 crores for construction of the Haj House in Hyderabad and another Rs. 5 crores for construction and repair of Shadi Khanas and Mosques of Muslims. Why should a secular government use tax-raised monies for Muslims religious works and none for Hindus? The BJP has not made an issue of this.
- The UPA government (2004-’09) got the Sachar report to order and has taken up special (Muslim First) development programs in 90 districts (outside Assam and West Bengal where already Hindus are in a precarious position) with significant Muslim population and for this purpose 15% of the 11th Plan funds are allocated. Banks have been directed to open branches in Muslim majority localities; their Managers are instructed to give loans to Muslims liberally without any security and pledges.

- All these districts are bound to become Muslims -majority very soon. Hindus will flee from there for fear of molestation of their daughters and women. These 90 will form into mini Pakistans just like the old city of Hyderabad, Mallappuram district carved out of Malabar in Kerala and Mewat district carved out of Gurgaoan in Haryana. The BJP has not made this Pakistanisation of India, as an election issue. Sardar Patel’s legacy of integrating over 600 Maharajas/Navab’s States with India, is being undone, with BJP not making this an issue..
- In Andhra Pradesh the old city of Hyderabad which is Muslim majority is a **No Go Area** even for ministers. Minister or senior government officers cannot undertake any inspection tours without informing the MIM in advance and having their consent. The BJP has not moved to integrate this Pakistan -like area (where 90% of them have illegal electricity and water connections) with the rest of Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh and India.
 - While there are orders that the sound level of bhajans and other musical programs should not exceed a certain level during certain hours of the night, the rule applies only for Hindus and not Muslims. Their Azan is broadcast through loud speakers full blast during night times.. The BJP has not made an issue of this.
 - The mid-day meal scheme is extended to **madrasas** which are purely religious schools where no non- Muslims go. There are Pathshalas run by Hindu organisations. The mid-day meal scheme is not extended to them. The BJP has not made an issue of this.
 - In Andhra Pradesh, the State **Urdu Academy** was given Rs 35 cr in the year 2008-09; the Sanskrit Academy was given none; the Telugu Academy was given Rs. 7 laksh! Muslims are about 10% of the population, Hindus are 88%. The Telugu academy is advised to print at their cost books in Urdu for Urdu schools. The BJP has not made an issue of this.
 - On Friday’s, Muslim employees can leave office for their prayers. Hindus are never given such concession for their prayers on even their most important days like Ramanavami, Dasara etc. The BJP has not made an issue of this.

- Millions of Bangladeshi Muslims have entered into India illegally. Where they are living and what they are doing is known to society and to the BJP. The BJP talked about the illegal infiltration and their being hosted by their co-religionists and patronised by vote-seeker “secularists”. They have become a great security and demographical risk to the country. The BJP-led governments however do nothing to expel these illegal entrants into India. The BJP has very curiously and inexplicably refrained from any popular, people-mobilising movement to report upon these illegal infiltrators and get them expelled from the country. The BJP is thus, by its inaction contributing to Hindus misery.
- Article -370 in the Constitution providing special status to J&K was meant to be temporary. Its indefinite continuance is driving India into jihadism. China has integrated Tibet and Xingxiang with the nation by abolishing all special laws and in practice, allowing Chinese people to settle in those areas as they are integral parts of the country. The BJP talked about ending Art-370 but did not make any move to end it during the tenure of the BJP-led NDA government in Delhi.
While it talked about the incongruity of autonomy to J&K it shied from separating Jammu and Laddakh regions and integrating them with the rest of India as the people there want by creating separate states for them. It carved out Jharkhand, Chattisgarh and Uttaranchal but it did not carve out Laddakh and Jammu as separate states, thus continuing the appeasement policy.
Even though it remained in power for six long years in the Center, it did not create a secure zone for the return to Kashmir, of the ethnically cleansed Hindus from the Muslim- majority Kashmir Valley.
Sardar Patel warned Pakistan in 1950 that if the exodus of Hindus from East Bengal continued, he would liberate a few districts from east Pakistan and create them as a safe and secure home for Hindus by settling them there. The BJP did not even think of settling the expelled Hindus in Kashmir (which is part of India, unlike East Pakistan /Bangla Desh) by providing a necessary security zone.

- The Congress party under obligation to communists (When Indiraji split the Congress in 1969 and communist provided her crowds in the streets to overwhelm her foes) conceded them the Jawaharlal Nehru University JNU which has now become a **Marxist Madrasa** producing “eminent” historians and sociologists and economists whose one devoted aim is to trash India’s national history , rationalize the loot and iconoclasm, and religious intolerance of the Islamic invader -rulers and calumniate Hindus and Hindu leaders like Shivaji and Gurugovind Singh. During the six years of its rule at the center and in several states for many years, **the BJP did not found nationally spirited universities and staff them with patriot scholars in science and humanities and technology.**
- In Andhra Pradesh in the Osmania university there is a **Department of Islamic studies**. Why could not the BJP in its Election Manifesto put in a proposition for establishment of a Department of Hindu studies?
- Multinational Conversion (MNC) enterprises of Christian missionaries are militantly and aggressively evangelising and converting vulnerable sections of Hindus. They are aided by numerous NGOs (eg: World Vision) who are acting as sappers and miners for the MNC enterprises. These two sets of conversion enterprises are getting billions of rupees in mysterious ways enabling the conversion troops to be well paid, well equipped (TV and Radio channel time) and well-wheeled. Different denominations of Christians are competitively planting churches and funding to inveigle the indigent to convert.

The BJP ranks and its governments did little to tackle this multinational aggression on Hindus in India. Income tax, E.D and other investigative agencies could have been set upon them to find out the sources of income, the value of their assets and their compliance with India’s laws. The racket of minority colleges, which have no limit, no relation to the size of the minorities should be inquired into; their sale of seats (70 to 90%) to Hindus should have been stopped or every caste among Hindus treated as a minority for purposes of Art-30 of the constitution BJP and its governments did little to mitigate the aggression

of MNC enterprises harvesting Hindus for Christianity.

When so many discriminations are inflicted upon Hindus, when so much of taxes that they pay is utilized by “secular” governments for the welfare and encouragement of Muslims & Christians who have historically been and are the foes of Hindus trying to convert Hindus from their religion, the BJP is not moving to do justice for Hindus for which purpose the Jana Sangh was founded.

A party that was founded to ensure protection of and justice to Hindus, for the preservation of the integrity of this country, and promotion of its universal culture and dharma, though (agreeably) labeled a Hindu party, has in actual practice done precious little even in the states where it has formed governments for long years (as in Gujarat and Madhya Pradesh and Chattisgarh).

In the face of such unprincipled inaction on the part of the BJP, more and more Hindus are getting disillusioned with the BJP. That is a reason why fewer people are voting for it. Hindus think that it may be better to support other parties and get from them what they want **instead of wasting their votes for a party which talks of appeasement and vote-Bank politics, but has not moved to secure at least equal treatment for Hindus**. Salvation for Hindus now appears to be **tactical voting**; that is, since they don't have a party of their own, just as “minorities” vote to defeat Hindus wanting to do justice to Hindus, **Hindus must vote against their foes, the so called minorities and those who appease them most. They should choose the lesser evil as there is no choice between evil and good**. The Hindus who are true to their Dharma and recognise that Hinduism and Bharat are under attack must band themselves into vote banks in every ward of a local body, every constituency of the State legislature and Lok Sabha and vote as a block to those candidates, irrespective of party, who promise publicly to strive for the removal of injustices and discriminations against them. This is what Christians are openly doing now and what Muslims have been doing all the while since 1952 as they no longer have, as before 1947, separate electorate.

That the BJP can manage India's economy better, that it stands for

powerful defence forces, that it promotes liberalisation etc are compulsions which govern every political party-Congress (Sonia), or regional and casteist parties. **But economic prosperity without preservation of national identity and pursuit of a national mission, as befits the only surviving ancient civilisation, that is Bharatiya/Hindu, cannot and ought not be the sole criterion for Hindus to vote. What if we gain the whole world but lose our soul?** The BJP and Hindus must seriously and immediately introspect and correct themselves for their survival and triumph.

Dt: 8/10/2009

Not Dialogue, Not Tolerance But Reciprocal Reverence

Sri Ashgar Ali Engineer has cogently brought out (Bhavan's journal Sept 15,2009) the futility of inter-faith dialogues. The fact is that there are religions which assert that they alone are true, the others are in error or imperfect or false and that their God has enjoined upon the believers that they must propagate and convert every other to their own faith and until all are so converted, there would be no resurrection. Herein lie the seeds of conflict. The marketing of religions by multinational religious enterprises with enormous foreign funds and the subversion of the native faiths and cultures are what are leading to strife and destruction of harmony and peaceful co-existence. In a multi party democracy like India's, power seeking politicians have the ignoble pastime of seeking votes on the basis of religious identities. In this game, Hindus are bound to lose as unlike Islam and Christianity, there is no Hindu identity; among Hindus, caste identity is more powerful and pervasive and caste identities, instead of being annihilated as Dr B R Ambedkar advocated, are being intensified by politicians as well as those who are seeking converts. Since Hinduism is not a proselytising belief and culture system, it stands to lose to the markets of conversion. This loss leads Hindus to organise, to resist the onslaught of multinational conversion (MNC) enterprises. This is one reason for the rise recently of the Hindu – Christian strife, to add to the centuries old Muslim- Hindu strife.

The UNESCO Constitution states, “since wars begin in the minds of men, it is in the minds of men that the defences of peace must be constructed. It is not enough that there is tolerance to different religions; **there must be reciprocal respect** for all religions and cultures. Mere tolerance sought by minorities from the majority, is just postponement of the elimination of the false or erroneous or imperfect faiths/ religions; when the minority gains enough power or becomes majority by engineering conversions, they don’t see the need for tolerance of the false; they would subdue (to second class citizenship, dhimmitude) or eliminate the former majority. This is how the “pagans” and “non-believers” were dealt with over much of the world by religions which claimed infallibility and perfection for them as bestowed by their God.

Practical steps for building true and lasting peaceful and harmonious co- existence among people of different faiths in India, I submit are:

- Establish a Truth and Reconciliation Commission, as South Africa did soon after black majority demonancy was ushered in. In India, let us be frank that unparalleled destruction and degradation was wrought by Islamic invaders. The great philosopher, historian Will Durant (in his *Story of Civilization*) wrote the following about the Islamic conquests in India.

“The Mohamedan conquest of India is probably the bloodiest story in history. It is a discouraging tale, for its evident moral is that civilization is a precarious thing, whose delicate complex of order and liberty, culture and peace may at any time be overthrown by barbarians invading from without or multiplying within”

- Another great philosopher, historian Arnold Toynbee observed :

“Aurangzeb’s purpose in building these mosques (Kashi and Mathura) was the same intentionally offensive political purpose that moved the Russians to build their Cathedral in the city center at Warsaw. I must say that Aurangzeb was a veritable

genius for picking out provocative sites. Aurangzeb and Phillip - II of Spain are a pair. They are incarnations of the gloomy fanatical vein in Christian, Muslim and Jewish family of religions? Perhaps the Poles were really kinder in destroying the Russians’ self discrediting monuments in Warsaw than you (Indians) have been in sparing Aurangzeb’s mosques.

- The construction of mosques on the very or adjacent sites of the most sacred Hindu temples of Krishna in Mathura, Shiva in Varanasi and Rama in Ayodhya (not to speak of tens of thousands destroyed and mosques built on them) are unforgettable humiliations of Hindus by the Muslim conquerors. The razing of Somnath repeatedly by Islamic invaders and rulers deeply wounds the psyche of Hindus . When they witness Muslims (and secularists) organise the Babri Masjid destruction Day on 6 Dec, a mosque constructed by a foreign invader by the side of Rama Janma Bhoomi, if not on the very site where a Ram Mandir stood, how long can they restrain from observing the Somanth Destruction Day? The denial of Islamist atrocities on Hindus, adds insult to injury. One way to overcome this “ never-die” psychic injury is to establish a Truth and Reconciliation Commission of eminent non-partisan jurists, historians and learned men to bring out facts, own up the past, repent for the atrocities (Germany apologised to Israel, and Japan to Korea) and strive to forge a common future. Peru also established such a commission to inquire into, own up and repent the genocidal atrocities that Christian Spanish conquistadors committed on the natives Incas.
- There must be statutory committees in all districts and cities, consisting of learned persons from Hindus, Muslims and Christians to visit, observe, evaluate and report on what is taught regarding other religions, culture, history and social life in religious schools like Madrassas, Pathshalas and seminaries. All teaching offensive to and false of other religions and the country must be expunged

from all curricula, instruction and practices. May be the National Integration Council could be restructured and tasked to fulfill this objective.

- Observance of festivals, construction and removal of places of worship and memorials must be ensured to be non-discriminatory to all religions. For example, while carrying out road-expansion / widening works, it should not be that Hindus' Mandirs are removed but mosques, mazaars and churches are left where they are; this discrimination against Hindus and in favour of "minorities" is scandalous, non-secular and provocative. All laws and rules must be religion-neutral.
- Subsidising the pilgrimages of Muslims and Christians and not Hindus is non-secular; zezia-like imposition on Hindus and must be ended .
- Minority colleges should be not for engineering, medicine, computers, business administration etc., secular subjects but only for subjects that deal with the religion, culture, history and language of minorities. As it is, in many States eg: A.P, the number and intake of minority professional colleges is far more than their population or eligible and qualifying student numbers; about 70% of seats are sold to Hindus . This is an extra-ordinary and unjust privilege for minorities, without a parallel anywhere in the world. This discrimination and iniquity angers Hindus and militates against religious amity.
- Marketing of religions to gain converts by full-time, paid, structured organisations must be banned. This is now leading to defensive resistance to marketed conversions and so communal strife and riots. People are wondering whether the following Gospel words are being implemented by religion -marketers.

Do you suppose that I came to give peace on earth? I tell you, not at all; but rather division; for from now on five in one house will be divided; three against two, and two against three. Father will be divided against son and

son against father; mother against daughter and daughter against mother, mother-in-law against daughter-in-law and daughter-in-law against her mother-in-law". Luke: 51,52&53

- All rules and regulations and laws in the secular realm must be applied without reference to religion. For example, nationally desired objectives like limited family must be set for all. In Delhi, Muslim population which was 1,50,000 in 1951 is now over 16,70,000. What would Hindus make out of this enormous increase? If every welfare entitlement is limited to families with upto two children only and withdrawn when a third child comes, then there would be no engineered demographic aggression of one community over another.
 - There is a Department of Islamic Studies in Osmania University in Hyderabad, but no department of Hindus studies. Why? The Maulana Urdu University establishes and affiliates Muslims colleges in other states; it starts Urdu medium engineering and computer courses; such people can be employed in Pakistan where only and nowhere else in the world Urdu is the official language. In A.P, the Urdu Academy gets Rs. 34 cr , but the Telugu Academy gets Rs. 7 lakhs and Telugu University gets under Rs. 8 cr. Governments are, by such communal actions, promoting communal strife.
- I wish that intellectuals like Ashgar Ali Engineer exert themselves against negationism in history, against the communal-strife promoting actions of governments which are by our constitution required to be secular; (that is, either religion neutral or equal treatment for all religions).

Challenges of China to India and World

China, like Bharat is an ancient, continuing civilisation. Its name in Chinese is Chung Hua meaning Central (to the world) country ! All other countries are peripheral, inferior and ought to be tribute-paying. That is its self-estimate, ever inspiring the people to work to gain the lost glory.

China and India each accounted for between 20% and 25% of the world trade, global wealth and industrial production till the first decade of the 18th century, for over 2500 years ! China got enfeebled and poor due to failure of central authority (Rule) and internal war-lordism. India was exhausted by 600-years long wars between Afghan/Mangol/Turk-origin invader rulers on the one hand and India often mutually feuding rulers in different regions on the other. India's industries and wealth were destroyed by the British imperial rule. China was convulsed by civil war, (between nationalists and communists) soon after the Republic was established in 1911 and Japan's aggression on China since the 1930s.

Independent India took a multi-party democracy, Nehruvian Socialism (predominantly state-capitalism). Slow economic growth and rapid population growth could not lift many out of poverty. The low GDP could not afford building up a modern, powerful armed forces. Pakistan has been a congenital enemy, bleeding India (in Kashmir and outside). Nehru trusted China and, despite warnings from Gen Cariappa, Sardar Patel and Dr. Rajendra Prasad, soft-pedalled Communist China's perfidious aggression on and absorption of Tibet (over 40% of "Chinese" territory) and violations of the 4500 km of border over 1000 km are in regard to Arunachal Pradesh). China's claim of Tibet as its integral part is dubious-history race and people's will show that.

China gave up communism in 1978 but retains the one-party rule without periodic elections. By forcing one-child per family norm and dismantling the State owned enterprises, and encouraging private enterprise, foreign direct investment and competition and ingress of foreign technology,

since the 1980s it has been having a stunning 9% to 11% growth in the GDP. It is modernising the armed forces; huge strides are made in education, R&D, infrastructure, manufacture and trade. It was inferior to India in every respect till 1978. But thereafter overtaken with its privatisation, modernisation and infrastructure and education and health building activities. It has reduced poverty from over 40% to 9%. It has now become the third largest economy after US & Japan and is the second largest economy by next year, at over US \$ 4.5 Trillion now. It is therefore able to spend huge amounts on defence and infrastructure. It is now the number one producer of steel, cement, coal, food grains, and manufacture goods. It is invested 40% of GDP in development. It has US\$ 2.2 trillions as foreign exchange reserves compare to a little less than 300 bln \$ of India. It has US \$ 600 bln surplus with the USA alone. All consumption items in the US are of Chinese make. China is the largest creditor to the US. It attracted US \$ 80 bln as Foreign Direct Investment in the recession hit year 2009 even. It has extended the Railway line to Lasa and has plans to extend it to Khatmandu. It is producing aeroplanes, tanks, missiles, nuclear weapons and has been able to deploy its war making infrastructure all along the 4000 km long border with India. With modern air fields and radar. It has intermediate range and intercontinental ballistic missiles, which can threaten the US. Its submarine fleet is rapidly expanding. It has got naval basis in Gwadar on the south-western tip of Baluchistan from where it can control the oil carrying tanker traffic from the straits of Hormuz (Iran). It has constructed the Karakoram highway linking Tibet and Xingxiang while Pakistan to Gwadar. It has got a naval base in Myanmar opposite to Andamans. Sri Lanka is now an ally of China and is proceeding to have a relation like that of a Pakistan. It is now equipping Bangladeshi army. Myanmar is totally under its influence so is Nepal. This is how China has ringed India. The noose is tightening on us.

While still occupying Aksaichin (10000 sq. kms) in the north of India it is now claiming the entire territory of Arunachal Pradesh (1010 sq mts) it is repudiating the McMahon line that demarcates Tibet from India but it recognizes the eastern extension of the McMahon line as the boundary between China and Myanmar. Some time ago it accepted

Sikkim as part of India but now it is questioning . China has objected to India's development of Arunachal Pradesh and opposed a national development bank loan for India because it is competent to develop Arunachal Pradesh . At the same time it is investing money in projects in the Pakistan occupied Kashmir, which is also a disputed land, it is showing Kashmir as a separate country thus questioning its accession to India. Chinese News paper which are all government owned have brazenly called for the dismemberment of India into several independent and sovereign states citing the break up of USSR and Yugoslavia. China has got its 5th column in India in the shape of the Maoism guerrilla armies. If India is not able to wipe out the Maoist armies within India, how can it hope to withstand China. The Chinese strategy seem to be to mount a lightning intensive with damaging air and land strike and occupy the whole of Arunachal Pradesh and declare a ceasefire and wick tide over the land. While it is doing so it would be encouraging Pakistan to make deep incursion into Kashmir valley so that India will be engaged on 3 fronts in Arunachal Pradesh in Kashmir and within India a Maoist armies. This is the grim prospect for India's ' survival. China has armed forces of 2.5 mln compare to 1.3 mln of India's. China has 2000 aircraft compared to 500 of India. Chinas has major warships compared to India's 34; it has 950 nuclear capable guided missiles compared to India's 70; its nuclear bombs are about 5 times those of India. Its defense budget is US \$ 87 bln compared to India's US \$ 32 bln. China is the largest trading partner of India . It is exporting to us US \$ 32 bln worth of machinery and goods . This is expected to go to US \$ 50 bln by March 2010. Our exports to China are only US \$ 9 ml. We thus have a tremendous deficit with China . We are flooded with Chinese goods. Some of them are most dangerous. China is proved its war making capability by shooting down a satellite by earth based laser. In this regard it seems to be ahead of even USA.

Chinese goods including machinery are flooding the European union and America. Its companies in electronics and electricity and oil exploration and telecom are beating all the western companies . Because of the huge

amount of R&D that China has built up in the last few years; because of China's prosperity and it becoming the engine of economic sustenance in the USA and European Union, all the countries are a grid of China and would not come to be help of India, it is attacked by China. China has a veto power in the Security Council of the UN. So no UN resolution can be passed in favour of India against Pakistan. Actually USA offered to get a seat for India in the Security Council in the 1950s itself. But Jawaharlal Nehru, the great friend of China with his faith in socialism and Communist China declined to have the seat until communist China is admitted to the UN and is given its seat in the Security Council . Now China is blocking our entry into the Security Council . China is blocking India's entry into the APEC. It is using Malaysia as its cats paw to oppose India's entry into the APEC. It wants to confine India to ASEAN and SARC.

India's exports to China are iron ore and plastic goods whereas China exports to India, machinery, electrical items that is value added items. China has huge hunger for oil and gas. It is buying and heavily investing in Africa for its minerals and oil .

It is doing likewise in south America. China is now the largest trading partner of African and south American countries. Those nations are also over -awed by China. Russia and China have a very uneasy neighbourhood. China has extended its influence into the former Russia led central Asian states of Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, Kirghistan etc., Russia- China relations like India China relations are uneasy .

Tibet is not only over run by China but its population content has been totally changed by settling Han Chinese in Tibet. China is afraid of Tibet uprising. Tibet is the source of several minerals and possible oil and of course all its rivers. In Xingxiang there is the native Muslims secessionist movement. Taiwan is another area of great concern for China. Chinas security concerns are around Taiwan, Tibet and Xingxiang.

Due to large scale privatisation a little slowdown of the economy is causing unrest in China. In 2008 alone there were over 80000 public agitations and demonstrations against Chinese government by those who have lost jobs and houses; life is becoming difficult because of rising prices. The western, southern and south western parts of China are relatively under developed and this inequality between eastern and the rest is the cause of instability in China.

Just as China is building up Pakistan to bleed India, we have the possible of sniffing in Vietnam, Kampuchea, Laos and Thailand against China. Japan and Australia would be very happy to be our allies. So, will be the USA, if only we have got the will to stand up to China.

Napoleon long ago said, let the giant of China sleep, if it wakes up the earth will quake. That is what is happening. If India deludes itself like during the time of Jawaharlal Nehru we are sure to loose not only Arunachal Pradesh but Kashmir. Also the Maoist armies in association with Nepal will be able to dismember India as China is wanting.

China	India
Leaders are scholars, engineers, scientists; patriotic, proud of their country's past and present	Leaders are just literate, unfit in any other walk of life; derogate India's past, amass wealth thro' politics
Value hard work savings and enterprise	Leaders promise free food, house, marriage, children, degrees, and so promote laziness
Increased wealth used for education, defence, infrastructure, investment, mass-housing, roads, railways, airports, universities	Increased wealth frittered away by loan waivers, subsidies, TV sets, free, free electricity, frequent elections, and transfer to Swiss Banks
Terrorists, secessionists, insurgents are ruthlessly eliminated as enemies of people, splitters, agents of foreign power	Terrorists, secessionists, criminals, smugglers, fake currency dealers are all protected as minorities, human rights, civil rights
Advocates of multi-culturalism, foreign religion propagators are suppressed as subversives	Such people are courted for votes under slogans of "secularism", social, justice, freedom etc.
No Dynasties are fostered to rule/succeed	Refeudalisation of polity, privatisation and proprietorisation of parties.
Huge amounts spent on R&D and education	Receipts from licencing used to feed the poor to breed illiterate, welfare addicted voters
Those who produce more than one child are punished	More the children, more the poor, more the illiterate, more the voters to be purchased. Rewarding the child producers
Corrupt, terrorists, secessionists are hanged thro' swift or no trials	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None are punished • Politicians bark but don't bite • Even when sentenced, persons are not hanged for fear of loss of votes
Internal Security, Country's Defences are utmost priority	"Secularism" and "quitting poverty" are dear to politicians

MIM in Hyderabad

Majlis Ittehadul Musalmeen (MIM) is direct descendant of the notorious Razakars, Muslim goondas who at one time numbered more than one lakh and overawed the Nizam into bending to their will which was to establish an Islamic state of Hyderabad in the heart of India. The Razakars kept quiet for some time after the Police Action in Sept 1948. But they revived themselves under patronage of the Congress, especially of the Chief Minister Sri K.Brahmananda Reddy. Darul Shifa, the Head Quarters of the Razakras was made over to the MIM.

Over the years as Congress has been becoming weaker and weaker, it started depending more and more upon the block vote of Muslims who were told that only Congress can safe-guard their (Muslims) interest interest as against the communal parties like the Janasangh and the BJP.

But here in Hyderabad the MIM did not have to depend upon the Congress when once it was revived because the old city of Hyderabad has a Muslims population of about 45% and in over 45 wards out of 100 in the Hyderabad MCH

MIM could trounce any one and all non- Muslims parties like the Congress, the TDP and the BJP. Although even in the old city they constituted less than 50%, the Hindu votes would be divided between the mutually destroying Congress, TDP and the BJP. This was also the reason for the MIM to always return one MIM MP from the Hyderabad city.

Since the return of the Congress to power in 2004, **the MIM has become a law unto itself and is almost the ruler in the old city.**

Dr. Samuel Rajasekhara Reddy had proudly and publicly declared in Feb 2008 that his is a government of minorities that

is, Christians & Muslims. Muslims were given 4% reservation in the non- Muslim professional colleges; even as they have large number of Muslim minority professional colleges, whose admission capacity has always been more than even the number of Muslim candidates appearing in the common entrance test. He has ordered that the Government of Andhra Pradesh should pay all the fees of all Muslims from primary school to graduate and post graduate courses in arts, science &

professional colleges. With this one stroke the number of Muslims entering engineering colleges went up from 6,000 in the year 2007 to 18,000 in the year 2008 at the end of the first year of no -fees.

The MIM does not allow even Ministers of the Government of Andhra Pradesh to enter the old city unless they give prior information that they would be visiting the place. Once, the Kasu Krishna Reddy, Minister for Civil Supplies entered the old city to inspect stocks of commodities given from shops under the public distribution system. As soon as the MIM operatives and the MLA came to know of it, they swooped on him and gheraoed him. He had to apologize and beat a hasty retreat.. They warned him, the Minister and government officials and other ministers that none should enter the old city without giving prior information to MIM. It is as good as enforcing a visa system.

The MIM and its operatives as well as the MP & MLA come to the assistance of any Muslim instantaneously, **the moment he is apprehended by police or by citizens.** Recently an illegal building was being constructed by a Muslim. It was visited by the enforcement branch of MCH who started breaking the building. Immediately, hundreds of Muslims led by the MIM MLAs gathered. The government officials ran away from the place and the building constructed could not be stopped.

In Kukatpally (Hyderabad) in Dec 2009 in an open space of a colony, Muslims were building a mosque. The citizens came and protested against it. Immediately Muslims including MIM MLAs gathered there and warned that the structure under construction can not be pulled down as it is going to be a mosque. They did bother whether the site belonged to government or any body. The police requested the Hindus not to object. They bought peace by promising the Muslims and the MIM MLAs that an alternate government site would be given for the proposed mosque and that it would be constructed with government help.

On Road No: 1 in the Banjara Hills of Hyderabad as mosque projects

into the southern traffic lane occupying one third of the carriage way. Under the road widening scheme, the road had been widened east as well as west of the mosque but the space abutting the mosque occupies the traffic lane. The mosque is being extended. Government is helpless. This is a bottle neck.

On the pavement at the entrance to the famed NIZAM's Institute of Medical Sciences (NIMS) in Hyderabad, Muslims were building a mosque. The Director of NIMS and staff tried to stop it. A crowd of Muslims and MIM MLAs descended and threatened a riot. The police advised the Director, NIMS not to cause a riot by being obstructive. Muslims must have their way, everywhere; otherwise, there would be violence and communal riots!

On the arterial Tank Bund Road which connects Secunderabad and Hyderabad, on the western side just midway there is a Police Outpost. Just south of it and adjoining it is a **mazar** which occupies one third of the traffic lane. Just opposite to that one, on the eastern edge of the Tank Bund Road an impressive mosque was built up. There were a few stones and a small structure two years ago. As the mosque was being constructed, the MCH was approached under the Right to Information Act (RTI) seeking to know whether that mosque structure which was coming up had got the approval of the MCH. Reminders and appeals to various government officials given under the RTI did not produce any response. I had then appeal to the Commission. The Commission fixed up the date for hearing, called upon me and the concerned MCH officials for a hearing. I went there at the appointed time but the MCH officials did not turn up for the next 45 mtns. When I was asked to go saying that the Commission would give an ex- party ruling, just then two officials of the MCH came with a letter which was dated the day before, saying that Dr T.H.Chowdary was already replied. When we saw the letter it was dated the day before the prescribed date for hearing. It was obviously not sent to me. They handed over a copy to me and another copy to the Commission. **It said that no approval had been sought nor given for the construction of the mosque.** I then walked to the mosque site and **I was amazed to find**

that it was inaugurated by among others the Minister for Minority Welfare one Mr. Shabbir Ali some months ago. I wrote a letter to the Minister as to how he could inaugurate an illegal structure. There was no rely. I could not take up this as it would lead to a murderous attack. There are several mazars and mosques projecting into the carriage ways of roads and these are being extended but the MCH and the police dare not interfere with them for fear that there would be rioting.

In the Legislative Assembly of Andhra Pradesh, the MIM leader Akbaruddin Oweisi thundered in the presence of the late Dr. Y. Samuel Raja Sekhar Reddi: "So far we (Muslims) were king-makers. We will now be kings ourselves". Not one dared to challenge him.

Tail Piece:

Telangana State must be created otherwise the whole of Andhra Pradesh would burn now and then. Telangana would be ruled by Muslims supported by communists within fifteen years if Hyderabad is its capital. If Hyderabad is made Union territory, it will become Pakistan within five years. So that we have fifteen years to stave off Muslim/ communist rule in Telangana, don't ever think of making Hyderabad a Union Territory.

Real Nature of Islam and Hinduism Excerpts from Mohammed Ali Jinnah Presidential address at

All India Muslim League Lahore Session, March, 1940

".....It is extremely difficult to appreciate why our Hindu friends fail to understand the real nature of Islam and Hinduism. They are not religions in the strict sense of the word, but are, in fact, different and distinct social orders and it is a dream that the Hindus and Muslims can ever evolve a common nationality, and this misconception of one Indian nation has gone far beyond the limits and is the cause of most of our troubles and will lead India to destruction if we fail to revise our notions in time. The Hindus and Muslims belong to two different religious philosophies, social customs, and literature. They neither intermarry, (only Hindu girls marry Moslems, but they have to convert to Islam – Ed) nor inter-dine together and, indeed they belong to two different civilizations which are based mainly on conflicting ideas and conceptions. Their aspects on life and of life are different. It is quite clear that Hindus and Mussalmans derive their inspiration from different sources of history. They have different epics, different heroes and different episodes. Very often the hero of one is a foe of the other and, likewise, their victories and defeats overlap. To yoke together two such nations under a single state, one as a numerical minority and the other as a majority, must lead to growing discontent and final destruction of any fabric that may be so built up for the Government of such a state....."

Midwifing the Birth of Ninety Pakistans in India

Long long ago, chastened by the disastrous consequence of the separatism of the Muslims minority in India that lead to the partition of the country and holocaust of more than a million men and women, the wise leaders of the country decided to promote integration among all the people of India and so put in place the **National Integration Council** (NIC). It comprised of the Prime Minister, important ministers in the Union Cabinet, Chief Ministers of the states and some intellectuals from a cross-section of the society. It was hoped that the deliberations of the NIC would lead to the promotion of integration and the development of a national outlook and identity among all people.

Unfortunately, over the years as the Indian National Congress (INC) became weaker and weaker regional parties (like DMK, TDP...) came up in almost all the states. There has been competition for the votes of different sections of the people. Therefore disintegrative divisionism and Sectarian interests came to be taken up and agitated for and elections are won or lost by the block votes of minority (ie. Moslem) or some castes. In addition to the traditional divisions arising out of language and religion domestic and foreign interests are promoting inter-caste strife among Hindus. The divisive actions based upon religion and the caste are financed from outside. Besides a political ideologue based upon Marxism which has lead to the repeated splitting of the original communist party into several gangs, some underground, some above ground, are also in cooperation with these divisive forces into the name of social justice, right of peoples for self-determination, civil liberties, human rights and so on. The NIC is now more or less a dead idea as it has not been convened for so many years and when it is at all convened it has become a forum to accentuate the division and, for minorities and special interest groups, to ask for more and more privileges, rights, autonomies, reservations and humanities.

Of all these the deadliest now are with regard to religions. Islam & Christianity and Dalitism. Here are some instances of each one of them becoming laws unto themselves and promoting disintegration of the country.

- In Hyderabad, the Majlis Ittehadul Muslemeen (MIM) which is the resurrected form of the former Razakars of the hated Nizam's regime who wished to establish an Islamic state in the heart of India comprising of the Nizam territories, is becoming as uncontrollable and irresistible a force in Hyderabad city as the Razakars were before Sept 1948. Pakistani jihadis and Bangladesh Muslim infiltrators are finding a safe haven in Hyderabad. Training schools for jihadis and factories for illegal manufacture of explosives are established in the city. If any criminal is caught by the police, within a few minutes hundreds of even burqa clad women and others demonstrate before the police station for the release of the person. Within a few minutes, the MIM legislators and functionaries come in a militant mood and assert themselves for the release of the criminals. Then follow orders from above for letting off the criminals.
- Some Muslims make it a point to construct a mosque - like structure saying that it is for religious prayers. They occupy vacant land, mostly of government and some times, even private ones. When those structures under construction are objected to, immediately gangs of minorities assemble joined in by MIM legislators and leaders. Police men plead with Hindus to back off. Government officials promise either to give an alternative government site for the construction of the mosque now objected to or some other compensation. The mosque comes up. Christian missionaries and dalit converts led by the former, are adopting the MIM methods, now-a-days.
- Any person of the minority community can construct houses or commercial structures without any sanctions from the local authority and when the concerned authorities object or come for demolition, then again a riot will be initiated. In the forefront are MIM legislators and leaders. The government authorities beat a hasty retreat.
- While this is so in Hyderabad this is not very different in other places of the country. For eg: in Mumbai, Sri Hasan Saroor, the Police Commissioner, was found to be inept and wanting in leadership by the R.D. Pradhan Commission which inquired

into the effectiveness of the police when Mumbai was attacked on 26 of Nov 2008 by Pakistan-based and directed jihadis. Sri Saroor could not direct any operations. He was a passive monitor. The secular coalition government of Congress and NCP disagreed from the finding of the Pradhan Commission for reason that "secularism" would not allow any Commission or any other authority to find any deficiency in a minority, that is a Muslim officer.

- Sri. P.D. Dinakaran, Chief Justice of Karnataka High Court, had been found to have illegally occupied scores of acres of government land in his home town in Tamilnadu. A government inquiry found this to be true. There are several other charges. His entire record of judgments was one of favoring illegal construction of churches by Christian missionaries. The misdeeds of his had been gone into by the Collegium of the Supreme Court which examined his fitness for appointment, his promotion to be a Justice of the Supreme Court. The government sent back the Supreme Court's initial recommendation for promotion of Dinakaran. The Collegium finally dropped him. A motion signed by the requisite number of Rajya Sabha Members had been submitted to the Chairman of the Rajya Sabha for impeachment of Js. Dinakaran. Sushri Mayawathi, the dalit Chief Minister of UP writes to the Prime Minister that the non-promotion of Dinakaran and the motion to impeach him are motivated by bias and prejudice against dalits. That he is a Christian and long back left Hinduism does not matter to her. So just like a Muslim Commissioner of Police cannot be found fault with, even by a judicial Commission, so cannot a Christian and that too a dalit Christian or for that matter, any Christian because he belongs to minority cannot be faulted even when his crimes are established.
- A New Class (often referred to as government Brahmins in Maharashtra) is arising. If any SC officer found delinquent in the performance of his duties is pulled up, he immediately invokes the "Prevention of Atrocities against SCs and STs Act". The unfortunate officer now goes on the defensive and

the delinquent officer goes scot-free. Similarly, any SC employee in any private company or home or hospital is found fault with by his superior or management, immediately the employee invokes the Prevention of Atrocity Act and in the management becomes the accused.

- In Kerala, there is now an onslaught on Hindus . Apart from a woman's womb being used for the rapid increase of Muslims population, now well funded and smart young Muslims are tasked to inveigle and entice Hindu girls into friendship, then sex and finally elopement and forced marriage. So many cases had for long not been reported but now the "love jihad" increased to alarming proportion. A large number of parents approached the High Court of Kerala . It advised the government of Kerala to inquire into this large -scale anti-social and illegal activity come to be known as love-jihad.
- Dozens of Christian missionaries copiously funded from mysterious sources are putting up churches. The process is innocent looking Christians purchase a plot or house or a flat in a Hindu locality. For sometime it will be a house. Then it becomes a prayer hall for Christians for various localities in the city. When the neighbors object, then cases are filed against the objectors alleging that they are religiously intolerant; they are interfering with the right of minorities to practise and propagate their religion as guaranteed by the Constitution. An obliging Christian or crypto- Christian judge will give a judgment in their favour. And then a grand church comes up in the site after demolition of the "prayer-house". Neighbors start fleeing.
- It appears that the UPA government is now hijacked by the minorities that is, Muslims & Christians, under the patronage of the most powerful person of foreign origin presiding over possessing the oldest party in India.
- Under the UPA government Muslim League MPs have become Ministers . A new Minority Ministry was created in the Union government headed by a Muslim . So the minoritism is perpetuated . There are Minority Commissions in all Hindu majority states but none in non- Hindu majority states like J&K,

Meghalaya, Nagaland, Mizoram. **The UPA government seems to be midwifing the birth of 90 district level Pakistans in as many districts of India which have been identified by the Sachar Committee** as largely populated by Muslims. A separate budget in the 11th Five Year Plan with 15% of development funds is created for them. Banks are directed to open branches in these districts to give loans on easy terms to Muslims. The process for Pakistanisation of these districts will be simple. Muslims from other districts in India and Bangladesh will be invited, hosted and facilitated to become voters. As their population increases, Hindus will flee from those districts just like from Mallappuram in Kerala and Mewat in Haryana. They will then ask for autonomy. After sometime, they will ask for secession, just like in J&K.

The communist government in Kerala had directed the state-owned finance corporation to start an Islamic Bank that is, the secular government is bringing into being with its funds, a bank which would be operated according to Sharia, the Islamic law.

"Secularists" Days are numbered

"In its (i.e., secularism's) name, politicians again adopt a strange attitude which, while it condones the susceptibilities, religious and social of the minorities, it is too ready to brand similar susceptibilities in the majority community as communalistic and reactionary. How secularism sometimes becomes allergic to Hinduism will be apparent from certain episodes relating to the reconstruction of the Somnath Temple. ... These unfortunate postures have been creating a sense of frustration in the majority community. If, however, the misuse of the term 'secularism' continues, ...if every time there is an inter-community conflict, the majority is blamed regardless of the merits of the question, the springs of traditional tolerance will dry up. ... While the majority exercises patience and tolerance, the minorities should adjust themselves to the majority. Otherwise the future is uncertain and an explosion cannot be avoided."

-Kulapati K M Munshi

Kashmir's Unceasing Secessionist Movements

The Kashmir problem owes its origin to Prime-minister Jawaharlal Nehru who under the (sinister as it turned out) advice of Lord Louis Mountbatten, the first Governor General of independent India (and the spell of Lady Pamela Mountbatten) took the case, much against the warning of Sardar Patel, to the United Nations, complaining that Pakistan committed aggression on India and seeking from the UN, the vacation of that aggression in 1947. When the Indian forces were making the Pakistanis run and were on the verge of total victory, the UN ordered the "cease-fire". (We ceased fire but Pakistan has not ceased- recall the 1965 war and the 1999 Kargil war and the unceasing ingress of Pakistan – injected jihadis and the insurgency of the locals incited by the jihadis since 1991). Art- 370, a "temporary" provision in the Constitution granting special status to J&K has been keeping up the "Kashmir is not Indian but separate" movement of Muslims there. No Muslim body (like the Indian Union Moslem League or Majlis-ittihadul-Muslameen, MIM or the All India Muslim Personal Law Board) has counseled Muslims of Kashmir not to be separatist and harbour jihadis. India has lost over 40,000 civilians, soldiers and para military personnel, trying to prevent Kashmir from seceding from India; India has given over Rs. 2,00,000 crores in "packages" and otherwise to J&K in the last decade alone; in return, "secular", "Kashmiriyat" – proclaiming Kashmir valley Moslems have brought about the exodus of 400,000 Hindu Pandits from their ancestral homeland for millenia and there are the unceasing zihadi terrorism and demand for self-determination, accession to Pakistan and autonomy.

The UPA government (which includes, for the first time since independence, Moslem Leaguers) appointed Justice Sahgir Ahmed to head the Prime Ministers Working Group on Kashmir's autonomy. Without holding a single plenary meeting of the Working Group, Js. Ahmed wrote a Report and submitted it NOT to the Prime-Minister of India

but to J&K's Chief Minister, Omar Abdullah! That is, Kashmir affairs are primarily for decision of its Moslems only? J&K has 35% of its population as Hindus and Buddhists. Not once in these 62 years, could one of them become the chief Minister of that state even as Moslems could be Chief Ministers in Rajasthan (90% Hindu), Bihar (88% Hindu), Assam (67% Hindu; Maharashtra (88% Hindu), not to speak of Delhi and Puducherry. Kashmir's Moslems (and their supporting India's communists, "seculars" in Congress and the regional casteist proprietary parties) demand autonomy for J&K; but they deny it to Hindu Jammu and Buddhist Laddakh! The BJP -led NDA carved out Chattisgarh; Jharkhand and Uttarakhand but dared not to carve out Jammu and Laddakh as separate states or give them the same measure of autonomy which Moslem-majority Kashmir enjoys! Reproduced below is an article, the author wrote in May 1992. The withdrawal of Indian forces from J&K under pressure of the USA and the separatists in J&K is an invitation to Talibanis, zihadis and Pakistani "irregulars" to over-run Kashmir and for the UN to declare another cease-fire, "permanently" the loss of Kashmir to India but consuming more of India's wealth and finances.

Dr Ambedkar rebuffed Shaikh Abdullah

.....When Shaikh Abdullah met Dr B R Ambedkar, he gave him a patient hearing. After Abdullah had finished, Ambedkar told him: "You want India to defend Kashmir, feed its people, undertake its all round development and give Kashmiris equal rights all over the country; but you do not want the rest of India and Indians equal rights in Kashmir. I am the Law Minister of India. I cannot betray my country." With these words, he refused to draft the article Abdullah desired. Nehru never dared to talk to Ambedkar on this subject. This showed that Ambedkar was a better nationalist than Nehru and his approach in regard to Kashmir was more realistic.

Source: Nationalist extraordinary, By Balraj Madhok

Kashmir's Separatist Movement

One of the Congress worthies theorized that it is not ISLAM Vs. HINDUISM or PAKISTAN Vs. India that is at the root of Kashmiri Muslim's separatist movement and terrorists. He argues that since Kashmir is not developed, (GOI transfers 2016-17 Rs. 1,00,000 Budget) unemployment is growing and it is the unemployed who have taken to terrorism and that separation from India is only a rallying cry. To end that terrorism and separatist movement, he prescribes accelerated development. This argument is totally fallacious. Is there one State in India which says that development outlays by the Center to it are adequate? Is there one State in India which is not having rising number of unemployed people and educated at that, unlike the much less educated people in Kashmir? Can underdevelopment be a sufficient cause for cession from the Indian Union? Every State can establish that it is being discriminated against, that the Centre is not investing enough and not giving enough grants. Can that be enough reason for cession? (of the central governments transfers of revenues to states, 10% go to Jammu & Kashmir, while its population is less than 1% of Indian's, Jammu & Kashmir budget for 2017-18 is Rs. 1,00,000 crores, more than half being given by the central government. Andhra Pradesh with five times Jammu & Kashmir's population, should on Jammu & Kashmir's scale have a budget of Rs. 5,00,000 crores; but it's budget is only about Rs. 1,20,000 crores. Where are the Government of India funds giving, to whom is Kashmir?)

Fundamentally, it is the unwillingness of Muslims to live in the Indian Union unless it is ruled by them. From Sir Syed Ahmed Khan (17/10/1817 and 27/3/1898) onwards the influential and powerful Muslim leaders have thought that democracy and adult franchise in India would mean the rule of the Hindu majority over the Muslim minority. They held that it was unacceptable. They pleaded for weightage i.e., the number of legislators not to be in proportion to the population but because they are a minority ($33\frac{1}{3}$ seats in the Central Legislative Assembly for a 25%

Moslem population they should be given higher representation than their numbers would justify, as a condition for undivided India. This was not conceded but the British agreed to separate electorates for Muslims. The Sikhs, Christians and Parsis did not ask any such privileges because they felt they are Indians despite professing a different religious faith. The India Communists had no difficulty in accepting the Muslim opinion that they were a separate nation within India, separate from other Indians like Christians or Sikhs or Hindus or Buddhists. The Indian communists theorised and supported the two-nation theory and partition of India based upon religion and yet they claim to be secularists. It is the Muslims in what is now India who overwhelmingly (98.5% of them) voted for the Muslims League and its demand for partition of India. The pre-partition Punjab, Sindh and NWFP did not vote the Muslim League to power in those states. Muslims do not concede the democratic right of a majority to rule if that majority is non-Muslim. After having fought for the partition of India and having created Pakistan, the votaries of a separate Muslim nation remained in India but are continuing to believe in their being a separate "quaam" (nation). If there is any considerable area where they are a majority, that should be constituted into a separate district or city (eg. Malappuram in Kerala and Quli Kutubshah Development Authority for a part of Hyderabad), they demand. If any Muslim majority area is contiguous to Pakistan, it must be merged with Pakistan. If any Muslim majority area is not contiguous, separate governing bodies must be created. The vote-seeking (at any cost) parties have succumbed to these demands and the result is the creation of new Districts with Muslim majority in Kerala and a separate development authority for the Muslim majority part of a city viz. Quli Qutub Shah Development Authority (QQSDA) for Hyderabad in Andhra Pradesh. It is significant that as in pre-partition days, the division of land on the basis of religion is carried out by the "Secularist" parties namely, Communist and Congress (Congress carved out of Gurgaon District in Haryana, a separate Moslem-majority Mewat district in the last decade).

Major Gen. Afsir Karim in his book has argued that terrorism in Kashmir is a separatist movement entirely inspired by Pakistan and that it can be eliminated only by striking at the source of supply and succour to terrorists

(in “Azad” Kashmir) and not by allowing Pakistan to wage a low cost war against India. He, further makes the most interesting point that while Pakistan is interested in absorbing the Muslim majority Kashmir Valley (if not Jammu and Leh areas even) it is not at all interested in an independent, religiously inspired Khalistan because that Sikh state would soon claim large parts of Punjab, Sindh and Baluchistan which were part of Raja Ranjit Singh’s Sikh empire. He holds that the Pakistani support to Khalistani separatists is only to inflict utmost damage on the economy and unity of India and its integrity at a every low cost to itself. It is very unfortunate that so called secularists of India do not have this intelligent understanding, a Muslim Indian General has.

It is not only in India but everywhere in the world that Muslims would not like to live as patriotic and loyal citizens of a country not ruled by themselves. They think that Islam is a nation besides religion and so, Muslims cannot be expected to be ruled by non-Muslims. It is this faith that has led to the partition of Cyprus where the 20% Muslim minority forcibly divided the country, occupied 40% of the land and declared itself as an independent country. In Sri Lanka, Tamil -speaking Indian origin Muslims do not describe themselves as Tamils or Indians. They say that in Sri Lanka there are Simhalas, Tamils and Muslims. While Simhali and Tamil are languages and all including Muslims are of the same race, Muslims as a religious denomination claim a separate ethnicity. In Bosnia-Herz Govina all people are of the same racial stock and while some describe themselves as Serbs, others as Croats based on language, Muslims speaking the same language and of the same race but professing another religion describe themselves as Muslims as though they are another nationality. No wonder that in India also they describe themselves as Muslims but not as Indians, plain and simple. While Hinduism cannot remain Hindu, if it does not accept Islam and Christianity and other religions as equally valid and true, for their followers Islam certainly does not accept Hinduism as valid and true. Hindus are Kafirs and inferior to them (by what standard, only their God may know). A State with Hindu majority would not discriminates against Muslims or Christians but Muslim states like Pakistan or Saudi Arabia or Bangladesh and Malaysia have no compunction or remorse in declaring themselves as Islamic states.

People professing other faiths are only allowed to live but not enjoy all the rights that Muslims have. In fact, in Pakistan Ahmadiya Muslims were declared as Kafirs and deprived of many rights. Even the celebrated Hindu-hater and Pakistan protagonist like Sir Zafrullah Khan, the Ahmedia was declared a Kafir and treated as a non-person (The only Pakistani Nobel Laureate Dr. Abdus Salam was an Ahmedia. He had to leave Pakistan . He settled in Trieste, Italy). It is a pity that the tolerant faith of Hindus which gives equal rights to those who deny Hinduism’s validity, works against them as a state and as a nation and their country’s integrity. The Muslim separatism and unwillingness to be non-rulers or live as one nation with a majority professing another faith is fundamental to them. It is not for nothing that one great Muslim “secular” leader of India has said that India would remain secular only as long as Hindus are a majority, obviously meaning that the moment Muslims in India outnumber Hindus (perhaps in another 50 years) India would become an Islamic state like Pakistan , Bangladesh or Iran. It must be said to the credit of Muslims living in India that they never accepted any Hindu as a leader of all the people of India. Jinnah refused to meet Gandhiji if he claimed to represent Muslims. He, the leader of the Muslim Quam (nation) would meet Gandhiji as a leader of Hindus only. When Gandhiji passed away, Jinnah said that a great Hindu died. It is only the grand delusion of “secular” Hindus that makes them dream that they are leaders of also the Muslim quam in India. Ask the Indian Union Muslim League whether it would concede that the Congress(I) president represents the Muslims in India and whether it would tell Pakistan to be secular as it wants India to be and give non-Muslims in Pakistan, the rights which Muslims in India enjoy. The answer could be a lesson to Congress- (I) and Communists and various brand of “Secularists”. Unless the fundamental nature of Muslims’s inability to live as minority in a country having non-Muslim majority, except as a separate entity with special laws unto themselves, is understood, India’s integrity will continue to be imperiled and its strength denuded by terrorist movements launched from across its borders and generally sympathized with by the Quam.

How sad it is that after 70 years of partition, the Muslim question in India is exactly what it was before partition. Every separatist

demand, assertion of special privileges, preservation of special laws, separate language, are all espoused by the deluded “Secularists”. Even God may not save such people. India’s repeated partitions or total peaceful conversion of Hindus into a minority by fast breeder policies as in Lebanon where in a matter of 40 years, the majority Christians were reduced to a minority and were dislodged from power in their own land, will be inevitable. The 90 Sachar Moslem districts are embryos of as many Pakistans in what may remain as India.

Dt: 25/02/2010

National Integration and Security – Religious Fundamentalism

(Talk delivered in a Seminar at Warangal on the occasion of
82nd birthday of Sri Satguru Sivananda Murtyji)

I begin this paper by quoting the profound ideas on nation and nation building. These were expounded by Kulapati K. Munshi in his small but seminal book, “Warnings of History” published by the Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan. Three factors are invariably found in virile nations: common memory of achievement, will to unity and habitual urge to collective actions”.

In respect of all these three, the history of India from the time of the British rule in particular and the Muslims invasions in general and very significantly after -independence and electoral democratic politics, our nation-hood is increasingly under assault. India has been in our literature known as Bharata Varsha. The faith belief and life’s practices all over the country were derived from the Vedas, the Upanishads, Mahabharata, Ramayana and such epic literature. We have always considered ourselves as **one country and one people; our life was guided by the principles of Sanatan Dharma as expounded in our vast classical literature and popularized by singing itinerant** minstrels and from discourses, of our Rishis, Saints and Sadhus. Although we had several kingdoms, some of which tried to extend their territory through warfare, the people

were not divided into different nationalities, requiring passports and visas for movement. The system of ***Teerthayatras*** and universal reverence for our Rivers, for our Forests, for our Mountains and for our Seas **kept us as one people despite several kingdoms**. The nearest modern equivalent of this is the Arab nation which now consists of 17 independent sovereign states, despite the people being of the same race, same religion and have the same language. Yet they all think of themselves as Arab nation. We have always considered ourselves as inhabitants of Bharat Varsha.

The nation state which is largely political in nature, is just about 200 years old. Modern Germany came into being by integration by conquest of 22 separate kingdoms by the Kaiser of Prussia under the Chancellorship of Otto von Bismark, in 1871. Even here, Austria which is German in race, language and religion is a separate state with a separate nationality. UK is a construct of only about 300 years comprising of England, Scotland and Wales (and Northern Ireland); Italy has become the nation state by submergence of dozens of kingdoms, only about 150 years ago **Giuseppe Garibaldi**(July 4, 1807 – June 2, 1882) and **Giuseppe Mazzini** (June 22, 1805 – March 10, 1872) were the great Italian patriots who inspired and led all the Italian speaking people in different kingdoms to merge into one Italian nation-state (just as Sardar Patel integrated over 600 princely states into the Union of India within two years!). The USA was invented in 1776 with 13 colonies becoming the United States and it grew to a 50 -state nation state just about 150 years ago. In contrast to all these of just a few hundred years of nation state -hood **we have been a nation -hood people for thousands of years**. Our nation -state was being shaped from the British times onwards. Earlier, the idea that the whole of India was one people had been so powerful that the Mughals were wanting to bring the entire India under their sway with in -different results. From this preliminary exposition, we should conclude that we had been, for milenia **one people, one nation, one country but with different kingdoms**. And the modern Indian nation -state has been in the making mostly since the time of the British imperial rule.

The encounter with the British imperial rulers shook us Hindus out of torpor, out of a withdrawal into a shell, forced upon us by hundreds of years -long life and death struggle to preserve Hinduism from the onslaughts of the invader Islamist rulers and converts under unnatural circumstances co-opted into Islamist ruling circles. Swamy Dayananda, Vivekananda, Lokamanya Balagangadhar Tilak and Gandhiji and several others had been responsible for building in our people a sense of common nation hood, the reinvention of our past nation-hood. Into this nation-hood which is essentially Hindu in inspiration and roots we have no difficulty in accommodating the millions of settlers and native converts to other religions like Islam and Christianity. This has been the fundamental genius of Hinduism in which we have always held,

Ekam sath, vipraha bahudha vadanti - (truth is one but the wise speak of it variously)

and the truth can be searched in different ways through different disciplines and faiths without any hostility between these different paths of seeking the truth. **What is essential is to live in dharma, to uphold dharma and to constantly expand it to cover the changes that come through development of technology and progressing ways** of life like agriculture, industry and information.

Islam has been posing a unique problem unparalleled in the history of mankind. It has divided mankind into **believers** and **non-believers or kafirs**. The brother -hood in it is confined to the believers and the latter are either to be converted (by all available means) or ruled over as dhimmis; that is permitted to live by paying taxes, zezia. Christianity also divided mankind into **believers and pagans**. The latter included Hindus for long. Both these religions are proselytizing and their followers believe that **their Prophets had obliged and advised the followers to convert everybody else to their only truthful religion**, unquestionably believing in their God, in their Prophet, in their Book and the interpretation of that Book and religion by the “authorized” person, the Pope in Christianity and the Mulla- Maulvi- Maulana institutions in Islam.

In India, unlike in the rest of the world, invaded by Islamist rulers, **Hindus resisted the invader-conquerors for several hundred years and despite extensive conquests and rule by Islam’s** kings and Nawabs, Islamists lost power and Hindus gained the upper hand by the closing years of Aurangzeb’s rule (1st decade of the 18th century) by the heroic, patriotic campaigns of the Maratha and Sikhs. Less than 20% of the people left their mother religion Hinduism and even among many of these converts, Hindu beliefs and practices and even laws of inheritance prevailed until recently, even during the British rule.

The separatism, separate national idea and the “**unintegrationability**” of Muslims with the Indian nation which is predominantly Bharatiya Hindu is evident from the speeches of three highly venerated Muslim’s leaders of India.

Mahatma Gandhi committed the Indian National Congress which was secular to the religious cause of Muslim Indians for the Khilafat movement (1919 -’24). India had nothing to do with the Islam’s Caliph in Turkey, who also was the ruler of that country. After the first World War he was stripped off his possessions in Arabia and other lands of Arabs. **While no Muslims anywhere in the world launched any movement for restoration of the Caliph’s privileges, Muslim Indians launched the Khilafat movement for a Muslim cause and Gandhiji in the hope and belief that the if Hindus supported Muslims, the latter would join India’s independence movement**. Tens of thousands of Hindus went to jails **for this Muslim cause**. Gandhiji hailed the leaders of the khilafat movement, brothers Mohammed Ali and Shaukat Ali as his own brothers and yet this is what Mohammed Ali said, speaking at Aligarh and Ajmere in 1924.

“However pure Mr. Gandhi’s character may be, he must appear to be from the point of view of religion inferior to any Musalaman, even though he be without character”.

The Statement created a great stir. Many did not believe that Mr. Mohamed Ali, who testified to so much veneration for Mr. Gandhi,

was capable of entertaining such ungenerous and contemptuous sentiments about him(Gandhi). (Later) When Mr. Mohamed Ali was speaking in a meeting held at Aminabad Park in Lucknow, he was asked whether the sentiments attributed to him were true. Mr. Mohamed Ali without any hesitation or compunction replied.

Yes! According to my religion and creed, I do hold an adulterous and a fallen gentleman to be better than Mr. Gandhi”

Also, during the movement when Congress -men were raising the slogan, **Vandemataram**, Md. Ali objected to this and **insisted that if they said Vandemataram, they should also say Allah ho Akbar !** At the Kakinada Congress session (1923) over which Md. Ali presided he saw to it that, **the proceedings did not start with Vandemataram.** Today it is that same disrespect to Vandemataram and Saraswati Vandana and Bharat so dear to the Hindus that Muslim Indians display stridently.

This separatism, based on religion was not invented in the 1940s but it has its origin in the views and speeches of no less a person than **Sir Sayyed Ahmed**, the first popular Muslim intellectual leader who advocated, modern education for Muslims and who told Muslims that the British rule was god -given and that was preferable to the rule of Hindus, who as a majority would, in independent India be lording over Muslims. In a speech to Muslims in Meerut in the 1888, he asserted that Muslims are **a separate nation that the Muslims nation, cannot share power with Hindus.** Extracts of his speech are at Annexure#2.

Yet another eloquent statement that Muslims are not Indians and that they are a separate nation was thunderously made clear by Md. Ali Jinnah’s speech at the Muslim League conference in Lahore in March 1940. He said,

“It is extremely difficult to appreciate why our Hindu friends fail to understand the real nature of Islam and Hinduism. They are not religions in the strict sense of the word, but are, in fact, different and distinct social orders and it is a dream that the Hindus and Muslims can ever evolve a common nationality, and this misconception of

one Indian nation has gone far beyond the limits and is the cause of most of our troubles and will lead India to destruction if we fall to revise our notions in time. The Hindus and Muslims belong to two different religious philosophies, social customs, literature. They neither intermarry, nor interline together and indeed they belong to two different civilizations which are based mainly on conflicting ideas and conceptions. Their aspects on life and of life are different. It is quite clear that Hindus and Mussalmans derive their inspiration from different sources of history. They have different epics, different heroes and different episodes. Very often the hero of one is a foe of the other and likewise their victories and defeats overlap. To yoke together two such nations under a single state, one as a numerical minority and the other as a majority, must lead to growing discontent and final destruction of any fabric that may be so built up for the government of such a state.

Muslim India cannot accept any constitution which must necessarily result in a Hindu majority government. Hindus and Muslims brought together under a democratic system forced upon the minorities can only mean Hindu Raj. Democracy of the kind with which the Congress High Command is enamored would mean the complete destruction of what is most precious in Islam. Mussalmans are not a minority as it is commonly known and understood. Mussalmans are a nation according to any definition of a nation and they must have their homelands, their territory and their state”.

(More extracts are at Annexure #3) .

Dr. Ambedkar was the only statesman besides to some extent, Sri C.Rajagopalachari who deliberated deeply and **concluded that Muslims can never form part of the Indian nation.** Dr.Ambedkar developed this in his masterly book, India Divided or Pakistan. He advocated the total exchange of minority populations between the Islamic state of Pakistan and the secular state of India because Muslims can never be integrated with the Indian nation. Even the Muslim League advocated the exchange but since Gandhiji and the Congress were hell-bent upon keeping Muslims in India, although they asserted that they were not of

Indian nation. While Pakistan drove out almost all Hindus and Sikhs, India is left with the Muslims and their progeny who rioted and voted for the division of this country. After Independence and the death of Sardar Patel and Rajendra Prasad, the Nehru dynasty had taken the complete control of the Indian National Congress and as its vote percentage was declining, it looked to Muslims as the captive vote-bank. It has been vilifying Hindus and parties like Jana Sangh and the BJP as inimical to Muslims and itself as the protector of Muslims who have once again come to be called “minority”. Is it not shameful that when Muslims assert that they were not a minority but a separate nation, the Congress reverts to its characterization of Muslims as a minority and Muslims started having great ideas of special privileges and rights for the “minority” as they were given under the British (separate electorate, weighted representation; that is 33 1/3% representation for 25% population in Legislative bodies).

As the Congress was getting weakened, regional parties came up and they started competing with the Congress for the Muslim vote. Also all of them together have brought out a situation where:

- Minority Commissions are constituted in every Hindu majority state and at the Union level but no Minority Commissions where Hindus are a minority as in J&K and in north eastern states.
- Minorities Finance Corporations
- Minority Welfare Departments
- Minority Educational Institutions (these are selling 70% of the seats to Hindus and yet claiming general entry as well as **reserved entry into non-minority** that is, government and Hindu - managed educational institutions)
- Jawaharlal Nehru himself moved a bill for subsidising the Muslims pilgrimage, Haj from government coffers (this evil practice had been extended by the Christian Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh for Christians’ pilgrimages to Jerusalem. Fortunately, challenged by a PIL the Andhra Pradesh High Court put an injunction against it)
- The UPA government appointed the Sachar Panel which invented the great fiction of Muslims ‘backwardness due to discrimination

by the majority community that is Hindus. And we now have the Muslim First programs in 90 districts where they are in considerable numbers **which will ultimately become Muslim majority, seek autonomy then fight for independence.** Sardar Patel integrated 600 and odd Princely states within an year of Independence.

- Under the undefined word “secularism” which has been smuggled into the Constitution (along with socialism) during the Emergency of Indira Gandhi, it has now come to pass that all Muslims & Christians are secular and whoever says he is a Hindu is not secular, is communal.

National Security (Internal):

India is the largest victim of Islamist zihadi terrorism, (apart from aggressive, incendiary communal riots which invariably start in Muslim-majority areas of towns and cities). More than 10,000 lives have been lost in J&K, Gujarat, UP, A P, Maharastra, Kerala, Delhi, Punjab, Tamilandu, Karnataka ...). There are thousands of sleeper cells which are tasked, nourished and launched into action from Pakistan, Bangladesh and by All Queda from anywhere in the world. Resident non-Indians are sheltering them, providing safe houses and facilitating reconnaissance, targeting and finances. Moved by religious fraternity, the hosts of zihadi terrorists are not, as required by loyal citizens, revealing to the law enforcement agencies, the identities and habitats of the zihadis. This is treachery.

The minority (nation) is unconcerned with the infiltration and terrorism of those infiltrators. Marriages provide “legal” cover. If suspects are interrogated or taken into custody, swarms of the minority descend upon police stations and under threats of violence and intervention of threatening MLAs (eg: MIM in Hyderabad which is the factory and sanctuary for zihadis) and intervention of indebted /obligated (for votes) Ministers, free the arrested. The “seculars”, “Human rights”, “civil liberties” activists (mostly above-ground Maoists) raise a cacophony about minority-bashing. Few are apprehended; fewer are charged and tried and almost none, even when the Supreme Court sentences and

fixes a date for hanging the terrorist, is punished. India is the safest place for “minority” terrorism. Impotent and unwilling to put down zihadi terrorism India supplicates to USA, Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, Bangladesh etc., to catch the zihadi terrorists ! The Prime-minister routinely expresses shock whenever a suicide zihadi bomber kills dozens of people; declares zero tolerance to terrorism (for the period when the next blast comes), assures that no more bombings will take place and declares solatium for the bereaved. This is all too shameful.

Right way to deal with zihadism:

- Blow up the houses where zihadis lodged and sheltered.
- Declare the hosts as traitors and strip them of citizenship.
- Since the zihadis (and Maoists are by their own assertion) are at war with the State of India, treat them as enemy soldiers in combat; there can be no civil or human rights to enemy soldiers at war.
- Form an all-minority (police) intelligence unit in every state, tasked to collect intelligence about the zihadi terrorists and their infrastructures.
- Stop the funding of mosques and Madrassas by Saudi Arabia and such fundamentalist States.
- State & government must be religion-blind when applying law.
- Impose collective fines on vandals & their support structures
- Constitute vigilance groups to report on infiltrators & illegal residents
- Marriages with foreigners must have prior approval of government
- Returns from Saudi Arabia and other Gulf countries must be compulsory de-brief

What Can We Do :

It is necessary that there is a Hindus Nationalist Party . The BJP which has come out of the Bharatiya Janasangh has become another “secular” party in and out of season asserting that it is secular. It is also having “minority cells” thus perpetuating the separateness of Muslims from the rest. There are Christian Democratic Parties in Germany and Italy which have been ruling those countries. Here in India, there is a Muslim League and a Majlis Iththeadul Muslameen and several such

outfits to protect the interests of Muslims. **To protect the interest of Hindus it is necessary that there is a Hindu national party.**

What are dangerous to Hindus Muslims used to be about 10% after partition of India. They are now anywhere between 15% to 20% and their fast breeding continues. It appears that with differential birth rates between the two communities Hindus will be reduced to minority and to impotence much earlier. In Kerala, the Hindu population of 65% in 1951 have come down to 51% . It will be under 50% very soon as Muslims (and Christians) are furiously proliferating. In order to check this, **India Government must enforce a two-child norm for all families and withdraw every welfare and every privilege and consideration for the entire family which has more than two children, as soon as the third child is born.**

- All the minority commissions and minority educational institutions, Minority Finance Corporations, Minority Welfare Departments should be abolished. In an open democratic society, minorityism based upon religion or language should not be perpetuated by state measures.
- The Common Civil Code as envisaged in the Constitution must be enacted.
- Art-370 should be abolished and J&K integrated with the rest of India like any other former princely states. In fact, it should be broken into three states - Kashmir Valley, Jammu & Laddak. Laddakh may be Union Territory and Jammu may be a full-fledged state like Himachal Pradesh or Uttaranchal.
- State should not in any way assist the purely religious schools like Madrasas of Muslims.
- The Haj subsidy for Muslims ‘ pilgrimage to Macca should be withdrawn.

What the Maoists/ PWG can do to gain the gratitude of the people of Andhra Pradesh (and India)

Undoubtedly, the bulk of the people of Andhra Pradesh are vexed and tormented with the corruption, inefficiency and callousness of government officials and the loot of public funds meant for various development projects. They had not been able to punish any party because the choice has been only between two parties which are more or less equally unmindful of the corruption and inefficiency and the babu- lordism (more than land-lordism or labour-lordism) of officials over the people.

Presiding over the centenary session of the All India Congress Committee, the late Rajiv Gandhi lamented that out of every rupee meant for development work, only 15 paise were beneficially reaching the people and the rest are misappropriated by various layers of government officials and functionaries of the ruling parties. That was 25 years ago in 1985 . The position is far worse today.

We believe that if the Maoists/PWG with its committed cadres and pronounced concern for a corruptionless, efficient, people-oriented and people-serving government can take up the following issues, in the next election it is bound to be swept into power . It can then avoid the bad reputation that without a gun the PWG cannot do any good to the people and it is only by terrorising some that it is surviving.

Following are the issues on which they can engage to the benefit and delight and service of the people:

*** Teachers and Doctors :** Government has posted so many teachers and doctors to the schools and to the primary health centres (PHC) respectively in the rural areas . Most of them are not going to the villages but are continuing to draw their salaries. Some teachers have sub-contracted out their work to a local matriculate or failed fellow

gaining him Rs. 1000 / 1500 per month and pocketing the rest and staying in some town or the other. They give undated leave letters to their surrogates . If any government official comes to inspect the school, the surrogate shows the leave letter.

Many a teacher does not live in the village where he is supposed to teach. They come from near -by town and spend only a few hours in the school. It is not only the teachers, but other village officials are also indulging in this practice.

Doctors posted to PHCs are absenting themselves and are having practice in near by town. The PWG can easily set all these right by mobilising the people and warning the errant teachers as well as the doctors that if they don't behave and fulfil their duties by living in the village where they are posted they will be dealt with sternly (even violently) in the manner that will bring them to heel. It must insist that the children of teachers in government schools must compulsorily admit their children in the government school where they are posted as teachers.

*** Corruption:** The warnings and threats that the PWG has been administering to corrupt contractors will be better directed towards government officials who are drawing fat salaries but are totally unmindful of their duties and are batantly corrupt.

Government officers are supposed to submit statements of immovable property every year. Also, if they have financial transactions on any single occasion of more than a certain amount, they are supposed to report that to government. It is widely known that most government servants in are living beyond their means and that they have huge properties.

Tax assessors and collectors and even peons in Municipal offices are having high value immovable properties, if not in their own name in other names.

So many officials whose salaries are supposed to be “low” are having their children in “convent” schools, international schools, English medium schools. For getting admission they had paid huge donations. Some of the children are in hostels. How are they able to afford these unless they are corrupt? The PWG may collect information in respect of all such officials and call upon them to account for their means.

Take the Prasasan Nagar in Hyderabad. It is for very senior officers of the government. Firstly they have got the sites at throw-away prices. Secondly, no house is costing less than Rs. one crore. These are mostly let out by the officials; themselves living in government accommodation at nominal rent. How can we expect such officers to fight corruption when they themselves have the ill gotten gains?

* **Attendance in government offices** : The PWG cadres and their co-operators may position themselves at different government offices on different days without prior notice and take count of who and how many are coming at the opening time and how many are leaving before the closing time and how much time they are out of their offices during the lunch and other timings. They may give this information to the head of the office. (who too must of course be observed for punctuality and regularity) for disciplinary action. If no action is taken there must be agitation for the suspension and removal of the officer.

* **Theft of public wealth**: They must identify all those rich or poor, who are stealing electricity and themselves forcibly disconnect those connections and report them to the electricity enterprise. Similar action should be taken for those who are having illegal water connections.

* **Criminal money making by politicians**: In 1983, Sri N.T. Rama Rao in his crusade against corrupt politicians said that if he came to power, he would hang all the men in public life who had become unaccountably wealthy. He thundered, “people who could not get a degree or a job, who had no ostensible means of livelihood are MLAs, Chairmen of public sector undertakings; they are now wallowing in wealth. I will have each one of them investigated and the wealth they

looted will be got disgorged”. It didn’t happen. The PWG should appoint constituency-wise investigating teams, make a list of all those who have been MLAs, ministers from that constituency, find out how much wealth they had before embarking upon their career in politics and how much they are now worth; issue notice to them to explain their wealth and if they don’t respond and explain satisfactorily, the PWG should deal with them in their revolutionary manner through people’s courts.

* **Particularly Oppressive Departments**.: The PWG must not only care for the voting masses but also for other citizens and enterprises who are engaged in business and are creating wealth but are driven to dishonest means because of the power that taxation authorities have - sales tax, income tax, excise, property and profession taxes, etc. They should particularly investigate every official and officer in these Departments to ascertain their style of life and wealth. They should publicize the names of the honest people and publicly felicitate them. The corrupt must be dealt with in people’s courts. The experience in Ahmedabad may be useful to them. There, businessmen who had been raided by income tax officers founded a **Ayakar Badhit Sangh** (Association of Income-tax Troubled People). They engaged investigators, gave them motorcycles and wages. These people followed the officers and their family members wherever they went and found out what they purchased, where they ate, how much they spent and at the end of the month they computed what they had spent and called upon the income tax officials to account for that in relation to their salary and other known, legitimate income. Within a few months all the corrupt fellows managed to get transfers and there was at least a temporary relief from the excesses of the tax officers.

Maoist/PWG as Alternative and Parallel Government : One may wonder whether a body like the PWG which is not government, can do all these things. By its very nature, the PWG being revolutionary, need not care for such questions as they never cared for government. They can be presumed to be the government, the parallel government,

the uncorrupt government, the custodian of people's welfare and dispensers of justice. And since the conventional, designated, tax-collecting government has failed, the PWG in its revolutionary fervor and incorruptibility may presume to have the people's, if not the law's, sanction for their actions. Also, the government in Andhra Pradesh has recognized the PWG as its equal; just as government has armed police, so does the PWG have armed people's guards, just as government is collecting taxes, so does the PWG (In AP, their tax collectors are saying their current annual budget is over Rs. 60 crores) the accounts of the PWG are no more opaque than government's; their leaders are protected in the same manner as Ministers and former prime-ministers and their families. There are now two parallel governments. The traditional one claims to be constitutional but has self-doubt, is burdened with the stamp of corruption, immorality and terror of commissions and omissions on the taxed and voting citizens. The PWG government is trying to establish its revolutionary legitimacy. It is being gradually acknowledged by the waning and declining and self-destructing "constitutional" and "duly elected" government. People who are wronged, and whose appeals to the government for justice are ignored by the "duly constituted" government are looking to Maist/ PWG government. Before some Robin-hood (a historical "bandit" who looted the rich and distributed the wealth among the poor) Society for Social Justice, equipped with arms, come up in competition with the PWGs, the PWG government must establish itself as the sole and superior (to government) authority and make the ineffective, insufferable "constitutional" government wither away. Hence are my appeals to the emerging and rising new government of the Maoists/ PWG.

"To develop fully your own character, you must know your country's character. A plant partakes of the character of the soil in which it grows. You are a plant that is conscious, that thinks. You must study your soil – which is your country --- in order that you may be able to draw its strength up into your own strength".

– Dwight D. Eisenhower, former US President

Welfare Without Wealth & Work

Any intelligent and responsible citizen would have envisaged the present perilous position of the finances of the Government of Andhra Pradesh. Over the years, politicians have been indulging in competitive populism promising every type of welfare sometimes without even being asked by the people, in the hope of getting votes and government power, to quit from their poverty and create immeasurable prosperity for themselves; politicians have been showering sympathy and promises and singing paeans to the poor, to the weaker sections, women, minorities, SCs and STs. What has been promised is indeed staggering - not merely Rs.2/- KG rice, subsidized sugar and kerosene, free house sites, houses, marriage assistance (Rs. 15000 for Christians & Muslims), Seemanthams (conception ritual) at government expense, Rs.5000 for every child delivered Rs. 50,000 for every worker dying, pensions for the aged, subsidy of (Rs.25000/- for Christians) pilgrimage to Jerusalem besides Rs. 35,000 for the pilgrimage of Muslims to Mecca; free electricity, fees to be paid by the government for even '0' marks students entering professional colleges, and all these besides loan waivers like Rs.7500 cr for SC & STs, Rs.500 cr for Muslims, Rs. 350 cr for weavers, Rs.5000cr (over and above what the Central government has given) to indebted farmers, Rs. 2 lakhs to 5 lakhs for whosoever dies in any road accident, Arogyasree entailing payments of lakhs of Rupees for a single operation, 6 years of free educational and boarding for children of the rural poor in engineering. Even the richest countries like Sweden and Switzerland and the US don't have this range and scale of welfare. Even with less welfare the US was about to go broke. The most wasteful and harmful welfare is the NREG scheme in which every poor person is given Rs. 100 per day for 100 days (in Andhra Pradesh Rs.150 per day) whether there is work or not. This is totally promotive of idleness and unaffordable wage rates for agricultural labour in the country. In Andhra Pradesh, the most popular Chief Minister (around whom a dynasty has emerged) has introduced **pavala vaddi** (3% per annum interest) scheme for one crore of women, Rs.1 lakh for each. On this alone the

women are default of payment to the government, Rs.10,000 cr and there is no way this default be realised.

Just so many other loans had been waived off before (like the non-performing assets of nationalized banks amounting to a few lakhs of cr of Rupees) the pavala vaddi loans list would also be waived off before the next elections. We have the Jalayagnam which was initially estimated at about Rs. 70,000 cr . If at all it is completed, it would cost not less than Rs. 2 laksh cr.

Late Sri Y S Rajasekhara Reddy was hailed as the greatest benefactor of Telugu speaking people for showering all the above welfare, work or no work; return or no return of loans; completion or non-completion of the Jala Yagnam. Fortunately Dr YSR is not alive to account for the sustainability of his schemes and hence their certain discontinuance or drastic reduction. His successor, the poor Rosaiah, is having to endure the infamy of no money to discharge, obligations under the various welfare schemes. Sri Rosaiah **is not only wise but very clever**. He has told his ministers that since every decision has been a cabinet decision, it is for the entire cabinet and ministers to suggest what to do.

The ministers brought forward and got sanctioned many welfare schemes. Now there is not enough money, Rosaiah is asking them to tell what could be done. That would be another collective cabinet decision so that he alone will not be abused or held responsible for reneging on the various welfare schemes and construction projects. He has the honesty and humility to admit that the financial position of the state is precarious and that bills of five years ago only are being paid to contractors and other suppliers. Clever contractors must have anticipated these delays and therefore would have built into the amounts they quoted for supplies and construction the expense they would incur for raising the capital and servicing the debt. So what could be done for say Rs.100, will be done for Rs. 300 and that too over a long period, causing distress to many. Worse, there are schemes where canals are dug but there are no dams; there are dams without canals; there are lift irrigation works but there is not electricity for the motors to pump up the

water. All this is dead capital, which has not come from surplus budgets but out of loans. No wonder that within the last six years the Government of Andhra Pradesh has added a debt of Rs. 60,000cr over the Rs.50,000 cr that has accumulated since the last six decades.

Let us see the logic of some of the welfare schemes:

* 2,50,000 students in A.P are entering the engineering colleges alone. Of them, about 2 lakhs are getting tuition fees paid by the government. A large number of them have zero marks in the EAMCET exam but since there are seats for certain disadvantaged sections they are admitted. In the first semester exam when they are failing, they are asking the college authorities how dare they fail them when they had been admitted with zero marks; how do they expect a zero marks admittee to pass the exam now? To fulfill inclusive growth and mete out social justice they must be passed. The college authorities are not receiving the fee reimbursement promised by the government yet they are required to pay salaries prescribed by the government. How would they get money unless they take capitation fees? But the government threatens colleges with disaffiliation if they take capitation fee. Even assuming that the government ultimately pays the tuition fee, what is it that people of this state get in return for the hundreds of crores of rupees they are paying as taxes for educating these engineers (and other professionals). First of all, they cannot find any job in private companies. Many of them are substandard. When the literacy is 65% and tens of millions of children are not having even elementary education, instead of spending the government resources on educating such people, why should the government squander its money on the lakhs of unemployable engineers, who have no obligation to serve the people. Would not a scheme of loans confined to the meritorious a better and more sensible proposition?

* Take the YSR's scheme of making one crore women, lakhpathis. They Rs. 1 lakh loan at pavala vaddi. That money would be mostly squandered or misspent. There is no evidence that these one crore women have become self-supporting and would not want any welfare further. For these people, government introduced a free pension scheme also. When government officers themselves are not getting enough pension despite their more than three decades of service, what is the justification for the

State to give pension to the Rs. 1 laksh indebted women from whom it will be impossible to get back any repayment.

* The NREG is a total waste of money. It is promoting idleness and arrogance among the beneficiaries. It is impossible, that in a village there could be 100 days of public work for hundreds of people, year after year. What is happening is, money is simply being distributed. Labour for agriculture is scare to get and if at all anybody is willing to work, he is demanding Rs. 300 for 3 to 4 hrs of work. They are willing to simply idle away. In a family three people are shown as independent households and often all the three are getting Rs 100 per day for 100 days.

* People of this country who are honest, who are hard working, who are enterprising, who are responsible for creation of wealth are being fleeced by the ignoble politicians introducing unsustainable welfare schemes. No wonder there is enough inducement and moral justification for the real wealth creators to avoid and even evade taxes. They are even tempted to take out their money and keep them in safe havens in places like Switzerland, Liechtenstein, Cayman Islands, St. Kitts and such places. It is reported that Rs. 70 lakhs cr of rupees are thus squirreled away from this country and are lying abroad in safe havens. Many people including ministers are involved in this ignoble and adventurous accomplishment, politicians made noises before the elections. All are agreed to keep quiet after the elections. This ignoble political leadership is inflicting insufferable economic pains on this country. We see that daiva bhakti (that is belief and puja to gods) is tremendously increasing, may be, in the hope of exoneration of the sins they are committing. Their bhakti is to their gods and to themselves but not to this country. That is, desa bhakti (patriotism and love for this land and its people) is totally lacking. Therefore the improvident situation is making this country an easy prey to foreign powers into whose hands our government seems to be already passing.

Dt: 3/06/2010

Losing Friends And Appeasing Non-Friends (Enemies)

Israel's action in intercepting the flotilla of ships ostensibly carrying relief supplies but surreptitiously adding weapons and implements of death off the coast of Gaza in May 2010 should make us **deliberate as to whether a nation state has a duty and right to defend its citizens, its properties and its sovereignty against the attacks of terrorists and self declared enemies of the state.** Israel is one state which has been faced with the existential problem since its founding in May 1948 according to the resolutions of the UN. It was involved in several wars with its neighbours and even more bleedingly, by terrorists within and across its borders. This fact must be borne in mind.

That several states have intervened and taken massive armed actions against Dubcek threats to themselves can be seen from recounting a few instances. The former USSR, in defense of what it called the socialist fraternity of nations (which were its allies in fact its client states) intervened massively in Hungary (1956) Czechoslovakia (1969), in Poland and in east Germany. Communist China invaded India (1962) and Vietnam (1976) besides occupying Tibet and over-powering Xinxiang, two regions over which it has a contested claim of sovereignty. The UK sent its navies and air forces and armies over 11,000 kms to Falkland Islands which Argentina calling them Malinas occupied threatening the safety and life of British subjects there. The US intervened in Vietnam and twice in Iraq. It has invaded both Iraq and Afghanistan in order to put an end to the terror strikes by jihadis based in these two countries, which are removed thousands of kms from the US. Most of these armed actions had been asserted to be in defense of their nation's security to save themselves from the aggressions and terror strikes of their sworn enemies. For the past few years, the US is striking at targets in Afghanistan and undefined border lands of Pakistan to eliminate the terrorists and their infrastructure. Against all these actions of these

countries, the international community had not reacted with the swiftness and decisive condemnation as they have been doing in regard to Israel whenever it resorts to defend itself by armed actions from its sworn enemies who have declared that the state of Israel should be eliminated.

Leaving aside the tortuous history of the attempts at reconciliation between the Palestinians wishing to have their own state and Israel, there is now a Palestinian Authority (PA) which set itself to administer the West Bank of Jordan and the Gaza strip, the areas which would be the territory of the future Palestinian state when peace is concluded between it and Israel. The Palestinian Authority (PA), earlier under the leadership of Yasser Arafat and now Mahmoud Abbas has been at a very slow pace working towards an ultimate peace with Israel through negotiations. Hijbullah and Hamas two deadly militant, violent factions of the Palestinian movement for a state of their own and extermination of Israel have publicly vowed against any negotiations with Israel. Therefore they defied the PA, got rid of its control and have declared themselves to be the defacto government of Gaza. They did not stop at that. They have been launching thousands of missiles into Israel to disrupt its civilian life, destroy its infrastructures and indiscriminately kill as many Israeli citizens as possible. That Gaza used to be under the Israel's control in order to prevent the Hamas and Hisbullah from carrying out murderous terrorist attacks on Israel. When Ariel Sharon was the Prime Minister, Israel unilaterally withdrew from Gaza destroying a number of its own settlements in the process despite massive resistance from these settlers. That act establishes the bonafides of Israel in not wanting to annex Gaza to its own territory. No sooner Israel withdrew, the Hamas and Hijbullah took control of the areas and intensified their murderous terrorist attacks on Israel. They defied their own government, the Palestinian Authority. They have become a law unto themselves. These are supplied and encouraged by Iran and to some extent by Syria, two-states which seem to be implacably resolved to eliminate Israel from the face of the Middle East. Hamas and Hijbullah had dug tunnels across the land border with Egypt and were smuggling huge quantities of arms. Egypt overpowered the Hijbullah- Hamas gangs and destroyed that tunnel, preventing the smuggling of arms. It of course allows supplies

of food clothing and medicines and such stores for easing the hard life of Gazans. Hamas and Hijbullah however went upon acquiring arms including missiles from whichever quarter is willing to supply them. It has been able to enlist some humanitarian agencies as well as states to give it humanitarian aid. But some of these are indulging in covert supplies along with medicine and clothing. They are also supplying deadly arms and lethal weapons. In order to prevent the inflow of war materials into Gaza Israel, in exercise of its right to defend itself from its enemies and destroyers, **declared a blockade of the Gazan coast.**

In the latest incident the flotilla of ships (from a Turkish organization) defied the blockade and refused to let the Israelis to land on the ship to search for any contraband. They violently resisted and in the encounter some persons in the flotilla died. This is the self-invited incident.

Why is India rushing to denounce Israel's actions? Is it not our unwillingness and incapacity to defend our coasts that enabled the Pak-based, pak-supplied jihadis to land in Mumbai from the naval craft and kill nearly 200 innocent Indians in Mumbai in the terror attack on 26/11/2008. Before that event and after it too, government of India has been unable to even apprehend the attackers, their accomplices their facilitators; it has not been able to punish even the few who have been caught; it is not even daring to carry out the death sentence confirmed by the Supreme Court more than 5 years ago; this government is not having the determination and the strength even to run trains within its territory for fear of their destruction by the armed Maoists within the country. It is in a sense abdicating its duty of protecting its citizens and peace within the country from the threats and actions of terrorists. Israel is of a different stuff. It is determined to exist, no matter how many wars it has to fight, how many people it has to sacrifice and no matter outside world in its appeasement policies pavlovianly comes on the side of the Palestinian terrorists. It is a matter of regret if not of shame that India, which cannot defend itself from internal and external terrorists, which could not prevent the expulsion of all the Hindu citizens from its own territory, Kashmir Valley, is rushing to denounce Israel for defending itself from terrorists, from forces which are publicly and globally declaring

that their intention is to destroy Israel. India is instantaneously denouncing Israel whenever it strikes against terrorists notwithstanding its effeminacy, of its inability to tackle terrorism even within its own borders. While America and other countries can strike at the breeding grounds of terrorism thousands of kilometers from their own territory, India is afraid to strike against insurgents within and terrorists within and from across the borders. Does this not show that the state is withering and that those in power have only one aim, namely, to continue in power for as long as possible, come what damage may to its people and to the country? It is a tragedy that even a so called nationalist party, in imitation of secular parties, is silent upon the anti-national policies and pronouncements against the government.

Dt: 7/06/2010

Reservations for Muslims – Jawaharlal Nehru's Failed Attempt

It would be instructive and inspiring to recall an incident that Sri N.V. Gadgil, a Minister in the first cabinet of Jawaharlal Nehru has recorded in his book, **“From Inside the Government”**.

Disturbed by the mass exodus of Hindus engineered by the Muslim League government in east Pakistan in 1949, Sardar Patel went to Calcutta. At a mammoth public meeting in the Maidan, he declared that if this exodus and the torment of Hindus in east Pakistan does not stop, he would not hesitate to liberate a few districts of East Pakistan adjoining west Bengal and settle the Hindu population of that wing of Pakistan in a secure territory. That was in 1949. Liaquat Ali Khan was the Prime Minister. He and the Muslim League might not have cared if Jawaharlal Nehru said these words but for Sardar thought word and deed were one. The liberation of Hyderabad from the Islamist Nizam and Razakars in Sept 1948 showed up Sardar Patel for what he was. Terrified by the public statement of Sardar Patel the Prime Minister of Pakistan Liaquat Ali Khan rushed to India. He met Jawaharlal Nehru whom he knew as the great friend and protector of Muslims. A draft of a pact was worked

out between the two Prime Ministers. It came for ratification by the Indian Cabinet. It provided inter-alia, **promises to give due representation for Muslims in India's services. In the Cabinet meeting Sri N.V.Gadgil referred to this obnoxious clause and with great passion denounced it as going against secularism for which the Congress under Gandhi's leadership fought for so long. How could secular India give communal representation** in government organs, he thundered.

Jawaharlal Nehru was furious. He said he had committed this to the Prime Minister of Pakistan. Sri Gadgil then said **“India is a democracy. Its government has a cabinet which is jointly responsible and no one can make international commitments on behalf of the government without prior** cabinet approval. He was opposed to this provision in the draft pact, called Nehru-Liaquat Ali Pact. He did not yield to Nehru's anger and threats. He stood his ground. At the instance of Sardar Patel, the meeting was postponed.

To save face a redrafted document was sent through Gopalaswamy Iyengar, Nehru's trusted servitor to Sardar Patel. That was because Nehru knew that Gadgil was Sardar Patel's ally and if Patel agreed, Gadgil would relent. Without giving any opportunity to Gopalaswamy Iyengar to talk about that redrafted document, the Sardar put it in his pocket, and dismissed Iyengar. Next day in the Cabinet meeting no such thing came up for discussion. The final so called Nehru-Liaquat Ali Pact did not contain anything about representation for Muslims in government organs.

The Constituent Assembly of India was convened on the 9th of Dec 1946. The Muslim League members boycotted it. There was not a single Congress Muslim in the Constituent Assembly as all Muslims voted only for Muslim Leaguers. On the 13th of December Jawaharlal Nehru moved the Objectives Resolution. Sri M. R. Jayakar, a venerable liberal leader moved a counter resolution proposing postponement of the Objectives Resolution to a future date so that in the meanwhile, the

Muslim League members could be persuaded to join the Constituent Assembly. The Muslim League members while boycotting had also planned to obstruct the Assembly work as much as possible. Their leader Khaliqzaman only would attend the Consenbly and intervned now and then only to obstruct the progress of constitution making. Soon thereafter, he left to Pakistan .

After the partition of India such of the Muslim League members who did not go away to Pakistan started attending the Constituent Assembly. They were promptly admitted into the Congers party at the instance of Jawaharlal Nehru. They demanded separate electorate and proportionate representation, the same tune as before partition Sri H.C.Mukherjee, a Christian opposed both these demands. He said that communal representation is harmful and destructive of the unity of India. Homi Modi, the Parsi member went further and said **that every type of reservation is harmful to the nation**. Another member, Smt. Rajkumari Amrith Kaur, a Christian from the royal family of Patiala but a strict follower of Mahatma Gandhi and the first lady in the Cabinet of free India also opposed communal representation and reservations. Sri K.M.Munshi and Sardar Patel had very deftly handled the unrepentant and recalcitrant Muslims in the garb of Congress. In fact, at their instance, Begum Aizaz Rasul stood up to denounce communal representation and reservations. That was how the patriots; nationalists in the Congress Party, despite Jawaharlal Nehru and his Muslim lieutenants, were able to do away with separate electorate for Muslims and other minorities and representation for them based upon their religions.

How ignoble it is for the Congress and other so called secular parties in India now to compete to promise reservations and proportional representation to Muslims and how shameful it is that some intellectuals (Js. Rajinder Sachar, Kuldeep Nayar etc.) are pleading for reservation for Muslims in government & educational institutions on the basis of their ever increasing population. These (Manmohan Singh, I.K.Gujral, Khushwant Singh included besides Rajinder Sachar and Kuldeep Nayar) are the ones who fled from the Islamic state of Pakistan and have taken refuge in India! Their integrity and their strength of conviction could be

believed only if they go back to the land where they were born (which is Pakistan) since partition and exert themselves to use their punditry in the Islamic state of Pakistan to get for the remnant Hindus and Sikhs (reduced from 19% in 1947 to 1% now) what they are seeking to provide for Muslims in India.

While the former Muslim Leaguers like Begum Aizaz Rasul (chastened by the guilt of what they wrought-partition of India) pleaded for termination of the British given boon (acquiesced in by national, ‘secular’ Gandhi-Nehruvian Congress) of separate electorate, weighted (33 1/3% for 25%) reserved representation, the “nationalist” Muslims in Congress led by Maulana Abul Kalam Azad argued for continuance of the British conferred weighted communal representation*. Sardar Patel once famously said that the only nationalist Muslim he knew was Jawaharlal Nehru! It was Sardar Patel ably and notably supported by Christian, Sikh and Parsi leaders in the Consenbly that put an end to constitutional provision for Muslim separatism.

It would be clear to every serious and sincere and studious student of India’s history since the birth of the Indian National Congress in 1885 and of the Muslim League in 1906 that Congress, under the leadership of Mahatma Gandhi and Pt. Nehru has unwittingly helped the growth of Muslim separatism and birth of Pakistan and later, after the death of Sardar Patel under the Nehruvian “secularism”, Muslims have been helped to revive their pre-1947 two-nation mentality through measures like Nehru-sponsored Haj subsidy (Rs. 700 cr in the year 2007-’08), Minority Commission, Minority Educational Institutions, Minority State Finance Corporations, Minority Welfare Ministries and now on to minority reservations. Taking a leaf from Muslims, Christians also have started playing the minority card. And the Christian Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh, late Dr Y.S.Rajasekhara Reddy, being under the patronage of the foreign-born, Christian supremo, and Bharata Bhagya Vidhaatri, Sonia (Maino) Gandhi of Congress(I) and UPA; introduced subsidy (Rs. 20,000) for every Christian’s pilgrimage to Jerusalem, + Rs. 15,000 for Christians’ (and Muslims’) marriage; created a separate Christian State Finance corporation and gave 179 government orders

(G.Os) sanctioning crores of rupees for the construction of churches (and some 19 G.O.s for repair and construction of mosques). He even, boldly and publicly declared that **“his is a government of minorities”**

The Sachar Committee report leading to the **Muslim First** program of converting 90 districts in India into Muslim-stans; the Ranganath Mishra report to extend reservations to dalit-castes among (the casteless) Muslims and Christians, the competition between “secular” parties to give (more than the other) reservations to Muslims are all the outgrowth of Nehruvian “secularism” in India. It is in effect a more sinister and virulent replay of the pre-1947 communal politics. The only difference is that while the Congress had then acquiesced in the birth of Islamic states in a part of India, the current “secular” politics will lead to dismemberment of remanent India into 90 Muslim states, some Christian states and Hindus would once again revert to be ruled by alienated people of alien faiths.

Dt: 16/06/2010

Fee Reimbursement by Government of A.P for Students in professional colleges especially engineering colleges

(Gist of speech by Dr T.H.Chowdary, Chairman Pragna Bharathi at the public consultation meeting organised by the Minister for Social Welfare on the issue of fee reimbursement for students in Andhra Pradesh)

- Fee reimbursement is indeed a great idea but any reimbursement any gift, any **dana** must be given in the context of the giver's means or affordability, the recipients merits and if the giver is a public body like the government, the public good that the **dana** (like the fee reimbursement) will do.
- The Constitution's directive of universal education for children in the age group 6 to 14 years to be attained within 10 years of the Constitution, that is, by January 1960 has not yet been fulfilled.

- In our State the illiteracy is over 30%. There are a few million children in the 6 to 14 years age group who are not in school. Government is putting in valiant efforts to put all of them in school especially in view of the Right to Education Act recently promulgated.
- The first duty of the state should be to fulfill this primary duty of imparting universal education.
- From the available resources for education, **the first call shall be for universal primary education**. The fee reimbursement for professional colleges, like engineering, is not the primary duty of the state.
- Every available resource should first cater to impart primary and secondary education to all children of the state.
- There are 650 engineering colleges with an intake capacity of about Rs. 2,50,000. It is universally recognized and accepted that most of these colleges don't have enough number of teachers, especially well qualified ones. The teaching staff is of a poor standard. I have visited about 100 engineering colleges in our state in the last decade, many in the last few years. I put simple questions to students and to the teachers. I am sorry to state that neither many of teachers nor most of the students have any idea of the basic principles or fundamental concepts in science & engineering. No wonder that not more than 10% of the so called engineering graduates from our state are employable.
- Because of the huge intake capacity and the prospect of government reimbursement of fees for about 80% of students, students with very poor marks are entering the engineering colleges. Many of them are failing in the first semester examination. They are questioning as to when they got admission with poor marks, why should the college expect them to pass the first seminar exam? They have a good reason to ask. The mistake is that of those who prescribed the low entrance standards and the poor quality and inadequate number of teachers.
- There is absolutely no possibility that our state can provide 2,50,000 jobs every year for these engineers. The country is producing about 700,000 engineers a year. There is no way in which all these

engineers can be provided with jobs anywhere in this country, even with 8.5% annual GDP growth. There is of course a great market for engineers in the US and Europe. But most of our students with BE degree from the state and elsewhere cannot be employed by foreign companies operating abroad or within this country. **We are therefore producing a large number of unemployable and unemployed engineering graduates.**

- Assuming that some are employable, what good are they going to return to the society, to the people of this state from whose taxes their education is to be supported? When many of them are not needed and more are not employable, what good the state and the public are going to derive from the expense of fee reimbursement without any discrimination or qualification or commitment on the part of the students.
- It is not that the state is having surplus money and therefore can support the education of lakhs of engineering students. The state has got a heavy debt burden (of Rs. 1,10,000 crores). It is not able to execute even the most important Jala Yagnam projects within a bound time and costs are escalating. We seem to be following the principle:

Rinam kritva, ghritam pibet

Take a loan and drink ghee –(the Telugu equivalent is **appu chesi poppu koodu**)

- The difficult financial positions of the State has led to the reduction of intake from 6000 students per year to 3000 into the most well thought out RGITs in Nuzvidu, Basara and Idupulapai. Although these are rightly and deservedly meant to help the children of our rural population, the cut was imposed because of inadequate financial resources.

2. Amending the Scheme:

We can realize that government is in a difficult position because it has undertaken fee reimbursement and is finding itself in a great financial stringency. The measure may therefore be reformed in a manner which is least hurtful to us. I suggest the following:

- The fee reimbursement should be only for the really poor people. The poverty is to be ascertained not by the white ration card (lakhs of bogus cards had been identified) but by strict evidence publicly available and ascertainable. If the student is from a village, the Gram Panchayat in an open meeting should certify the property and income of the parent, to determine the degree of poverty. Family size, other earning members etc., must also be recorded. **If an earning family cannot help, neither should the government.**
- The fee reimbursement **should stop totally if a person fails in the semester exam.**
- The fee reimbursement should be treated as an interest-free loan. It may be repayable in two ways. (a) when called upon, the beneficiary should serve the government of the state wherever he is posted, in whatever job, (not necessarily engineering) he could be judged to perform, for say, 5 years.
- The degree certificate must bear a note that the bearer has been given state assistance by way of fee reimbursement, an amount of Rs. XXXX for his graduate studies; the state has a first call on him for employment. If any private company employs him, government has a right to require the private company to pay the total fee reimbursement received by the degree holder, in say 5 annual installments. The private company should also inform the government of the fact of beneficiary's employment with full details of the emoluments paid, address, place of work contact nos and so on.
- If the beneficiary does not want to serve the government, then he should be required to refund after a 2-year moratorium the entire amount of reimbursement in installments, the number to be decided by the government.

3. Simpler Way: Create an Education Loan Fund:

- As all the above stipulations are very difficult to enforce, the simplest way is to **create an Education Loan Fund and administer** it through Nationalized Banks. It may have zero percent or nominal percent interest. The loan may be disbursed

in installments to the college after each semester provided the student passes the semester exam.

- The problem of recovery of the loan is not totally and clearly solvable. It is analogous to the loans given to farmers. May be, the principle applied for waivers or rescheduling of farmer's repayments maybe applied to the student educational loans.

Dt: 14/06/2010

Congress organizing Muslims (and Christians) to Disintegrate the Nation

Before independence in 1947, the secular Indian National Congress at Gandhiji's insistence involved itself in the Muslims movement to restore the deposed Caliph in far off Turkey after Turkey's defeat in the Second World War. That involvement helped to whip up Islamist consciousness among Indian Muslims. It ultimately led to the annunciation of the two-nation theory and partition of India and the expulsion of Hindus, Sikhs & Buddhists from Pakistan almost completely and reduction of non-Moslem population in Bangladesh from 30% to 7% (reduction continuing).

Congress is once again building up Muslim separatism by creating **minority commission**, minority welfare department, minority educational institutions, and Minority State Finance Corporation, subsidizing (Rs. 700 cr in 2007-08) Muslims' pilgrimage to Mecca, building Urdu Ghars and Shadi Khanas; setting up Urdu Universities etc. In Andhra Pradesh, the first ever full-breed Christian Chief Minister Dr Y.S.Rajasekhara Reddy went further and started giving secular government's money for the marriage of Muslims (and Christians) at Rs. 15,000 per marriage. (a Muslims can have four wives and so, four marriages and thus get Rs. 60,000 and every time, he divorces and takes another wife he may claim another Rs.15,000). The Chairman of the Minority Affairs Committee of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Sri Mastan Ali who represents the Guntur East Assembly Constituency gave out (Sakshi 4th June 2010 Guntur Edition) that for

the year 2009-'10 Rs. 70 cr had been set apart by the Andhra Pradesh government for performing the marriages of poor Muslims. He further stated Muslims marriages will be organised throughout the State on the 2nd of Aug 2010. He said that even if the applications for marriage subsidy exceed what was envisaged, there should be no difficulty as additional funds will be arranged from the government .

The late Christian Chief Minister also extended the secular government's monies to Christians' marriages as well as their pilgrimage (Rs. 25,000) to Jerusalem. All these monies are coming from the budget; that is the taxes that Hindus pay. 95% to 97% of the tax revenues of the government are from Hindus. It is obvious that Hindus are now subsidizing the marriages and pilgrimage for Muslims & Christians. This is the new form of **Zezia, the poll tax that some Muslim** rulers (like the notorious Aurangzeb) imposed upon Hindus for remaining as Hindus and not converting. The Congress led by a foreign-born Christian supported by other Christian Chief Ministers are now boldly and publicly extending the Zezia to benefit not only Muslims but also Christians. How the Congress is disintegrating the nation can be further seen from the following:

There is a village, Narsipudi, Almur Mandalam near Rajamundry, East Godavari District. It has a population of about 8000 of whom about 150 or less than 2% are Muslims . Till a few years ago, there was no mosque. About 10 years ago, a small mosque was set up. Now, with lot of funds coming from unidentified sources as well as from the government they have constructed a two storeyed impressive mosque with twin loud speakers, just opposite to a small temple for Anjaneya Swmay. Now the Muslims object to any music from the temple saying that they are praying. But the mosque is blaring prayers; that is 2% of the people are having a overwhelming and overawing and dominating presence. At each village, Mandal and district level, Congress is organizing a committee of Muslims. This committee is charged with the duty of organizing and instructing the Muslims that they are minority; they have got minority rights, that they can get Haj subsidy, marriage subsidy, establish Madrasas and so on. In my village Angaluru (Krishna Dist) for centuries there was no Madrassa or mosque. But in the last few years

for the less than ten Muslim families, there is a mosque and Madrassa. People whose dress and make-up was no different from Hindus have changed – they sport, beards have burqua and s on. The Congress party is further telling them that since they are giving so many benefits and facilities they expect the Muslims to vote for them. The British did not do this. The Congress did not do this before 1947. The Congress did not do this before 1960. But since then as it has become weaker and it is being challenged by regional parties, it is interested in building up Muslims as a vote block. In Andhra Pradesh, the late Chief Minister publicly, boldly, proudly declared that “**his is a government of minorities**”. While there was once one Minority State Finance Corporation he created another Christian State Finance Corporation and a Christians Welfare department (besides the Muslims Welfare Department). Funds from the budget are given to this for promotion of minorities 100% of the fees for Muslims (and Christians) in professional colleges are paid by the government, classifying all these as poor. The minority professional colleges sell 70% to 80% of their seats to Hindus.

Thus in the “secularism” of the Congress party, no less of the TDP, the PRP would surely compete with Congress & TDP. They are promoting the increase of the minority population. The proportion of the Hindu population is continuously going down. 90 districts in India (of which 3 are in Andhra Pradesh) have been identified as Muslim districts. They will soon be converted to Muslim majority districts Hindus will flee from them as they have fled from Kashmir.

Tolerance - Christian Concept

I am in the right. You are in the wrong. When you are stronger, you ought to tolerate me for it is your duty to tolerate the truth. But when I am stronger, I shall persecute you for this is my duty to persecute errors.

- St. Augustine .

The most venerated Christian scholar and propagandist for Christianity. (Source: Quoted in the article, Religion, Rajaji and his Social Philosophy by R. Srinivasan in the Jan 2005 issue of Freedom First a journal published from Mumbai)

Unpardonable Ingratitude

When Mecauly wrote the Indian Penal Code (IPC), he recorded every possible type of crime and appropriate punishment for each. One admirer of his met him to tell him that while his Code was great, it had one blunder of omission. Macaulay inquired what it was. The reply was, “**you did not list ingratitude as a crime**”. Mecauly said that he knew that ingratitude is a crime but it is of such magnitude for which no human can give adequate punishment. God alone can give the punishment it deserves. That is why he did not include ingratitude as a crime in the Penal Code which human being administer.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao would, by the reckoning of every impartial intelligent and unbiased critic, be held as a great Prime Minister of India. Apart from his erudition, he was a great visionary who had complete comprehension of the Indian mind in its many splendoured dimensions. The country was mesmerized by Jawaharlal Nehru with his undefined but popular phrases, “socialism” & “secularism”. Nehru believed that the prosperity of India and the enlightenment and empowerment of Indians can be brought about **only by the state, led by a person like him and after him, his progeny**. He was unaware or purposely did not want to acknowledge the fact that India was the most prosperous country in the world (after China) until the first decade of the 18th century, with 25% of the world’s trade, 19.6% of the world’s industrial production, as its shares in the first decade of the 18th century and that **this was possible only because of the entrepreneurial spirit of the Bharatiyaas**. He did not say as to why the Indian philosophy and Indian **dharma**s and even Indian kings were accepted in what are now known as Malaysia, Indonesia, Thailand, Kampuchea and Laos and that its influence covered the whole of Central Asia and Tibet. Sri Narasimha Rao not only knew this but cherished that heritage and realised that only the inherent entrepreneurship of Indians can create prosperity and not the state –directed, state-wrought actions. Until Sri Narasimha Rao became the Prime Minister, the rate of economic growth was hovering

between 2% and 3.5%. It was **pejoratively called the Hindu rate of growth while it in fact was the permit-licence-quota- socialism rate of growth**. During Nehrus's and Indira Gandhi's life time, none excepting Rajagopalachari and N.G.Ranga and Mino Masani dared to question the permit-license-quota raj, otherwise called Nehruvian socialism. Narasimha Rao also did not question this fraud. He was a disciplined loyalist of the Dynasty - Indira Gandhi and Rajiv Gandhi.

By a divine intervention (what else can we call his becoming the Prime Minister; for the Congress party did not even give him a ticket and he packed up his belongings to leave Delhi and settle down in his native state) he became the Prime Minister in 1991 after Rajiv Gandhi's assassination. The Congress party had only 220 members, 54 short of the bare majority in the Lok Sabha. The Indian economy was in ruins. The Soviet Union collapsed and with it, the magic of socialism; that is, state capitalism. India's foreign exchange ran out; all the gold that we had in the country in the vaults of the Reserve Bank had been sent to London for pledging it to bankers to take a loan so that the next few weeks imports could be financed. Such was the perilous state of the finances of the country.

Narasimha Rao who became the Prime Minister by chance faced a very difficult situation. And that brought out his innate **pragna** and **prathibha**, intellect and wisdom. This was the opportunity for him to test his faith in Bharat and Bharatiyaas as the great venturesome people who knew how to create prosperity. He was aware of the very long intellectual campaign that the intellectual giant of India, Sri C.Rajagopalachary carried on through his paper Swarajya and his party, Swatantra against the pernicious Permit - Licence - Quota Nehruvian "socialism". Rajaji held that the ills of India, the poor growth rate and the corruption are all due to the Nehruvian "socialism". That stifled Indians' inherent and innate entrepreneurship. Narasimha Rao boldly took the political decision that this phoney socialism should be ended and people should be trusted for their initiatives and enterprise, business acumen and achievement. He was not an economist. But he was wise enough to choose a great economist, Dr. Manmohan Singh as his Finance Minister.

Andrew Carnegie, the pioneering steel producer (USA) who rose from penury to opulence, chose the words for his epitaph even when he was alive “ **Here lies buried a man who knew how to choose a person better than himself**” Narasimha Rao was exactly like Andrew Carnegie in choosing a man more competent than himself in economics. We know the results. The permit-licence –quota raj was dismantled. Opportunity opened up for every dreaming, daring, enterprising Indian to be a business- man, an industrialist, to imagine and engineer (Imagineer) his dream. We are now seeing the results of the winding up of the Nehruvian “socialism”, of permit-licence –quota raj. Our economy has been growing at a very healthy rate, the second highest rate in the world after China, at between 7.0% & 9.0% (more than twice the Nehruvian rate). **This is the true Hindu growth rate made possible by a true Hindu Prime Minister of Hindu India.**

People wrongly attribute our economic growth rates to Dr. Manmohan Singh. If there was no political will, the Nehruvian permit-licence – quota raj could not have been dismantled. Sri Narasimha Rao had no reputation of being a strong Congress leader. He became the Prime Minister when the party's fortunes were at their nadir. Power slipped from Nehru Dynasty with the death of Rajiv Gandhi. His widow, Sonia wanted the “legacy”. Most Congress -men were still enchanted and enslaved by even the foreigner who had come into the Dynasty's family. Narasimha Rao had every difficulty on his plate-a run -down economy, a minority party holding the reins of government and most fearsomely, Rajiv's widow looking down upon him. Narasimha Rao managed to satisfy every wish of Sonia Gandhi, even to the extent of facilitating the escape of Ottavio Quotrochi, the swindler Italian in the Bofors gun deal. While carefully avoiding the visitation of the displeasure and wrath of Sonia Gandhi, Narasimha Rao steered the country towards economic upswing through a well chosen man. **He used his Chanakya cleverness to convert the minority Congress into a majority party in the Lok Sabha so that his liberalisation programs would not be aborted by a defeat in the Lok Sabha.** It was during his time that the Indian young and entrepreneurs rapidly rose to build up the economy. The initiative and wisdom and capacity of millions of Indians were, for

the first time after Independence, unleashed. The phenomenon so impressed the world's oldest and prestigious economic weekly, **"The Economist"** of London to describe India as a **"tiger uncaged"**, performing not only in India but within a short time, Indians have become world players, **as they were 300 years ago.**

It is mean and ungracious on the part of the supremo of the Congress and her minions to totally disregard Narasimha Rao's contribution to India's economic prosperity and power. Today, there are more statues to Dr. Ambedkar than to Mahatma Gandhi and these are increasing. Dr. Ambedkar thought of what India should be after it gained independence and how the caste system, the bane of Hinduism could be annihilated so that we become a truly integrated, missioned nation. He saw that education was the means to it and that is why, as in no other Constitution in the world, he wrote the article laying down the obligation on the government to provide free, compulsory education to every child between age 6-14. We are realizing the power of education now. That is why Dr. Ambedkar is being honoured. **Not very long from now we will see more statues of Narasimha Rao than Nehru or Gandhi for it is he alone of all the Prime Ministers, with the greatest dexterity set India away from the dreary, desolate path of phoney socialism, to one of people's enterprises.**

The supremo of the Congress has descended to such ingratitude and meanness as could be seen from the following*:

"Sonia Gandhi praised contributions of all Congress Prime Ministers except Narasimha Rao in her speech.....Making no mention of Narasimha Rao in her 15 mnts speech, she said **Rajiv Gandhi scripted the course of economic policies(!)** that were followed by the government for the following five years".

Can there be any greater falsehood and self-glorification of the Dynasty than these words that deliberately ignore Narasimha Rao?

Here is what Prof. Ramachandra Guha the noted historian had written in the daily, **"Telegraph"** from Calcutta. "Narasimha Rao may be denied the credit by the present Congress leadership for taking the Indian economy well above the Hindu rate of growth of two to three per cent per annum. *But they do not let the public forget his greatest defeat, which was his failure to stop the demolition of the Babri Masjid (Babur was not an Indian; he was an invader who had his body buried in native central Asia- THC) in December, 1992... From the point of view of the present Congress leadership, Rao's problem was not just that he was not a Nehru-Gandhi, it was also that as prime minister, he did not genuflect enough to the Nehru Gandhis...* Now that the Nehru-Gandhi once more control both party and government, P.V. Narasimha Rao has become the great unmentionable within Congress circles. *I should modify that statement- **Rao can be mentioned only if it is possible to disparage him.** Thus his contributions to economic growth and to a more enlightened foreign policy are ignored.* (1628 words)

- Source: Wikipedia

1. (Source: ^ "Sonia omits Rao as she praises Congress PMs on anniversary". IANS News report 'Roundup'. Thaindian News, 28 December 2009. http://www.thaindian.com/newsportal/politics/sonia-omits-rao-as-she-praises-congress-pms-on-anniversary-roundup_100295865.html. Retrieved 30 March 2010.
2. ^ "Sonia says Rajiv fired post-1991 reforms, makes no mention of Rao". Express News Service. Indian Express, 29 December 2009. <http://www.indianexpress.com/news/sonia-says-rajiv-fired-post1991-reforms-ma/560923>. Retrieved 31 March 2010.
3. ^ "The great unmentionable: The Congress wishes to overlook Narasimha Rao's achievements". Ramachandra Guha. The Telegraph (Calcutta), 27 March 2010. http://www.telegraphindia.com/1100327/jsp/opinion/story_12252417.jsp. Retrieved 29 March 2010

Nationalise Inter-State Rivers

Water is a natural resource. No man, no nation makes it. It falls as rain from skies and flows down as brooks, rivulets and rivers to join the seas, oceans and in some fortunate lands, into lakes(eg. Baikal in Russia). Some of the rain water seeps underground and gathers in layers. We draw it through bore-wells.

Rivers follow the lay of the land, always flowing from higher to lower regions. They traverse all the lands to the sea. They recognize no boundaries of States and Countries. Rivers like Danube and Rhine flow through the territories of several independent, sovereign nation states. No nation has exclusive claim on the water of the portion of the river, flowing in its territory. The states through which the river passes are called riparian states. They mutually agree how much of the river water can be used by each of them.

Israel, the tiny desert state depends on the waters of the river Jordan. Jordan is fed by waters from Turkey, Syria, Jordan and a little from Israel. To starve Israel into water starvation, Turkey-Syria planned to build a dam across the river Banas, in their territory. Banas is a tributary to Jordan. Israel vowed to destroy any dam built on Banas anywhere as such dams would reduce the inflow of water into Jordan, the life-line for Israel. The Banas Dam project was abandoned.

India shares rivers with Pakistan and Bangladesh, Nepal and China. China was reported to be planning a dam across Brahmaputra (Chinese call it Tsan Po) in the Tibet region. Our north-eastern States like Assam would be starved of water. We protest. Although an international award settled what shares of the Punjab's river waters India and Pakistan should utilize, disputes persist.

Populations are growing. People need more and more water for drinking, for growing more food grains, for industrial processes like steel-making, thermal power generation and so on.

Within India, almost every state has irrigation projects. Excepting the rivers that originate in the Western ghats and flow west, all our rivers-Ganga, Yamuna, Narmada, Mahanandi, Godavari, Krishna, Tungabhadra, Kaveri are inter-state rivers. In the South, Andhra and Tamilnadu are lucky because the English rulers built anicuts over Godavari and Krishna and Kaveri as early as in the 19th century. None disputed them.

After Independence, we carved our linguistic States and each state is planning and constructing since the last few decades, numerous medium and mammoth river dams. In the early 1950s itself, our great patriotic, national (not regionals) leaders in Delhi created the Central Water and Power Commission (CWPC). This is to regulate the construction of dams for irrigation and power generation on all India's rivers. Overtime, the central government has become weaker and weaker degenerating to a coalition of even more than twenty parties- regional, casteist and proprietary. A new concept of "coalition dharma" has cropped up. The effective outcome is that ministers in the central government are nominated by the proprietors of the regional parties; they are responsible, and accountable to the owners of their parties; not to the prime-minister. They are replaced, or their portfolios changed not by the prime-minister but by their masters in the States. The two all India parties – Congress(I) and the Bharatiya Janata Parties are totally dependent for majority in the Lok Sabha on these regional parties, which switch sides, sometimes just before elections. The maintenance of integrity, security and defence of India is thus slipping from the Union to the States. Different States are ruled by different combinations of the local and national parties. Even when two adjacent States like A.P and Maharashtra are ruled by the same national party, the party High (or I) Command is not able to get the two Chief Ministers agree on so vital matter as sharing of the waters. Even courts are not able to impose decisions as all sort of appeals are made in the High Courts and even the Supreme Court. The result – just the beginning is what we saw in A.P-Maharashtra over the Babli dams on Godavari. One set of parties, led by their proprietors are invading the others' territory, bashed up by police, put in jails and thrown out. The next step may as well be the police forces of the two States thrown into the fray.

Before things become worse and states forces and masses are hurled against one another for the protection/ destruction of the dams, we should take out all interstate rivers from the jurisdiction of the States and declare them as Union property/resource. Required legislation must be passed including amendment of the Constitution to transform the CPWC as the Authority that manages the interstate river water resources of the country.

The Authority shall be a statutory body. It will be financially resourced to construct dams and storage reservoirs on interstate rivers. It will also manage the release of waters into the interstate canals.

The states will construct and manage the intra-state canals; which are supplied from the interstate canals. Districts will be in charge of construction operation and maintenance of intra-District canals.

This set up will be analogous to the system of national highways, State highways and district and Panchayat roads. Alternatively, the states may have their water management institutions. Israel has a national water grid. We may study how it works.

Just as we should have the national authority for inter-state river waters, we must have one for electrical power. Centrally constructed and owned power generating stations, inter-state power grid. If the people of Bharat cannot share the vita resources like water and electricity, what for can we be one nation, one country and one people? States-men, intellectuals and national parties must exert for national management of natural resources. Sooner we act, the more avoidable will be inter-state conflicts, confrontations and invasions between States as we witness between A.P and Maharashtra (Tamilnadu and Karnataka; Tamilandu and Kerala, A.P and Karnataka).

Peculiarism of Indian “Secularism”

- Pensions for terrorists/ jihadis:

When the PDP (rival to National Conference and Congress(I) in Jammu & Kashmir) of Mufti Mohammed Sayeed was in power in J&K, he obtained the consent of the governor/central government (UPA) to a law and government order **to pay pensions to the families of terrorists/jihadis killed in their engagements with the armed forces & police** of India and J&K. Is this not abominable and treacherous deed of “seculars” that Indian tax payers’ money is distributed among the families of terrorists, who are waging war on India?

A jihadi terrorist has two incentives to wage war on infidel India. By killing and getting killed in the jihad, he is assured of a place in the highest class of heaven. Secondly, he need not worry about the livelihood of his family members left here on earth. The “secular” governments will look after them through pensions and other beneficences

- Secular government in Assam welcome Bangla Muslims’ infiltration into the State:

When Gen. S.K.Sinha was governor of Assam, he was appalled at the east with which millions of Bangladeshi Muslims were infiltrating into Assam and how almost all political parties (except the BJP which barks but does or did nothing to expose and get them expelled) have been tolerant to this infiltration in the hope of using them as vote banks, in course of time). Gen Sinha prepared a 32-page printed document about this facilitated infiltration of Bangla Moslems, with facts and figures. The document brought out how the demographic aggression by Bangla Moslems was affecting the communal situation, security, sovereignty and integrity of India. He discussed the document with Mahanta, the then non-Congress Chief Minister. Mahanta conceded all the facts to be true but said that because of coalition politics, his government could do nothing. Gen. Sinha sent his report to the President. Dead silence from the Rashtrapati!

In a subsequent election, the Congress(I) returned to power. It prepared the Governor's speech to be read by the Governor to the newly constituted legislature. In the speech, it was written that there is no illegal infiltration of Bangla Muslims into Assam. Assamese were horrified that the Governor, Gen. Sinha, who produced a 32-page fact sheet about massive infiltration and government inaction, spoke in the Assembly denying the contents of his own Report. Gen. Sinha told pressmen that he was bound by the Constitution to simply read out what was written for him by his cabinet.

A public interest litigation (PIL) was filed by a spirited true and dutiful citizen in Assam in the Supreme Court. Gen. Sinha's Report was placed before the Supreme Court. The court struck down the Assam governments, Congress enacted Act dealing with illegal entrants into India as **it placed the onus on government to prove that the person charged is an illegal immigrant. The correct thing is to place the onus on the detected and charged person that he is a bonafide citizen of India.** By reversing the onus of proof, the vote-hunting "secular" governments were facilitating the Bangladesh Muslim infiltration into Assam and other parts of India. (I have firsthand knowledge of Bangla Muslim women living in Mahboobnagar even; the local Moslems marry them)

- Zezia on Hindus:

Zezia is a tax that Islamic governments impose on non-Moslems under their rule. We have zezia imposed by the "secular" governments in the center (and States too which build Haj Houses, Urdu Ghars, Shadi Khanas, and give Rs. 15,000 for a Muslim couple at their marriage). Government of India gave a subsidy of Rs. 700 crores to Moslems for their Haj pilgrimage to Mekka to cover their travel and other expenses, in the year 2008'09. The amount has been increasing year after year (including when the BJP-led NDA government was in power at the center). The Bill (which became anti Act) proposing the Haj subsidy was moved in the Lok Sabha by the most "secular" Prime-Minister of India, Pt. Jawaharlal Nehru himself in 1956 (if memory serves me right 1957 was the year of second general elections in India). The "secular"

National Conference Chief Minister of J&K has mooted the idea of a tax of Rs. 2000 on every pilgrim to Amarnath in J&K.

Hindus have to buy tickets to see their Gods and bear the expense of travel. But "minorities" will be paid by Hindus (they pay 95% of the governments tax revenues) for the pilgrimages of "minorities".

Dt: 25/07/2010

Regulate Places of Worship

The Supreme Court of India has obtained an assurance from the Government of India that no new places of worship (temple, Gurudwara, Church, mosque) or of veneration (mazar, darga, smarak) will be allowed to be constructed on, among others, carriage ways of roads, pavements, traffic islands/junctions as they are hazardous obstructions to public traffic. The court further directed that such existing structures should be removed and relocated elsewhere by persuading their keepers, owners and patrons to agree to relocate.

That direction of the Supreme Court is good, **but not adequate** enough. Construction of places of worship has now become a competitive show of power, against Hindus and the latter's inability to match or even to resent and resist.

Numerous churches of different denominations are coming up in villages. Houses of Hindus migrating to towns are bought and converted into churches. The numbers and location have no relation to the Christian population living in the vicinity. A prayer house and congregation with loud speakers blaring out harangues and **stutimalas** (adulatory songs or keertans) irritate, annoy anger and incite the Hindu families all around. In some villages, Hindus have started retaliatory loud speaker broadcasts exactly at the same time as Christians. In towns and cities, Christian conversion outfits, buy a flat for residence. Soon it becomes a prayer hall with display of a Cross. On Sundays, people in the neighboring flats and vicinity are outraged with strangers descending on the flat, become a church. Motor cycles and cars clutter up the street along and across.

When the neighbours become bold enough to object and sometimes obstruct the invasion, church organizations issue denunciations of Hindus' intolerance and assaults on (Christian) minorities. "Seculars" hold meetings to condemn Hindu fundamentalists and allege that RSS and VHP fellows are out to suppress freedom of religion and its profession. Missionaries and their fifth column organisations masquerading as social service NGOs, infiltrate the news of Hindus' attacks on peaceful and innocent Christians. An American publishes a Report on the suppression of religious freedom in India.

Islamists compete with Christians, not in villages but in towns and cities. They encroach upon roads, pavements and public open places and build mosques and erect mazars, near public hospitals, (NIMS in Hyderabad), Hindu institutions (like the Samskrit Academy) in the Osmania University area) and steadily increase the area under their occupation; first along and parallel to the pavements and then on to the carriage way of roads. Now-a-days they re competed by Christian conversion companies. These plant crosses on pavements; soon come canopies and walls. In villages, the "secular" Congress and even more "secular" regional parties are courting Moslems as vote banks. They tell them of their minority rights and assist them to set up a mosque and Madrassa, even if the families are fewer than a dozen. And in towns, they are gifted by use of government funds to have Urdu Ghars and Shadi Khanas.

These vote-bank actions of the "seculars" and thousands of crores of Rupees coming in different manner form multi-national conversion (MNC) enterprises and Saudi Arabia are not merely bewildering but infuriating the traditionally tolerant and mild Hindus. Resentment is rising retaliation would be welcome. It would burst when the aggression of the minorities goes unchecked, by "secular" and now-a-days by Christian and Moslem Chief Ministers, ministers and "minority" government officials.

In order to stop provocations and preserve communal harmony enlightened and subtly warned by the Supreme -Court's pronouncement, we should regulate the construction and extension and location and size of the places of worship of all faiths. How many of what size should be

there for how many people, within what reach (distance, like schools for children) should be laid down. Nothing should be allowed to be constructed without sanctioned plans and estimated costs. Public must be notified as to the proposed location and plan (for traffic and noise have a bearing on the neighbor-hood) of the place of worship, memorial cemeteries and smashans (burning places for the Hindu dead) and Kabristans (Muslim burial grounds); public must be given a chance to offer comments and objections. Government should then transparently decide to accord or deny permission for new structures or extensions to existing ones.

If we can regulate trade hospitals, services, why not places of worship, burial and cremation? This is essential and urgently required to abate strife and promote mutual respect and tolerance between people of different faiths. The strongest thing in the world is that Hindus who constitute 82% of India's population feel threatened by aggressive, proselytizing MNC enterprises, in the only home-land Hindus have.

Examples of most obnoxious location of places of worship and veneration and illegal structures:

Gandhiji is inferior to an adulterous Musalman

** Mr. Mohamed Ali speaking at Aligarh and Ajmere (1924) said:*

"However pure Mr. Gandhi's character may be, he must appear to be from the point of view of religion inferior to any Musalman, even though he be without character".

The Statement created a great stir. Many did not believe that Mr. Mohamed Ali, who testified to so much veneration for Mr. Gandhi was capable of entertaining such ungenerous and contemptuous sentiments about him. When Mr. Mohamed Ali was speaking in a meeting held at Amina Baug Park in Lucknow, he was asked whether the sentiments attributed to him were true. Mr. Mohamed Ali without any hesitation or compunction replied.

Yes! According to my religion and creed, I do hold an adulterous and a fallen Musalman to be better than Mr. Gandhi"

(And this just after the Khilafat movement Of India's Moslems into which Gandhiji involved the national, secular Congress! Gandhiji called Mohammed Ali, his brother!

98.6% of the Muslim electorate rejected secular Gandhi, socialist Nehru and nationalist Maulana Abul Kalam Azad and voted for Pakistan demanding Moslem League !)

Diabolical “Secularism”

“Secularists” like Teesta Setalwad, Arundhati Roy, Mulayam Singh, and the Marxist flock and their fellow-travelling “eminent” historians and academicians (especially of the JN University) often refer to the pulling down of the dilapidated, not in use for over four decades Babri structure as a sacrilege, as a crime, a barbarian act perpetrated by “communal” Hindu “fascists”. They don’t for a moment explain: who was Babur? A foreign invader; where did he want to be buried? In his native Ferghana in central Asia, not anywhere in India; where was the masjid built? In Ayodhya at a place believed to be Rama Janma Bhoomi by hundreds of millions of Hindus (whether exactly on the site where a temple stood or by its side is a different matter); how many Muslims could have been there in 1527 in and around Ayodhya to warrant a large mosque for them; why could it not be built at a distance away from the Rama Janma bhoomi site? The “Seculars” have no concern for these questions. The plain fact is that it is a monument to Islamist conquest of India; a standing reminder to Hindus of their defeat and impotence in relation to Islam. No free people and country allow such symbols of national humiliation. Post –USSR Russia discarded names like Stalingrad and Leningrad, holding those persons (Stalin and Lenin) as slaughterers of millions of whosoever were called “enemies of the people” by them.

Now come to Bamian. It is a small town in Afghanistan. People of Afghanistan and whole of Central Asia were Buddhists for a thousand years before they were conquered and converted to Islam. While they were Buddhists they built at Bamian, the world’s tallest and magnificent statues of Buddha. These statues were destroyed by cannon and pulled down by the Islamist Taliban government in Afghanistan; hold your breath not after Dec 6, 1992 (when the Babri structure was dismantled) but in 1991; not in retaliation for Babri but before it. Why don’t the “seculars” talk about as often as about Babri, in equally condemnatory words as for Babri?

The reason is, the babri talker “Secularists” are anti-Hindus, negationists of history and the fifth columns of multinational conversion(MNC) enterprises and unmitigated appeasers of Islamists. They retain Hindu names and exercise the freedom that Hinduism gives to its critiques, even Hindus . They are afraid of Islamist wrath and violence to criticize the most iniquitous practices of theirs.

Let us finish this appraisal of India’s “Seculars” with a historic fact. Spain was conquered by Islam’s hordes (called Moors by Shakespeare and others) in the 8th century and ruled by them for over 600 years. The Spanish were Christians. Almost all churches were razed to the ground and on those very sites mosques were built. Over 90% of the people were converted to Islam. In the 15th century many Christian rulers jointly invaded Spain defeated the Moors and put an end to Moslem rule. They pulled down every mosque and restored the demolished churches. They further ordered that those Moslems who don’t want to come back to Christianity should leave the country to the lands of the Moors. 90% of the people came back to Christianity. The rest migrated to Islamic lands as that was the option ...for Muslims who don’t like to live under non-Moslem rule. Why don’t Indian seculars talk about this?

400,000 Kashmiri Hindu Pundits have been expelled by Islamist terror from the Kashmir Valley, India’s own territory . They are living a miserable refugee life in Jammu and around. India’s “seculars” have n concern for them; they don’t talk about their plight. But they talk of Palestinian Arab Muslim refugees, not disclosing that they are looked after not by brother Arab countries but by you and me through the United Nations Refugee and Rehabilitation Administration (UNRRA). Are these “seculars” truly RNIs, resident non-Indians?

Kashmir Problem can be Settled

India has poured more than Rs. 10 lakh crores into Kashmir since 1947 giving Kashmiris subsidized food grains and oil, carrying out development projects and “packages” of thousands of crores in the hope of winning the hearts and loyalty of Kashmir’s (that part which is under the Indian Republic) Muslims 50,000 Indians have lost their lives holding Kashmir with India against Pakistan ‘s armed forces, terrorists and jihadis injected by it into Kashmir and other parts. There is no prospect of Pak-occupied Kashmir ever coming to India; the violence, terrorism and insurgency in Kashmir for secession from India don’t die down; they persist with varying degrees of virulence, as orchestrated by Pakistan. The question is, shall India continue to bleed in men and money and for what purpose?

Pakistan says (and the world’s leading nations seem to agree with it, tacitly at least) that Kashmir is the unfinished agenda of the partition of India and creation of Pakistan as a homeland for the Indian sub-continent’s Muslims. Despite the sophistry of Congress and Nehru and Gandhi, the plain truth is that India was partitioned solely on the basis of religion-Muslims and the rest i.e Hindu and Sikhs. It is clear from two telling facts; while the Moslem League wanted India’s partition, the Congress and Hindus, unable to avoid India’s a partition, demanded and got the partition of Punjab and Bengal so that Hindu-Sikh –Buddhist majority districts in those provinces do not go to Muslim Pakistan . The second fact is that although the Muslim rulers of Junagarh, Hyderabad and Bhopal had the right (on lapse of British Paramountcy and the Indian Independence Act of Britain under which India and Pakistan were given independence with Dominion status) to declare independence or join Pakistan (or India) we saw to it that as their majority people are Hindus , they were incorporated into India by use of force (Hyderabad), popular revolt (Junagarh) or overawing persuasion (Bhopal). The state of J&K had a Hindu ruler. Just as Punjab and Bengal were divided, J&K could have been divided; Muslim Kashmir could go to Pakistan and Hindu Jammu and Laddakh could have come to India and the Maharaja could have gone the way of all the Nawabs, Princes and

Maharajas. As the secessionist intetefadas (insurrections) are periodically staged, and the Muslim political parties (National Conference as well as the PDP) are demanding autonomy as of pre-1953, India is having to pour in more money and lose more men and incur the odium of holding down reluctant people and land by force, it would be better that we settle the Kashmir problem, realistically realizing and conceding that it is but one unfinished agenda of partition and that there is the second one namely, the exchange of minority populations between the successor states. We must address both these namely Muslim Kashmir seceding from India and the exchange of remanent minorities between the successor States of India, Pakistan and Bangladesh.

What could be done now.

We accept that Kashmir (Valley) is the unfinished agenda of partition and Pakistan . But assert that there is another r part of that agenda and **that is the exchange of minority populations between India and Pakistan** . This was held to be essential as a final settlement by Dr B.R. Ambedkar. He even wrote the most reasoned book, “Pakistan or The Partition of India” in the 1940s. He cited the international precedent of the exchange of minority Christian and Muslim populations between Turkey and its former possessions in Greece, Balkans, Bulgaria etc, peacefully under the auspices of the League of Nations (The predecessor to the United Nations between the two World Wars). The Muslim League and eight leaders of it including Md. Ali Jinnah demanded the exchange of Muslims and Hindu/Sikh Buddhists between India and Pakistan (including what would emerge as Bangladesh; Gandhi, Nehru and Congress spurned this demand of the Muslims and their League. Nevertheless, Pakistan carried out (on its part) what it demanded - it cleansed Pakistan of its Hindu -Sikh population of 19% to 1% by 1948 itself; Bangladesh has been squeezing out its 30% Hindus and Buddhists (mainly in Chittagong) to under 8% by now (and the cleansing continues unresisted and unreacted by India and the world). We should now, along with Kashmir going to Pakistan or whatever, address the migration of Muslims (98.6% of whom in 1946 & 47 rioted and voted to create Pakistan) still living in India. (Palestinian Arab Moslems on the West

Bank and Gaza are, after 68 years of Israel's creation, demanding to go back to what is Israel since 1948). Since the migration or expulsion of about 14 cr Muslims from India is not practical, we should treat them exactly as Pakistan and Bangladesh are treating the remnant Hindu-Sikh- Buddhist minorities.

Here is what could be done along with Kashmir seceding from India. Articles 25 and 30 of our Constitution which confer rights and privileges on Muslims (and Christians), rights and privileges not given to Hindus must be abrogated. (These articles were put in the draft Constitution in 1946/47 before in the hope that with these rights and privileges Muslims would not press for partition and not assert that they are a different nation. They were, with misplaced generosity and unrequired solicitude, continued into the post-partition Constitution).

Alternatively, either the words “of their choice” after the words “educational institutions” must be replaced by the words “pertaining to their religion, language, culture and theology and history only” provided they don't promote hatred of other communities, religions, cultures and languages. Subjects like engineering, medicine, science and law should be excluded.

Or, another alternative is to amend the article 30 to include castes among Hindus also as minorities. Then the rights and privileges conferred by art 30 will be available to Hindus also as minorities. Then the rights and privileges conferred by Art. 30 will be available to Hindus also. At present, Article-29 discriminates against Hindus.

Minority Commissions, Minority Welfare Ministries and Departments, Minority State Finance Corporations, Minority Educational institutions (except for respective theologies and religious studies must all be abolished. There are no such institutions not only in any Muslims country but even in western countries. It is significant and illustrative of the special privileges to Muslims (and now-a-days to Christians after Sonia Maino Gandhi has taken over the Congress) that in no Hindu minority state in India (J&K, Nagaland, Mizoram, Meghalaya, Arunachala Pradesh) are there Minority Commissions, Minority Welfare Ministry/Department ,

State Minority Finance Corporations. All these must be abolished at the Union and State levels.

In order to curb deliberate demographic preponderance, every type of welfare must be withdrawn the moment a third child comes, irrespective of the religion of the family.

The number and location of places of worship or veneration must be regulated to bear reasonable relation to the number of people to be served and the distance one has to travel to such places (just like elementary schools)

Subsidies to religious pilgrimages should be stopped (Nowhere in the world, not even in Muslim countries, is Haj pilgrimage of Muslims subsidized from State fund as in India. After the 1999 take –over of Congress by Soniaji, Christian Chief Ministers like the late Dr Y.S. Rajasekhara Reddy of A.P started giving subsidies to Christians' pilgrimage to Jerusalem – now stayed by the High Court / Supreme Court).

In other words, all legitimate citizens of India will be treated by the State without relation to one's religion or language or caste. In order to put an end to political parties competing with one another to concede the demands of flock-voting religious groups, there may be separate electorate for Muslims to chose their legislators, the proportion limited to their population's proportion to that of the whole of India in 1948 (or 1951 census). Then there would be no incentive for appeasement of minorities.

Thus will be the unfinished agendas of the partition of India, finished. India would become a truly secular slate instead of a State biased against Hindus and patronising the proliferation and special rights and privileges of “minorities” to the detriment of the majority. This solution, this final agenda will require amendment of the Constitution. We have already amended it nearly hundred times.

If India rejects the contention of Pakistan that Kashmir is the unfinished agenda of partition, then the Kashmir Ravana Kashtra can be finally extinguished by adopting the Chinese solution to the secessionist provinces of Sinkiang and Tibet. In both provinces Chinese from all over the country were settled. The original ethnic and religious majorities are reduced to minorities and the provinces fully integrated with the rest of the country. Religious and linguistic and cultural freedoms are fully protected subject to national integrity, security and all-round national economic and educational development.

If India does not act decisively now in regard to Kashmir and minority population's separateness and divisiveness, the country will be disintegrated by the combined actions of forces within and outside, an outcome openly desired by its inimical neighbours. Intellectuals must boldly address themselves to the task of preventing India from bleeding and disintegration.

Dt: 9/08/2010

Muslim League (Pre-1947) Strikes Back

The contemplated (by UPA II) amendment to a 1960s Act to facilitate the restoration of properties abandoned by Muslims who left for Pakistan in 1947 is yet one more criminal and communal measure of the "secular" government led by the Congress (I) at the center. Millions of Hindus and Sikhs were forced out of Pakistan (West and East) in unprecedented state-supported ethnic cleansing. Their properties valued at thousands of crores of rupees were confiscated by Pakistan. There was no compensation. The "secular" ie. Anti-Hindu Nehru government did not ask for compensation; on the other hand, under pressure from Gandhi's fast unto death, the Nehru government released ie. Gave to Pakistan Rs. 55 crores (in foreign exchange, British Pound Sterling) as the latter's share of the foreign exchange balances of undivided India.

For the first time in the history of Independent India "secular" Congress (I) has Muslim League Members of Parliament in the UPA coalition it

leads at the center. The pre-partition, Pakistan demanding, Indian nationhood denying Muslim goes by the name of the Indian Union Muslim League. (like Nizam times Razakars now calling themselves Majlis – Ittehad-ul-Muslameen (MIM) in Andhra Pradesh).

Muslim Members of Parliament irrespective of their party affiliation met the Prime Minister and represented that the properties left behind in India by those who went to n Hizra (migration of Muslims from a country not ruled by Muslims) to Pakistan, be restored to their descendents. Why is this solicitude of Muslim residents of India ("nationalist", "secular" as well as communal) for Pakistanis? Did they ask Pakistan to pay compensation to Hindu and Sikhs whose properties it had confiscated? There are no heirs of the expelled Hindu/Sikhs in Pakistan as they had been expelled or killed wholesale in 1947 itself? Did these Muslim MPs ask their co-religionists in Kashmir not to expel four-lakh Hindu Pandits and Sikhs? No, they have no concern for Hindus; their concern is for Pakistan and its residents in India (Bade Mian, a venerable Moslem cleric of Lucknow, went to Pakistan on the occasion of its some silver Jubilee and said, "Pakistan's prosperity, security and well-being are of utmost concern to the residues of (Pakistanis-at-heart) Muslims of India.

India abolished Princes, Maharajas and their privy promises by shameful repudiation of the guarantees incorporated in India's Constitution. While restoring the properties to the heirs of Pakistanis, would "secular" government restore the properties of Zamindars, Princes and Maharajas? No, it won't in the name of Nehruvian socialism but it would be willing to restore properties to Pakistanis' descendents? What for? It is in the mean hope of getting Muslims' votes, by appeasing them endlessly. The "secular" parties do not understand what the word justice means to Moslems. It is restoration of Muslims rule in India; of the descendents of Aurangzeb, Tipoo Sultan, the Nizam of Hyderabad, the Nabobs of Rampur, Bhopal, Junagarh and so on. Moves like restoration of reservations for Moslems, exception of Madrasas from the application of Right to Education Act etc., are leading to the loss of Independence for Hindus in India. Muslims gained independence, self-rule and Pakistan

in India. Hindus got an opportunity for self-rule in 1947 after 800 years of subordination to aliens, intruders, aggressors, looters and desecrators. In the name of “secularism” and ignoble pursuit to get at government power, Hindus ‘leaders, politicians are surrendering the British given opportunity and are joyfully facilitating the restoration of Muslim (and now-a-days Christian) rule, as before 1947. Nehruvian “secularism” and “socialism” have already begun to restore alien rule. Dr. B.R. Ambedkar, the only learned statesman, warned us that if India loses freedom once again because of meanness of its leaders, they would lose it for –ever, this time.

Dt: 1/10/2010

Verdict on Rama Janmabhoomi

The verdict delivered (30/9/10) by the Lucknow Bench of the Allahabad High Court on the title suits for the ownership of Ramjanmabhoomi site in Ayodhya is less to do with the ownership but much more with the civilizational, cultural, historic, social and political differences, if not conflict, between Hindus and Muslims. These differences are not of today but have been there for centuries. The divide between them has not been engineered by the British as is seductively made out glossing over true facts. If that was so why are those differences including communal riots persisting even 60 years after the departure of the British?

If the title suit is merely about the ownership of a piece of land, there are tens of thousands of such suits in courts all over India. Excepting the litigants nobody cares for them. But in this case, hundreds of millions of ordinary people have been expectantly waiting for the verdict; not only religious communities, political parties intellectuals in this country and elsewhere have also been showing interest. This widespread interest and apprehensions are due to the true nature of the dispute, namely the desecration and destruction of thousands of temples from the time of Mohammed of Ghazni and continuing even now (eg: the world famous Buddha’s statues blown up by the Islamists in 1990-’91 in Afghanistan, more than two dozen temples in Kashmir since 1990 and many more in Bangladesh since 1992). State and Union governments had to deploy

tens of thousands of policemen in what are called sensitive areas, sensitive for rioting.

It is therefore clear that the verdict is not merely about the title to a piece of land. In fact, that suit has been dismissed as time -barred. The court could have stopped at that but it did not. It appears that the court has been wanting to apply balm to the enraged and volatile and explosive sentiments of the people.

The non-title related verdicts are very significant. First, it is decided that the so called mosque structure was built over the ruins of a temple. This conclusion was arrived at on the basis of archeological evidence. The deep penetration radar has established this fact. **Second**, it decided that area where the idol of Ram Lalla is, should belong to Hindus as it is the birth place of Lord Rama. This puts an affirmative seal on the belief of hundreds of millions of Hindus for thousands of years. **Third**, it also pronounced that the mosque structure was built with some of the materials of destroyed temples. Actually, this is very obvious because not only at this place but there are hundreds of “mosques” over partially or fully destroyed temples. The supporting structures of the mosque are the walls and pillars of the Hindu temples over which the mosque domes came up. In the book, “**Hindu Masjids**” by Praful Goradia facts of these temples converted into mosques are brought out with the evidence of pictures and the writings of Muslim chroniclers. The most telling instance is of Somnath. There the Shivling was destroyed four times and restored after every destruction. On the very place of the Shivling a mosque came up. It was removed and Somnath restored immediately after- Independence with the involvement of the Government of India though financed by the people of the country. The mosque was shifted to a distance. Fourth, the verdict could not decide by whom and when the mosque was built.

The Babri structure was built at the Ramjanmabhoomi as a monument for the triumph of the Muslim invader and the humiliation and defeat of Hindus. Ayodhya is not the only case. Two most offensive sites are in Mathura where a mosque stands adjacent to the Keshav Dev (Krishna) temple, and in Varanasi where a mosque stands by the side of the temple

of Viswanath (Lord Shiva), both on sites belonging to the temples. Why should they have to be built adjacent to the temples? Obviously, they are monuments to the victory of Muslim invaders and rulers and humiliation of Hindus. Can any free nation, with a grain of self-respect stand such monuments to their humiliation?

The High Court verdict may not satisfy the parties to the suit and some political and religious organizations. However, hundreds of millions of Indians accept this verdict which gives two thirds of the site to Hindus and one third to the Sunni Muslims Wakf Board. There are traditional and continuing enemies of Hinduism like communists and some English medium educated who happen to have been born as Hindus but are only in blood and colour Indian but totally un-Indian and anti-Hindu parading themselves as “secular”. They also would not be satisfied and in fact, they would be instigating the never-to-be satisfied fundamentalist communal organisations to get the whole site for the so called mosque.

Some supporters of the Waqf say that they would abide by the verdict of the Supreme Court. This is unbelievable, if history is any guide. The Supreme Court of India gave a decision in the famous Sha Bano case that a Muslim divorcee is entitled to maintenance according to Indian laws. This was held to be an assault on Islam. In scores of cities, Muslims demonstrated very militantly against the Supreme Court judgment itself. Rajiv Gandhi was the Prime Minister with about 400 MPs out of 543 on his side. Afraid that the Congress would be losing Muslim votes, the **law was amended superseding the judgment of the Supreme Court in order to satisfy and appease Muslims**. Should we hope that this time, Muslims would not repeat the Sha Bano militancy but accept the Supreme Court’s verdict? Muslims are also against the Common Civil Code enjoined by the directive principles of the Constitution. They are also against the banning of cow slaughter, again a part of the Constitution of India. The vote-hunting, “secular” parties do not hesitate to make or amend any law concerning Hindus but they dare not make any law even when the Constitution enjoins, if it is not to the satisfaction of Muslims. In other words, **Muslims are holding a veto in this country**

making it evident that it is they who got independence on the 14/15 Aug 1947 and not Hindus .

India has to march on. We do not want the country to be torn by communal violence. Therefore most Hindus welcome the verdict of the Lucknow Bench of the Allahabad High Court and pray that good sense will prevail; that there would be no appeal to the Supreme Court and if the Supreme Court is moved, the verdict of the Supreme Court will be obeyed by Muslims this time at least, if the Allahabad Court’s verdict is upheld by the Supreme Court.

Dt: 6/10/2010

Somnath Day

(To be observed every year on 6th December)

Many Muslim organizations, communists and some “secularists” observe the 6th of December as Babri Masjid Destruction day. This is an insult to Bharat and its civilization and culture. It is also an effort to foster separatism of the Muslim minority and avoidance of its integration with the nation.

Babur was not an Indian. He was an invader come from Ferghana in Central Asia. He defeated another Muslim invader –ruler, Ibrahim Lodi in the battle of Paniput in the year 1526. After a few conquests and rule over some parts in North India, he died. In his Baburnama (autobiography) he expressed his contempt for India and its climate. He wanted to be buried in his native land and so he was. Therefore, **Indians have no reason to commemorate him.**

One of his Generals, a Shia Muslim, Mir Baqui is generally credited to have built a “mosque” in Ayodhya. In the decade of the 1520, the population of India was about 15 cr. Muslims were less than about 10%. And 80% of them were to the North West of Delhi upto Afghanistan’s border. There could have been hardly a few hundred Muslims in Ayodhya. If they were to have a place for prayer that is, a mosque **why should it have to be there where for thousands of years millions of Hindus**

have been believing to be Rama Janmabhoomi? Facts become stories which become legends and which are carried on as race memories. So is the case with Rama Janmabhoomi. There was a temple as the memories of Hindus go. Guru Nanak went to this temple and prayed there .

This temple was pulled down by General Baqui and on that very place, by using the pillars and the materials of the temple a mosque structure was constructed. This is known as the Babri mosque.

Apart from so much of Hindus belief, the archeological survey (carried out by order of the High Court) utilizing deep penetration radar has clearly established that under the demolished Babri structure there was a ruined temple. It is therefore clear that a temple was pulled down and the Babri structure was constructed on that site. The verdicts of the three judges of the Lucknow Bench of the Allahabad High Court on the 30 Sept 2010 agree this much; namely, that **over the ruins of a temple the Babri structure came up.**

What is the sanctity of this Babri structure? It is not in commemoration of a saint or learned person of Islam. It is a monument to the victory of Muslims and the defeat of Hindus. This monument also humiliates the most revered of the Hindu trinity, namely Vishnu whose avatar was Rama. There is not a single Hindu in this country who has not heard the name of Rama. How many Indians have heard of Babur and how can there be any comparison between foreign invader an iconoclast on the one hand and the Avatara of Vishnu himself on the other. That temples have been desecrated and on those very sites mosques are constructed are evidenced by the mosque in Mathura on the site of the temple Lord Krishna (Keshav Dev's temple) which was pulled down in the year 1017 AD by Mohammed of Ghazni later on reconstructed in a small place where it was destructed. Similarly, in Kasi the grand temple for Viswanath was pulled down and on that very site a mosque is constructed. On a small portion of that site, a small Viswanth temple has later come up. These two are standing examples of the vandalism and

arrogance of the Islamist conquerors who have planted these mosques like daggers in the hearts of Hindus, in the very sites held to be more sacred by Hindus. **The construction of a grand Rama mandir at his Janmabhoomi in Ayodhya is to** recapture self- respect of Hindus and wiping out the shame of defeat at the hands of foreign invaders who came not only to rule but to destroy Hinduism itself (this without success)

In order to bring out this stark truth of the destruction of over 30,000 temples and that about 2,000 mosques stand on the very sites of the destroyed temples, **Hindus must observe 6th of Dec as Somnath Day.**

SOMANATH's Restoration: For centuries preceding the first destruction of the Sivalinga at Somnath by Mohammed of Ghazni in the year 1024, it was a place of most revered pilgrimage for millions of Hindus from all over Bharat. It was repeatedly destroyed by Muslim invaders and rulers and as many times rebuilt by self-respecting, valiant Hindus. Finally, in the time of Aurangzeb not only was the restored temple destroyed but it was so converted into a mosque. Somnath is in Prabhas Patna which used to be in the sultanate of Junagadh. At the time of Independence, the Nawab of Junagad acceded to Pakistan. More than 85% of the population was Hindu. The Hindus rose in revolt. The Nawab fled. The Nawab's Diwan, Shah Nawaz Bhutto and a British Commander of his army invited the Government of India to take over the State and restore order. Three days after that event, Sardar Patel, N. V. Gadgil and K. M. Munshi visited Prabhasa and declared that **the Government of India has decided to restore and reconstruct Somanatha temple.** The Cabinet met in Delhi and endorsed this decision of Sardar Patel. Respecting Gandhiji's wishes, the money required was raised from the people of India although the planning and the construction was undertaken by the Government of India itself first under the direction of Sardar Patel and later K. M. Munshi. **The mosque was removed. The dilapidated structure was pulled down and a grand Somnatha temple was built. This was in vindication of the self-respect and the reassertion of the soul of Bharatiyas.** Has Sardar Patel lived for a few more years (he died in Dec 1950), most of the desecrated temples which have been converted into mosques would

have been regained and reconstructed, like the grand temple in Somnath. With the death of Sardar Patel, Nehru, the anti-Hindu put an end to the recapturing of the soul of India as represented in its hallowed temples. It is necessary that Hindus recall the history of Somnath and the interruption to the suppression of nationalism by pseudo -secularism propagated and practised by the Nehru dynasty, and its captive Congress.

A detailed story of the destruction of the Somantha temple again and again and its final restoration is narrated in two works. (1) Jai Somnath and (2) Pilgrimage to Freedom, both of them by K.M.Munshi the founder of the Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan. A summary of these will be made available by the end of Nov 2010 to whosoever asks. Pragna Bharati, and patriots would observe 6th of Dec as Somnath Day and hold public meetings to tell the story of the restoration of Somnath by removal of the mosque (from where the Sivalinga was removed and its relocation at a distance). It is hoped that this observance of **Somnath Day** will bring out the true facts of the iconoclasm of the Islamic invaders and rulers and the negationism in Indian history that is, white- washing the vandalism, iconoclasm, tyrannical rule, suppression and plunder of Hindus during the long period of the rule of the aliens. Monuments to Hindus (or any community) defeat and helplessness in their very and only land cannot be suffered by any free, self-respecting people.

India and Hinduism are one

After a study of some forty years and more of the great religions of the world, I find none so perfect none so scientific none so philosophical and none so spiritual than the great religion known by the name of Hinduism.

Make no mistake, without Hinduism, India has no future. Hinduism is the soil into which India's roots are stuck and torn out of that she will inevitably wither as a tree torn out from its place. And if Hindus do not maintain Hinduism, who shall save it? If India's own children do not cling to her faith, who shall guard it? India alone can save India and India and Hinduism are one.

- Annie Besant

Weakening and Destroying our Nationhood

People with a common heritage, common legends, a shared history, common beliefs and faith; common values and a common notion of the boundaries of the land and a common will to be one people constitute a nation. The same language, religion and race can be powerful uniting glue. There must be a strong will all the while to cherish and strengthen the commonness. Such people constitute a nation. It is not necessary that the people of such a nation should all be in one state, under one unitary government, although such a situation strengthens their nationhood. Arabs are all of the same race they have the same language, Arabic; they have the same religion, Islam. They proclaim themselves to be one nation but there are 17 independent sovereign Arab states. To the extent that they are not under one state, their nationhood is deficient but not extinct.

Our country was known to us as Bharat. We call ourselves Bharatiyas. Once, a few thousand years ago Bharat comprised of what are known as Afghanistan, Pakistan, India, Bangladesh and even Sri Lanka. The beliefs and cultures in this vast land mass were also shared by people in countries that are now known as Indonesia (Sailendra), Myanmar, Malaysia (Sri Vijaya), Thailand, Kampuchea, Vietnam and even far of Philippines and Southern China. Subjected to invasions and schisms, Bharat was reduced to what are now known as Pakistan, India & Bangladesh until 1947. Then this country got divided because of people who converted to an alien and exclusivist faith; we lost one third of the territory to Pakistan and Bangladesh which have declared themselves as Islamic states, meant for Moslems only.

A nation state is a concept which is not more than a few hundred years old, whereas nations especially Bharat are thousands of years old. For eg: The Germanic people in western Europe, the Latin people in Italy, Anglians and Saxons in England, Mongols, Turks, Chinese and the Tibetans and so on were all nations which had common legends and

beliefs and even languages. They had separate kingdoms just as Bharat also had several kingdoms. In distinction from the other people, Bharatiyas had the concept of a Chakravathy, the Emperor who did not extinguish the different kingdoms of the same nation but who was acknowledged as the protector of dharma, common beliefs and faiths and cultures and in the event of aggression against anyone of the Kingdoms, he had the responsibility to defend the distressed kingdom. The kings paid tributes to the Chakravathy, the Emperor. Sovereignty rested not in the person of the kings or emperors but in dharma. Dharma itself was expounded from time to time by the great, learned Rishis, Saints and Savants throughout the land. Dharma is in essence, the set of values and codes of individual and social conduct, that keep harmony in the family, society, and country and conduces to evolution of men into higher levels of ethical consciousness and conduct.

The nation state is one which brings all the people constituting the nation under one state, with one constitution with one set of laws and judiciary. And with one system of governance. Depending upon the vastness of the land and the population, there could be several subordinate units called provinces or states under the central state. Nation-states as mentioned earlier are recent concepts and constructs. For eg: England, Scotland, Wales and northern Ireland were united in the year 1707 and the official name is the United Kingdom or UK. What is now the Federal Republic of Germany was comprising of more than twenty separate Kingdoms, duchies and principalities. Otto von Bismarck brought all these different Kingdoms under the rule of the Kaiser Wilhelm-I of Prussia the strongest of the Kings. Italy comprised of more than a dozen kingdoms. They were all brought together under one nation-state by the common efforts of the Mazzini and Garibaldi in 1861. Poland became a nation-state only after the First World War. America became a nation state only after its War of Independence in 1776. Before they were American colonies of the UK. They were 13 in 1776; it took antoehr 70 years for it to become the 50-state nation. Australia & Canada became nation-states only in the year 1900. In Africa, there were numerous tribal kingdoms. When the Europeans conquered Africa they did not have any regard to keep people of the same tribe under the

same rule. African of about 450 mln people is divided into over 40 sovereign nation-states with the people in several states having no common culture, language or history. That is why there have been several murderous tribal wars within each state.

India's history has been totally different. Under the concepts of **Dharma** and **Chakravathy**, we were one people, one culture, one set of values, that is not violative of social harmony (loka sngraha) freedom or the people to settle anywhere and speak any language and lead any type of life. The idea of the oneness of the land and the strongest of the kings working as the Chakravathy had been with us for ages. Yudhishtira of Mahabharata times performed Rajasuya Yaga to get himself acknowledged as Chakravarty, emperor of Bharat. His four brothers went to all the four directions of the land and got Yudhishtira accepted as the Emperor. The same powerful idea inspired Ashoka who got his Emperorship acknowledged by all the Kings in the land, sometimes voluntarily, sometimes by bloody battles like those in Kalinga. The same idea of oneness of the whole of Bharat inspired the Mughals and later Marathas and the British to establish their over-lordship all over the country. As long as there was a Monarch or an Emperor the differences in religion did not come in the way of the concept of the oneness of India.

However as ideas of elected representative government and democracy came to be accepted and implemented during the British rule, intellectuals of a segment of our people namely Muslims for whom there is no difference between religion and government, thought that in a democracy the rule will be by the majority. They believed that since Hindus are a majority they would be the rulers and Muslims would be the ruled. So their leaders like Sir Sayyed Ahmed Khan and several others latter were propagating that democracy was not suitable to India, as in a democratic set up Muslims could not hope to rule India as once they did by force of arms during the Mughal period and earlier in some parts of India under the Delhi Sultanate. **Muslims including Sir Md-Iqbal (of Saare jahan se acha Hindustan hamara) said they are not**

part of the Indian nation. The most powerful assertion was in the following words of Md. Ali Jinnah who led the Muslim League to pass the resolution at 1940 Lahore session for the partition of India and creation of the Islamic state of Pakistan.

The nation state that was being forged under the British rule and the movement led by the Indian (not Indira or Italian) Congress for independence got a grievous injury by the forced partition of the country. The Congress party, especially Mahatma Gandhi who were resolutely were against the two-nation theory and partition of the country were frightened by the violence unleashed by Muslims in India under the leadership of the Muslim League with the slogan “**Direct Action** “ **(against people, i.e Hindus who opposed partition) on 16 Aug 1946.** The country was engulfed in communal riots. The Muslim League demanded that minorities between the two states, India & Pakistan should be completely exchanged. While Pakistan & Bangladesh implemented this idea by expelling more or less all the non-Muslims, the Indian National Congress under the mistaken notion that it was representing Muslims also even though 98.6% of the separate Muslim electorate rejected the Congress, did not allow the Muslim minority population of India to emigrate to Pakistan, their creation. It is this unfortunate decision of the Congress and the government led by it soon after independence that is now imperiling the nationhood of India.

All the leaders of the freedom movement were committed to build a strong united India into a nation state. A nation state can be sustained only with the idea of a strong feeling and emotion of nation-hood is continuously fostered and every step taken to promote unity of thought and action in regard to the affairs of the State and government. Unfortunately, this is what is not happening in the country. Before independence, Muslims had a separate electorate and MLAs and MPs were elected by Muslims only. Thinking that the separate electorates was the reason for the division of India and to forge a common nationhood, our Constitution makers abolished the separate electorate. We have now a common electorate. INC was the only all-India political party. Although it had some local opposition parties they

could not challenge or dislodge Congress governments, for long. However as the realities of disparity in prosperity and development started telling political ideas of people and politicians of the Congress Party was getting gradually weakened and lost its dominance and therefore it began to make appeals to communities and castes and regions to win majorities in the elections. Regional and casteist, political parties came up in the regions to challenge the Congress. And the Congress party in order to preserve its power started appealing to Muslims for their votes. The regional and other parties are competitively wooing Muslims for block votes. Communists of various hues (there are 8 communist parties in India) are ever bent on supporting every divisive movement in the country and every disaffection with the ultimate aim of capturing power and establishing a dictatorship of the communist party (as in the former USSR and its satellite states in eastern and central Europe, China, North Korea, Cuba and Vietnam).

As before independence, when some demands of the Muslims are conceded, they come up with some more demands. They are always saying that they are being discriminated and deprived. Political parties are competing to accepted to their demands with the result that the pre-independence separate nationhood mentality is once again on the rise but in the garb of **secularism**. The word, minority has become a cover word for Muslims. Recognizing their separateness apart from the Indian nation are **minority commissions, minority educational institutions, minority State Finance Corporations, Haj subsidy, Urdu Ghars, Shadi Khanas, Urdu Universities.** Government is funding Arabic and Islam-teaching Madrasas. It is amending laws, for the Muslims demands to prevail over India's secular laws (eg: the Sha Bano case and now, restoration of the properties of Muslims who left for Pakistan to their descendents...), carving out Muslim majority areas into separate districts (eg: Mallappuram in Kerala, Mewat in Haryana.), granting citizenship illegally infiltrating Bangladesh Muslim (about 30 mln) and so on. The leftist intellectuals, the “secular” vote-hunters communists and their fellow travelers and ignorant, innocent, Hindus in a sort of profundity speak about different languages, different cultures, different religions, different beliefs, different histories among the people of

India. It is this constant theme of differences in the print & electronic media and this discourse in seminars that is, **undermining our nationhood by emphasizing the differences and not the unifying forces like our common heroes**, our century's long resistance to foreign aggressions, the united movement for independence, the values and culture expositioned and passed by our great literature the Vedas, Ithihasas, Puranas and Kavyas. Until recently, it was only the Muslim minority which was imperiling our nation-hood. Now the multi-national conversion (MNCs) enterprises of evangelists, missionaries and their sapper and miner N.G.Os like World Vision, with billions of dollars coming to them in myriad ways are converting a large number of our poor and ignorant people by fraud and by false propaganda like no castes in Christianity and so on. Now the Christian minority is being instructed to herd together into a separate sub-nationality. All the separate "nationality" groups are in alliance to promote the disintegration of the Hindu society by inciting various castes to assert their separate identity in opposition to the rest. While the religious minorities are undermining our nationhood by emphasizing their separateness on the basis of religion, they are at the same time destroying the Hindu consciousness of our country that has been the guarantor of the unity and integrity of India. They are promoting the permanency of castes despite their tendency to vanish because of education & development which give freedom of choice of profession and residence, both of which will progressively wear away caste.

It is therefore necessary for all the well wishers and patriots of India to **condemn the incessant discourse of differences**. We should instead promote discourse on unifying factors of Dharma, culture, tradition, places of pilgrimage, festivals, literature, temples etc. Other measures we should take are: declaring inter-state rivers as a national resource and development of them by the Union Government; creation of National Power Grid, Central Universities, Chairs for History, Culture & Literature in as many colleges as possible ; and making Sanskrit a compulsory subject upto SSLC at least for every stream of education. All the "minority" - specific institutions except those that teach religion and

related history and language must be abolished. In a democracy only political minorities which are never permanent, have a meaning. Minoritism on the basis of exclusive religions is deplorable and should be ended in our secular state.

The history and record of religious minority claiming separate nationhood and reservations and privileges has been horrendous for India and Hindus. It leads to further fragmentation of the country. We must end the concept and practice of religion-based minority separatism.

Dt: 26/11/2010

Urbanisation - An Alternative and a Less Painful Process

Historically great civilizations like those of the Greeks and Romans, Mesopotamia, Persia and Mohenjo-Daro, even the Maya; Inca and Aztec were all urban civilizations. The city states of ancient Greece are well recorded in history. It appears that rural populations had been meant almost all over the world only for producing the food required for the urban populations besides feeding themselves (and for producing men for the State's armies). Because of the density of people in an urban conglomeration communications between people becomes easier and the interchange and clash of ideas conduce to the growth of political systems, economic ventures, prosperity and security and empire - building. It is the cities, especially those living on the Sea-shores, which were trade centers throughout history. Seas provided the cheapest and the best means of transportation of goods. Benefits of technology are easily available first in the urban centers and later elsewhere in the country. Hospitals, telecoms, entertainment (like broadcasting and drama and cinema), schools and colleges are all more sustainable and within easy reach (affordability is a different matter) of city dwellers and not of the rural folks. When the economies are not so developed, then large number of people are to be engaged in agriculture to feed themselves and the city dwellers.

In all the developed countries we see that agriculture contributes no more than 5% to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and less than 5% of the working population is engaged in works related to agriculture. Agriculture is a rural profession. Industrialization which took place from the 18th century in Europe and America by passed India (and China) because of imperial colonial exploitative foreign rule. It is only in the last 100 years some industrializations has been taking place and cities are growing. In our country the contribution of agriculture to the GDP in 1951 was 65% to 70% . It is now 18% and continues to decline. In China it is between 12% and 15% and continues to decline. This is in line with what happened in the industrially developed countries. The difference between our country and the developed countries is what while in the latter industrial development took place over a long period and the migration of the rural populations to the cities took as much time, so that their administration had time to put in place institutions that are necessary for governance and human comfort and welfare. In India industrialization is happening fast since independence. The tertiary i.e services sector engaging educated people is now contributing over 55% of the GDP and it is growing. Manufacturing Industries all over the world are centered in and around the cities. They attract the labour from the country side. It may be of interest to know that when Jamshetji Tata started the first Textile Mill in Nagpur and later in Mumbai he was finding very difficult to get workers. The cities had much less population then. People had to be attracted from the country side. They had to be cared for, housed and looked after. Jamshetji Tata engaged agents to go to the country- side and sell the profession of industrial labour to inveigle the able-bodied from country- side to work in the factories in the cities.

In India while the proportional contribution of agriculture to the GDP has declined from over 70% in 1951 to under 18% in 2010. Those who depend upon agriculture and therefore remain in the rural areas has not been declining as fast. In 1951 the urban population in India was about 15%. Now it is over 30%. The rural population had come down only from 85% to 70%. The characteristic with the rural population now is that those who are educated and have acquired skills, the young are all emigrating to cities and towns. It is the unskilled and the aged who are

largely remaining in the rural areas. Since the birth rate in the rural areas is higher than in the urban areas, even with the migration of the educated and the skilled, the rural population is not dwindling as fast as the contribution they make to the Gross Domestic product. No wonder that there is disparity in the Per Capita Income (PCI) in the urban and the rural populations. I reckon that the urban PCI is about seven times the rural PCI . But the cost of living in the rural areas is significantly less than in the urban areas. Most of the cost of living in rural areas is on food grains and since they produce it for themselves, the lower income is not a crippling deprivation. Lack of education and industrial skills confine people to rural areas.

Whether urbanization is inevitable and better is a debatable point. As technologies of information, telecommunications and production (by robots) are extensively used, then it is quite possible that much of the activities that go on in cities can be performed in the rural areas (where the population is) themselves **provided that population is educated, skilled and is able to handle the new technologies, machinery and devices.**

There has been some talk in our country of PURA- Providing Urban facilities to the Rural Areas . If there are good telecoms all the work in the services sector can be done from anywhere in the world, that is, the rural areas themselves. If the educated in the rural areas can be provided work there itself, then they need not have to migrate to cities for work. A concept, **GramIT** was developed and is under trial implementation in and around a small town, Bhimavaram in West Godavari District of Andhra Pradesh.

Broadband telecom facilities which normally are first provided in big cities are extended to a small town, Bhimavaram and villages around it, by Optical Fiber upto Bhimavaram and from there, by wireless to villages. Graduates from rural areas in the villages within a circle of about 7 kmt radius from a chosen village are interviewed and selected for their intelligence and industry and communication abilities including proficiency in working with computers. They were given further intensive training both in English and computers and work on computers which would

enable them to do the work outsourced by US companies to a company in Hyderabad which has planned to get it done from the villages. The selected graduates in groups of 100 are assigned to a client in the US for offshore work. Before they were actually working for and interacting with an US client, the in-house work of the Hyderabad company was first got done from this rural group. When once it was found satisfactory, they were seconded to the US client. The expense to the Indian company by employing these graduates in situ is much less than if they are to be employed in Hyderabad where the costs of living are higher. Some of the reduced amount in the expense could be passed on to the US client, thus getting a competitive edge.

Providing highly paying jobs for rural graduates within their villages has wonderful effects. So much money is now flowing into the village by way of salaries, the highly paid are living not in the congested and decaying and disease-prone and pollution-affected city but in the village itself; their high pay leads to better living. They are wanting better housing, better roads, better health care; schooling and an improved village life. Thus broadband communications by taking the work to where people are and not moving the latter to cities can reduce, if not significantly limit, the migration of rural people into cities. No Indian city or town as it is today, is capable of being expanded and improved to accommodate the hundreds of millions of rural educated young if they are to move for work and better life to the cities. The GramIT project demonstrates that the rural migration of the educated young can be stopped. In the process, the rural areas get all the facilities and conveniences and comforts that are sought in urban life. Commutation is reduced, crime will be much less; families will be encouraged to have limited number of children and give them high quality education which enables them to do the ICT-based work for global companies. Instead of wasting hundreds of billions of rupees on trying to improve the unimprovable present day cities which are already groaning under the weight of ever increasing migrant workers and populations, governments would do well to “**rurbanise**” that is, urbanizing the rural areas not in the traditional way but by putting facilities and infrastructures in the rural areas that are less costlier than the cities. **This is a project which has to be scaled up and tried in much larger areas so that this can be the template for the whole of India to arrest urbanisation.**

Cost of de-slumming

Dharavi, situated between Bandra and Mahim, is the most populated and densest slum in India, perhaps in Asia. It started building up from the 1920s. Poor, indigent, workless from as far as UP and Bihar and Tamilnadu flocked into Mumbai to find work and to make a living in Dharavi. It has now a population estimated to be about a million in an area of just 0.67 sq. miles. It has got only one toilet for 1450 residents. Mahim creek is used by residents for urination and defecation leading to the spread of contagious diseases. It is estimated to have 5000 businesses and 15,000 single room factories, almost all of them illegal, non-tax paying unregistered. Law enforcement authorities may enter but cannot come out alive.

Since 1997 there have been plans to redevelop Dharavi like the former slums (Tai Hang) of Hong Kong. In 2004, the re-development was estimated to cost R. 5000cr. Nothing was done since then. The cost is now estimated to be Rs. 15,000cr. involving construction of 2.8 mln sq. mtrs of housing, schools, parks and roads to service the existing population along with 3.7mln sq mtrs of residential and commercial place for sale, the proceeds of which would partially finance the redevelopment. Since the plan envisages the provision of only 225 sq. ft per existing family and it is meant only for the families existing before year 2000, **the residents are resisting and the slum grows and deteriorates while the cost escalates.**

Every existing Indian city is teeming with such slums to which the workless rural folks are flocking, in search of work as well as to escape the shame of indigence and menial work by high caste poor in their native place. They are willing to do any menial work where their caste can be hidden. Such poor people are prone to crime, smuggling and drug-peddling. Mumbai's wealth is not a little due to the slums.

More importantly there must be ideological commitment to this unorthodox method of containing immigration from rural areas into the traditional urban centers.

While a new idea and a new project can be tried, there has to be a plan executable for the prevention of “slummification” of cities by rural immigrants into existing towns. Middle and upper class life in any city cannot be sustained without “menial workers”, (maids, sweepers, drivers, cleaners, conservancy staff and so on). These are the people who are immigrating into cities because they don’t have enough paying work in the rural areas as agriculture gets more and more capital and machine intensive requiring fewer workers. The hordes of immigrants have no place to live in the cities. They have to have water and electricity and as importantly transportation to places of work. Instead of multitudes assisted by vote-courting politicians encouraging them to encroach upon open spaces like parks or government lands, as such workers are essential part of the human infrastructure that is required for urban life, they should be looked after by the city administration. Long ago the great statesman Sri Rajagopalachari thought about this and had proposed the following line of action. As land outside the city centers is less expensive and less coveted than in the city areas, government should acquire the not so inexpensive land for housing, all the first and perhaps the second generation immigrants into the urban areas. They should provide inexpensive economy housing, protected water, medical facilities and electricity and also schools upto SSLC for children of the immigrants. Such residential areas of the immigrants should be connected to the city centers by roads. There should be free transport available for these immigrants to work in the city. The state may therefore run busses between 7 am and 10 AM and in the other direction from 5 pm to 8 pm. Those who go to city for work may be given identity cards avail of the free transportation. The urban community is thus made to indirectly pay for the services required from these immigrants from rural areas. Like the Gram IT, this idea must also be tried out around a number of cities.

The Jawaharlal Nehru Urban Development Mission costing about Rs. 67,000 crores to cover 65 cities, to be implemented in seven years, half of which are already over, will be a futile exercise, a money gone somewhere not known to many, just like the Rs. 26,000 Commonwealth Games spend - a spectacle in a flash at immense cost. The widened roads (with mosques, mazars, crosses and mandirs left in the middle) will very soon be filled with vehicles; that will be a fight against infinity

for eternity with money going into “black-holes”. The alternative of many new planned cities (like Chandigarh) under P.P.P schemes is far more desirable, along with “Rurbanisation”, Gram-IT and Rajaji idea of social provision of housing and transport for immigrants to urban areas, the flow regulated by a permit system.

Industries, especially the chemical industries and pharmaceutical should not be allowed to be established in or around cities. The Bhopal gas tragedy should be a lesson for us. The long term pollution by effluents sinking into the soil wherever pharmaceutical industries are located, is a great hazard. The prosperity and dispersal of industry in the US and western Europe is largely due to the existence of an extensive road network. If such road and telecom networks are available throughout the territory of India, it would be immaterial as to where industries are located. By dispersing them over as several states as possible, urbanisation enumeration around manufacturing industries can be avoided. In the last two decades manufacturing itself has been widely distributed throughout the world. A finished product is coming out at one place by assembling different parts procured from different places parts from as many towns and countries distributed around the world. Distribution networks are facilitating dispersed production. Even vegetables and other home consumption items are being procured from several countries in the world and are available all over the world in every place, round the seasons. This is revolution that has come about by advances in surface transport and telecom.

India is following the western mode of economic development. It is lacking in human development in the sense that humans are being treated more and more as simply, consumers and money earners. They are not realizing the spirituality of human life itself. Developing countries like India and China have a great opportunity to have a new paradigm of development. Economic development centered around urban areas is de-humanising and de-spiritualising people. This is also leading to our planet becoming rapidly uninhabitable because of rising consumption and exhaustion of earth’s resources and the processes that are leading to the warming of the globe. As part of the global endeavour to prolong life on this planet, a new paradigm for economic development not based

upon urban life but the provision of traditionally city-available facilities and comforts in rural areas is worth trying. This requires statesmanship and wisdom and not politics whose aim is capturing state power and using it self-aggrandizement by use of levers of power. Would men be wise? Would India with its famed heritage of the thoughts and precepts of great Rishis, bring about harmony between scientific and technological development and spiritual life that man, God's highest creation, lives a life in harmony with nature?

Dt: 24/01/2011

Opium Feed to People

Liberalisation has unleashed the entrepreneurial talents of Indians and removed the shackles which government imposed upon them in the pursuit of a socialist pattern of society, ideated by Jawaharlal Nehru. While under the socialistic dispensation the GDP was growing at 3.5% p/a, after liberalisation during the last decade and more, we have been having a respectable 8% and above annual growth in GDP. However, the great wealth that is being created is only by some educated, enterprising and imagining persons. They are becoming billionaires. This is leading to increase in economic inequality. While a few millions are becoming millionaires and billionaires, those who are not educated and enterprising and are having large families are having only a slow growth in their incomes. The upper classes with the new found wealth and incomes are indulging in conspicuous consumption. Prices are rising especially for food and vegetables and oil. The middle classes and fixed income groups without organised unions and little opportunity for corruption incomes are particularly distressed and are becoming restive. If the growing inequality persists for long, there will be instability in society. Jealousy and hatred will be whipped up by power-seeking populist demagogue politicians. Society will be torn asunder. Some billionaires have been taking out their money and stashing it in off-shore safe havens like Swiss Banks.

In order to stem the peoples' anger and prevent revolutionary and violent actions by the masses of the lowest incomes, governments are

resorting to bribing the poor by pumping money into them. When a man starts taking opium, he gets addicted to it and would be wanting more and more of it as time passes on. In the same manner, the people of India with lower incomes are being fed on the opium of "welfare", and this is increasing year after year. Take for example NREG, the much trumpeted gift of the supreme leader of the UPA and Congress, Smt. Sonia Maino Gandhi. Let me illustrate what an evil it has turned into by my first hand knowledge of my own village, Angaluru in Krishnat District, Andhra Pradesh.

400 families are registered for the NREG entitlement of 100 days of work so far, at Rs. 100 per day. This works out to 40,000 man days of work in a village with a population of 5000. Five years are over and we are in the 6th year. There is no work at all which is required for common purposes like de-silting canals and ponds and repairing of roads etc. So, people are simply being given the NREG money. They give attendance and go home. The cultivating owner farmers get labour for transplanting, weeding harvesting and such agricultural purposes at not less than Rs. 250 to 300 per day for a man or a woman. The going rate is between Rs. 300 and Rs.400. The labourers say that when we are sitting idle and doing no work we are getting Rs. 100. If you want us to work, we demand no less than Rs. 300. The poor farmer has either to pay this much or leave the crop unharvested. Farmers are concluding that agriculture is a loss-maker. If they continue to farm, they will get pauperized.

Now the Government of Andhra Pradesh which is tottering because of various movements for the breakup of the state and YSR's sons' rebellion against Sonia Congress, is enhancing the daily rate to Rs. 125 per day and number of days from 100 to 150. This means more money, like more opium, will be distributed to people to keep them quiet. The government is giving full reimbursement of fees to over 25 lakhs of disclose undergraduate students. Those without any aptitude or qualifications are filling the 275,000 (largest in the country) seats in the state's nearly 700 engineering colleges; 90% are unemployable.

Another "welfare" measure encouraging, inviting and inspiring the poor is loans at an interest rate of 3% p/a. Government is distributing thousands

of crores of rupees as loans. All political parties are advising people not to pay back the loans. So huge debts are being written off by the populist government. They are written off for farmers, weavers, SCs, STs, Muslims and other minorities. Government and politicians are encouraging people to demand more and more money for no work, both as outright payment and as non-repayable loans. The wealth that is being created by the few million educated entrepreneurs is being frittered away in actions promoting laziness and entitlement. Work ethic is dead. Entitlement and right for everything is the current ethic under the song celestial of “service to the poor”, “eradication of poverty” and “welfare of the Aam Aadmi”. These are tantalizing slogans. Actions in implementation of them are frittering away the wealth that is being created. After Lal Bahadur Sastry, not one Prime Minister or political leader has been educating and exhorting people to work; and that work alone produces wealth. On the other hand, they are telling people that they have got rights for everything like – housing, food, health, marriage, children, pilgrimage, electricity, pension and so on. The political class in search of power is misleading people, encouraging them to be lazy and unethical.

The squandering of increasing amounts of tax revenues of the governments arising from the wealth being created is in contrast to the utter neglect of India’s security and defences from external threats. It is a shame that while our northern neighbour is becoming a super - power and is surpassing even America in many respects, we are having our armed forces starved of the weapons and systems that they need to secure the defense of India. The two and half wars that we had to fight (as Gen. Sankar Roy Chowdhary has put) cannot be fought to secure and defend India against external aggressions and internal rebellions. On top of this, governments are reluctant even to disclose the names of those who have plundered this country and kept the plundered money in foreign Banks. This amounts to the political parties in power protecting the robbers of this country’s wealth.

Mr. Jean Dre’ze a populist economist much favoured by the I-Command of Congress(I) goes on writing about the welfare of the poor and the

right of the people for food security, work and for life and so on. He advocates more and more government spending for the poor. The poor are deified by politicians as Daridra Narayans and poverty is flattered; made permanent; the poor are not being educated to work, to acquire skills, to limit the number of children so that they can quit dependency and addiction to being fed and breed. Government is not spending much on health either. It is simply distributing money which enables the poor to live for the day and not build in them capability to earn for themselves. A sincere and serious government as envisaged by Dr. Amedkar should have long ago ensured eight years of compulsory free education for every child in the country. Political parties which have been running governments have criminally neglected this duty and instead, are distributing money like opium to the people. This is ruinous. Intellectuals of integrity should not only talk of welfare but how wealth has to be created and how work alone will produce wealth and education alone enables the use of the natural resources of this country for creation of wealth for all.

Dt: 7/02/2011

Rulership for “Minorities” Servitude for Hindus

From the various measures that ruling parties in different states are taking with the sole aim of benefiting from vote banks of minorities (euphuism for Muslims) including Christians we have to doubt as to who got Independence on 14/15 August 1947. Surely the Muslim residents of the Indian sub-continent did get independence from British rule. They have created by partition of India, the Islamic states of Pakistan and Bangladesh. The few non-Muslims there left after the ethnic cleansing in 1947, are hewers of wood and drawers of water, second class citizens with hardly any rights, tormented under blasphemy laws. The Hindus of this sub -continent had an opportunity to becoming independent of aliens who ruled this country. Some of the aliens settled here and converted many by force, inducement and inveiglement and fraud. Yes. The Hindus who reposed their faith in the Indian National Congress and not in the Hindu Maha Sabha launched several movements of non-

cooperation under the leadership of the Indian National Congress of Lokamanya Tilak, Mahatma Gandhi, Sardar Patel, Subhas Chandra Bose, Rajendra Prasad and Jawaharlal Nehru at the national level and Tanguturi Prakasam Panthulu garu, Desoddharaka Nageswara Rao Panthulu garu and others in Andhra Pradesh. Muslims who said they were not part of the Indian nation but a separate nation by dint of their religion, Islam, created their homeland. The remnant India could be the homeland for Hindus. It was hoped that there would be self-rule, undictated to and vetoed by those who repudiated the Indian nationhood and common heritage.

Alas! Since the leaders of the INC and of the country passed into the hands of Jawaharlal Nehru who said that by the accident of birth he was Hindu, by culture a Muslim and by upbringing a Briton unrestrained by great Congress men like Sardar Patel (who died too soon) and Purushottam Das Tandon, Acharya Kripalani and Rajendra Prasad). The governments led by Nehruvian Congress have become increasingly indifferent to Hindus, patronizing to Muslims and finally hostile to Hindus. Articles - 29 & 30 of the Constitution innocently created a special class of Indians- minorities (that is Muslims and now-a-days Christians) with rights and privileges not available to Hindus.

In 1952 itself Jawaharlal Nehru's Congress allied with the Muslim League in Kerala for winning the general elections. The long journey of anti-Hindupro –“minority” and reversion to pre-1947 position by the Sonia Congress has led to induction of Muslim League Members into the central government, nominally led by her proxy Sri Manmohan Singh.

A few glaring example of “muslimification” of India and relegation of Hindus to second class citizenship are the following:

- Pursuant to the Sachar Committee Report the UPA government designated 90 districts as “Muslims –intensive” districts and is allocating special funds for development of Muslims. Muslim majority areas Mallappuram and Mewat are carved out of the Hindu majority Malabar and Gurgaon districts in Kerala and Haryana respectively. These 90 districts will be having accelerated Muslim population growth. Hindus will flee from

these districts just as they had to flee from the Kashmir Valley. These 90 will become Muslim majority districts; they will ask for autonomy and finally morphed into what is Kashmir today, asking for independence. Zulfikar Ali Bhutto boasted to inflict 1000 cuts. These 90 are the first complement of the 1000 cuts, facilitated by the I-command led Congress.

- The Ranganatha Misra Commission recommended that 15% of government jobs and admissions to educational institutions and so on should be reserved for Muslims just as in the pre-independence years when the British gave 33 1/3 % representation to the 25% Muslims population in the Central Legislative Assembly. (A next “secular” commission will give separate electorate for about 20% population of the minority, swelled by furious child birth and infiltration from across our borders).
- A two-judge bench of the Supreme Court comprising of Markandeya Katju and Sudha Misra have held that government spending Rs. 900cr to subsidize a few lakh Muslims individually for their Haj pilgrimage to Makka is in order. Now Christians will rely upon this judgment to ask for subsidies for pilgrimage to Jerusalem and so called holy land there about .
- In Kerala Congress (I) as well as CPM-led governments are increasingly dependent on “minority” support and partnership. The “Minority” is now so boldened to demand government investment in an Islamic bank. The CPM government has agreed to the minority demand and decided that the Kerala State Finance Corporation should invest in the Islamic Bank. Can a secular government assist a religion related Bank? Can a PIL by the redoubtable Dr. Subramanian Swamy, a division bench of Kerala High Court held that State investment in the Islamic bank is not unsecular, not unconstitutional. Islam can be assisted by the State! What is the difference between Aurangzeb rule and that of the “secular” governments of India?

- “Secular governments are patronizing and financially assisting purely religious schools, Madrassas of Muslims . These impart no secular education that enables the young from these schools, get any job outside the Madrassas and mosques. The “secular’ governments have agreed to equate madrassa certificates with those from government /private schools!
- Secular governments have ruled that private non-minority colleges shall provide reservations for BCs etc; but exempted minority colleges from this obligation of “social justice” and “inclusive” growth – they are outside India’s laws and obligations.

Just consider the following facts:

- Those who stridently advocated “secularism” which has become a cover word for appeasement of Muslims are those who have fled from Pakistan and are flourishing in India - Manmohan Singh, I.K.Gujaral, Khushwant Singh, Kuldeep Nayyar, Sachar (who incidentally is the son of a great nationalist Congress leader Bhimsen Sachar) and many Bengal communists.
- Manmohan Singh, Sachar, Markandeya Katju, Sudha Misra & Ranganath Misra are all high class Brahmins whom, Hindus traditionally believe to be their mentors and intellectual leaders, equipping Hindus to defend their Sanatan dharma. All of these are collaborating with the religious and ideological foreign RNIs for **Muslimification** of India, for reducing Hindus to servitude as during the rule of Muslims and the British.

Leaders of regional parties (which are mainly caste groups) are competing with the Congress -I of Sonia to shower benefits on Muslims & Christians. Some of them are putting skull caps and giving Iftar parties to Muslims even when no Muslim groups ever gives any party to Hindus on any of their festivals. Each of these parties is conceding what the “minorities” demand. Reservations on the basis of religion has been extended to Muslims by Congress Chief Minister in Andhra Pradesh ; its rivals promise 12% reservation in a future Telengana ; Jagan of the Dr.Y.S.Rajasekhara Reddy Congress writes to the central government to extend reservations for dalit Christians (his family are

all converts to Christianity. They bear Hindu names, that too, caste names to boot); the CPM and DMK have given reservation for Muslims in West Bengal & Tamilnadu respectively. The leaders of the TDP & PRP in Andhra Pradesh ingratiate themselves with Muslims by donning skull caps and promising to give what they want. These leaders have also promised to Christians to repeal the ban on proselytisation and conversion activities around some of the holy temples of Hindus in Andhra Pradesh.

If frogs are put in a pot of water and the water is slowly boiled, the frogs become insensitive to the rising temperature; they won’t attempt to get out and finally they get boiled to death. On the other hand, if frogs are dropped into boiling water, they will immediately jump out and save themselves. Hindus are like the frogs in the pot of India which is being slowly heated up by the “minorities” - religious and ideological, both drawing inspiration from outside this country and swearing loyalty to transnational ummas. If Hindus do not take note of what is happening to them by the evil designs of the resident non-Indians (RNIs) (and persons of foreign origin, as the supremo and proprietor of a party bearing a historic name), they will relapse into servitude as under the British and earlier Muslim rulers. It is apt to recall the great warning words of Winston Churchill and live by them.

“If you will not fight for the right when you can easily win without bloodshed; if you will not fight when your victory will be sure and not too costly; you may come to the moment when you will have to fight with all the odds against you and only a small chance of survival. There may even be a worse case: you may have to fight when there is no hope of victory, because it is better to perish than to live as slaves.”

Disintegrationist Development & Wasting Welfare

India's economy has been soon after liberalisation in 1991, growing at an envious 8% and above. The growth of population has slowed down; it has come down from 2.8% to about 1.7% per annum. These rates help doubling of the per capita income (PCI) in about 12 years. This is in contrast to the miserable 3.5% annual growth in GDP and 2.8% population growth per annum before 1991. At those rates it would take 70 years for PCI to double! The pre-1991 miserable performance was due to the Nehruvian permit-licence-quota socialism which stifled the initiative of the growing number of enterprising engineers, MBAs and other highly qualified young people. Is economic growth a sufficient condition to build a prosperous, powerful, intellectual and ethical India? The prosperity should not be only for the few enterprising people. If that is so, the great wealth that is being created will be concentrated in a small section of our population. Inequality as between the enterprising and wealth-creating sections of the people and the hardly educated, unemployable masses would grow. Growing inequality creates envy and instability in the society. These are the fuel for the Maoist above-ground intellectuals and underground guerilla armies and casteist groups (some of which are fostered and financed by multinational corporation (MNC) enterprises) to indulge in militant socially disruptive and destructive activities. The public policy of all political parties and governments should be to contain this inequality and help the economically lower strata of people to lift themselves up into prosperity. There has been however an unpardonable negligence on the part of all political parties, especially the growing regional casteist and communal politicians (who have made politics a profession of benefit and profit) in building capability among the poor to raise themselves up with some help from the State. A few practices that have been the reason for India to be still a developing country even after 64 years of independence will be examined in this paper.

- Socialism as practised in India has been a fraud. Our brand of socialism did not result in transfer of wealth from the rich to the poor but only from the honest rich to the dishonest rich.
- The sleeping sickness of socialism is now universally acknowledged – but not officially in India. The public sector enterprises are the black holes, the money guzzlers and they have been extracting an exorbitant price for India's doctrinaire socialism.
- History will record that the greatest mistake of the Indian republic in the first 50 years of its existence was to make less investment in human resources- education, family planning, nutrition and public health- than in brick and motor dams and factories.

-Sri Nani Palkhiwala

Neglect of Education:

Malaysia, South Korea, Indonesia, China & Sri Lanka gained independence from colonial and exploitative rule later than India. Korea was devastated by the war of attrition between the North and South and then, between the US and China. Almost every productive industry has been destroyed. Malaysia gained independence in 1957 from the British masters. It was wrecked by communist insurgency for over five years after it gained independence. Its population has also been furiously increasing. Indonesia gained independence (from the Dutch) later than India. It was occupied by Japan and exploited. Sri Lanka too got independence from Britain later than us. The per capita GDP in South Korea is \$ 29,836; in Malaysia \$ 14,670; in Indonesia \$ 4,394; Sri Lanka \$5,220; in China \$ 6,828; in India it is \$ 3,389 (these figures are on purchasing power parity basis). Why is India's per capita income so much lower than all these countries? China became truly independent and united in 1949 after a devastating civil war and Japanese occupation in 1949, two years later than us. Today China is a near super - power. Why is it that India, which had a better scientific and industrial base and even better educational institutions compared to every one of above countries, lagging behind everyone of them as regards per capita GDP and also has the highest percentage of people below poverty line. The main reason is that while the countries mentioned above have all succeeded in making their people literate to the extent of 90% within ten years, in

India our literacy is still low at 70% after 60 years of Independence. Our matriculates are about 25% and graduates are about 12%. As in no other country in the world, Dr. Ambedkar saw to it that the state has a duty to impart free compulsory education to every child between ages 6 and 14 years. Despite this constitutional direction, successive political parties running governments in the states and in the center have neglected education. Education liberates people from ignorance and incapacity from misery; education gives the choice of profession and does not condemn a person to the ancient profession of his caste into which he is born.

Education gives the choice of profession and frees a person from the confines of the age-old profession related to caste. Economic development gives opportunity for the educated to quit their villages and move to anywhere in India or outside to prosper. The rigours and disadvantages of the inherited caste can be overcome only by education for all and economic development. Education should be of such quality and kind which will provide a job for everybody provided, he is employable.

The crime of the highest order committed by the political class is the neglect of education. What it takes India to achieve what these days is fashionably called **inclusive growth** is good quality education for all at government's cost up to matriculation and thereafter, financially assisted education for those who are wanting to get into different professions. Since government has yet not achieved the goal of free compulsory education for all children between ages 6-14 whatever resources it can spare for education should primarily be utilized for universal pre-university education. Higher education can very comfortably be left to private sector just as telecom, civil aviation and ports sectors which are high rates of growth and spread.

De-valuation of Merit and Intellect:

Indians living in India during the British rule got Nobel prizes but after –Independence, no Indian (Mother Teresa is not an Indian, she was here on a conversion mission through the device of looking after the indigent) got a Nobel prize. But Indians denied jobs and opportunity

within India and therefore had gone abroad, have been getting Nobel prizes. Sir V.S. Naipaul, the grandson of indentured labourers from the Hindi belt of India has, as a citizen of Trinidad and Tobago got Nobel prize for literature (Rabindranath Tagore got one when India was still British-ruled and none living in India after him), Hara Govind Khorana, Chandrasekhar Subramanian, Ramakrishnan and Amartya Sen are all of Indian origin living and working abroad. They got Nobel prizes for their work while abroad. Why is it that no Indian living in India is getting a Nobel prize? It is because we have devalued merit through the instrument of reservations. This is a very sensitive subject and yet if we are honest, we should express our thoughts freely on the subject. People of SC & ST had been, by design subjected to adverse discrimination and conditioned to perpetual poverty. In order to correct this historic crime, we are paying reparation. We have provided reservations for admission to educational institutions, recruitments to government jobs and created special finance corporations and other organs of state to advance them rapidly. Reservations were inscribed in the Constitution first for 10 years from 1950 when we adopted the Constitution. But this is being extended decade after decade without demur from any quarter. Anyone adversely commenting about reservations is dubbed a communalist and Hindu fundamentalist. This is unfortunate. The way we have been implementing reservations has created a new caste – Government Brahmins; that is, privilege by birth. Those SCs & STs who, because of reservations got good education and good government jobs are having their children & grand children also getting reservations for government jobs and admissions to colleges. Opportunities for employment in government and semi-government organizations are becoming a fraction of those in the rest of the economy. If reservations for the SCs & STs are restricted to one generation or at best for two generations, that is self, son and grand son, and those too for two people in any generation of the first beneficiary, then by now together with free and compulsory education for all, every SC, ST would have had an opportunity to lift himself from the disadvantages of poverty and would have come abreast of everybody else in the society.

The demand for reservations has extended to almost every section of the population. For example, in Andhra Pradesh Js. Puttaswamy Commission which went into the claims of several castes in Andhra Pradesh for inclusion in the backward class (B.C) list found that excepting Kammas (chowdary) and Velamas, (called Raos in Telengana areas) every other caste demanded to be included in the backward caste list. Even the Brahmins demanded to be included in the BC list. The reason they gave was “all those who are forwarded among us had gone to the US. Only the backward are left in India. Therefore we must be declared BC”. As if BC classification is not sufficient, caste groups have been demanding that there should be further classification into ABCD groups. The most disadvantaged are to be declared the extraordinarily backward castes (EBCs). Thus the Hindu society is being splintered into castes and more castes. When the Kaka Kalelkar Commission went into the question of reservations for BCs in 1955 it enumerated 2,399 castes as BCs. The Mandal Commission in its report in 1980 enumerated 3,743 B.Cs and now if the claims of all those who demand to be declared as BCs are added up, castes will be more than 6000. Is it not strange that castes go on increasing? This is because politicians have been using caste for building up vote banks.

Now reservations are sought to be extended to non-Hindus; to those SCs who had converted to Christianity on the promise that in Christianity there are no castes. Although they are Christians for generations, the ex-SCs and leaders of Indian Christianity are demanding that they be equated to SCs of Hindus and given reservation. More surprising is that Muslims who claim to have ruled India for several centuries are saying that among them also, there are castes equivalent to Hindu SCs and Hindu BCs! There are enough number of politicians, mostly casteist and regional, who are supporting everybody's demand for inclusion in the BC list. In fact, the claims are for declaration as backward, more backward, most backward, utterly backward, totally backward to be included even in the ST category! (eg: the Gujjars in Rajasthan and Jats in UP and Kapus in Andhra Pradesh). Now this reservation mania has gone to such an extent that even amongst SCs, there are some wanting further classification. For eg, in Andhra Pradesh there are 54 SCs. The most prominent among them are Madigas and Malas. Most Malas have converted to Christianity but they don't declare

their conversion to avail of reservations. Because of better educational facilities for the converts, the 30% Malas among the SCs are getting 70% of the jobs reserved for the entire SCs. Therefore, Madigas who constitute about 60% of the SCs, are agitating, sometimes violently, that there should be sub-classification among the SCs, and that the 15% reservation should be split up sub-caste-wise depending upon their population. These demands are supported by all parties but the government finds itself in a constitutional bind.

It is not only at the entry level that reservations are provided. They demanded and got reservation even for promotions, for SCs, STs, BCs & EBCs. Therefore arises a ridiculous situation like a professor of medicine is superceded by an SC or ST person whom he taught. The doctor is devastated that a student who entered the college in a reserved quota and just passed and got a government job in the reservation quota superceded him and becomes his boss. Such things detract from meritorious performance of one's duty.

There does not seem to be an end to reservations. They are being demanded and extended for more years, for more and more sections of Hindus and perhaps to Christians & Muslims also. Hindus will be divided and ranged into mutually opposed castes while minorities (Muslims & Christians) will be untied by religion. They would therefore rule the country as in the medieval period.

That reservations for admission to professional colleges for SC & STs are not producing desired outcomes is evident from the figures in the table below:

Stock of SC & ST Engineers & Doctors in Andhra Pradesh Andhra Pradesh : Census 2001

	Total in the State	Of which	
		SC	ST
Engineers	3,27,032	19,590 (6%)	3,559 (1.08%)
Doctors	63,325	3,943 (6.2%)	861 (1.35%)

When 15% and 7.5% of seats are reserved for SCs & STs respectively and fully filled up every year, why is their percentage in the outcome highly less than 15% & 7.5% ? They pay no fees; no mess and lodging charges; text books are given free. Without studying why the outcome is so poor and costly, what is the sense in continuing the system without reform?

The remedy, if at all it can be achieved by the political system in the country, is to **put a sunset date for all reservations**, leaving them to one or two generations and that too for one or two children in a family and do them away in the matter of promotions and restrict them to entry level, for colleges and government services.

Opium Feed to the Poor:

Soon after independence, the budget of the Government of India was a total of about Rs.110cr.; by the year 2011-12 the tax revenues are over Rs. 8 lakh cr; Government will borrow Rs. 4 lakh cr. The budget is a total of 12 lakh cr. In the early 1950s there was very little social sector spending. It has now risen to over Rs. 4 lakh cr. There are subsidies for agriculture. These could be justified as food and its availability to all people is essential. On the amount of debt government has so far incurred, it is paying interest of over Rs. 2 lakh cr. Obviously, this amount goes to the rich who alone could lend to the government. There are certain social merit goods for which subsidies are justified. Education upto matriculation is such a social merit goods. But what is known as **fee reimbursement** in Andhra Pradesh to over 25 lakhs of university level students is a total mis-spend. It is primarily aimed at getting votes. For eg: the annual intake of students into engineering colleges in Andhra Pradesh is 2,75,000. (all over India it is about 13 lakhs). About 75% of these are unfit to be employed as asserted by various professional and business associations and companies. Why should such students be subsidized? The entitlement - wallahs (those who assert right to life, right to house, right to wife, right for a degree, right for free rice) and the subsidy justifiers say that this is for **social justice**, for **inclusive growth**, for giving **access to education**. When education upto

matriculation for all itself is a constitutional requirement but is not yet attained, giving total fee reimbursement to millions of university level students excepting about 15%, is a criminal misapplication of the resources of taxed people's money by government. What good are these unemployable engineering and other graduates going to do the society in return for the tax money spent upon them? Would not a loan be a better option to deliver access to degrees level education? Would not merit scholarships be better so that the meritorious who are employable can return something to the society which is spending upon them?

Another give-away is the National Rural Employment Guarantee (NREG) scheme named very exceptionally (most schemes are named after Rajiv Gandhi or Indira Gandhi) after Mahatma Gandhi. It is a great waste. Every year about Rs. 40,000 cr are being spent. The example of my own village will illustrate the waste and harm of the NREG. There are 400 people (out of about 1200 house holds) enrolled under the NREG. Instead of Rs. 100 per day they are now paying Rs.125 for 125 days (instead of 100 days earlier). 50,000 man days of labour every year in a village with a population of 5000 to produce any social good is unthinkable. They don't have any work. The non-governing government of Andhra Pradesh, under threat of political instability has increased the daily wage from Rs.100 to Rs.125. The result is the men just come to some office at about 1000 Hrs mark their attendance, go away and get money. The wages for agriculture labour have shot up from Rs.100 per day to Rs. 400 to 500 during the season of harvesting and such works which have got to be done only during a particular period. The result is farmers who are not even rich—the average holding in my village is 4.5 acres per family—are distressed and are wanting to abandon farming. They would rather lease their holdings to corporates. Sooner the corporate farming becomes a practice, the better. Then there will be relief for the distressed farmers.

This phenomenon of 70% rural population depending upon agriculture must be very disturbing. The contribution of agriculture to

the GDP has come down from 68% in 1951 to 18% and yet 65% of the population of working age in the rural areas (whose population is 70% of India) are depending upon agriculture for their livelihood. Therefore, their PCI is about one eighth that of urban people. The impoverishment of farmers by the steep rise in agricultural wages is occasioned by money being freely disbursed in the NREG. So many people in the rural areas are unproductive because they are not employable in any sector other than those which need physical labour. This is due to lack of education, especially vocational education. The TV and news papers are showing the prosperity of the enterprising Indians (Mukhesh Ambani building a house costing more than Rs. 200 cr and more than 57 dollar billionaires; Indians are growing as billionaires at the highest rate anywhere in the world). Obviously, the multitudes will get restive and in order to contain their restiveness, political parties are competing to distribute money directly as in the NREG and in addition, by way of appliances like TVs, laptops, mixis, grinders, light bulbs and so on as in Tamilnadu. As time passes, political parties will have to promise more and more welfare competing with one another thus detracting from the spend on education and very grievously, on the defenses of India. our Chiefs of staff of Navy, Air and Army have already drawn the attention of the nation to the fact that China's military power is three times India's Pakistan has near parity with India in regard to the defences. Gen.Shankara Ray Choudhury, former Chief of Staff wrote that we would have to fight two and a half wars simultaneously against China, Pakistan and Maoists within the country. When we are overwhelmed by a three to one superiority by China alone, imagine what could happen to India if we are to wage war with all the three which is not inconceivable.

Loan Melas:

Another give-away is the waiver of debts, Rs.70,000cr for farmers. A moratorium would have been better. Taking a cue from the central government, Andhra Pradesh government waived another Rs. 5000 cr to farmers who have paid the debts to bring them to parity with those who have defaulted and got the loan waiver. In Andhra Pradesh there was a debt waiver mela - over Rs. 1900 cr for the SCs, Rs.

450cr for Muslims, Rs. 350 cr for weavers and so on. Government of Andhra Pradesh (I am sure many other governments also) are urging people to take loans like for example when the former Chief Minister Y.S.Rajasekhara Reddy gave a lakh of Rupees as loan to one crore women to make them lakhpatis. Now when they are due to repay, political parties including Congress are agitating that these loans should not be collected. So **governments and political parties are urging people to take loan and at the same time, they are organizing them for waiver of the loans.** These actions are subverting the work ethic, the saving ethic and instead promoting dependency ethic; that is, government should give free housing, non-repayable loans, free provisions – from rice at Rs. 2/ Kg; then Rs.1/Kg; and now 35 Kgs free per month, marriage, pregnancy and child-birth grants; old age pensions. Government does not expect anything from them. It is promoting laziness. No nation which has spent more than what it produces has lasted for long as a great nation. For example, we see the decline of America because an average American addicted to credit card use is indebted to the extend of 18 months of his PCI. That is the reason why American power is declining.

A few Right Actions:

What is right is work must be got from people availing NREG but not in the village where they are. Work must be guaranteed for those who are willing to work at project sites where dams and canals are being built, where houses are being constructed, roads are being laid. They can be transported at government cost and housed in tents with their children also being looked after by government. That means instead of simply paying money for doing no work in the place where they are, they should be prepared to move. Only those people willing to work at sites shown by government should be guaranteed a job.

In addition to this, government must have program of totally stopping all welfare for families who have more than two children; that is the moment a third child is born all welfare must cease because that is an unsupportable burden on the rest of the people. If somebody says that god is giving them children, then they should apply to god for welfare

and not to the poor government and poor citizens. It is this **Manthra** that China has been following one - child norm since 1978. It is because of this that there is hardly any growth in its population and that it has been able to build prosperity and power and become the second largest economy the world in the shortest of time and is poised to over- take the USA in the next few years. Government, leaders and responsible citizens must constantly emphasize the virtues of education and its quality work and saving ethic. They should say that people should try to live within their ability to earn and during the period of development, they should have only one or two children. That is one by choice and the second only by mistake.

Slogans like garibhi hatao, inclusive growth, Muslim First and social justice are all sweet words. They are unethical and totally populist. The purpose of populism is to get votes; the purpose of vote gathering is to get elected to legislative and ministerial positions cover up the real intention of feeding welfare opium to the people to keep them away from movements against corruption, inflation, loot of public money and its stashing in Swiss banks and for building vote banks. Pre-election promises of give-aways are no different from I.O.U.s and should amount to distribution of cash for votes; hence punishable.

If the huge wealth our entrepreneurs are creating of which governments are getting about a third is used properly to build up human resources, through education and health and housing and drinking water, limited families then our nation can really become prosperous and powerful. Education rid of unnecessary and unjustifiable reservations will be productive of intellectual property (IP) that the country needs to sustain its prosperity and power. And above all, ethical and moral values like that one should live within one's own means, one should not covet wealth without work, one should not produce children who will be a burden upon the society are what should be taught in the home and school and at large by all responsible people. This nation cannot afford wealth creation with increasing inequality. This nation should not create opportunities and incentives for the wealthy to take away the money and keep them in Swiss bank.

“You cannot bring about prosperity by discouraging thrift.

You cannot strengthen the weak by weakening the strong.
You cannot help the poor by destroying the rich.
You cannot establish sound security on borrowed money.
You cannot keep out trouble by spending more than you earn.
You cannot build character and courage
by taking away man's initiative and independence.
You cannot help men permanently by doing for them
What they could do for themselves.”

- Abraham Lincoln

If we make a mockery of reservations, launch festivals like loan melas and their waivers; if we bribe people by give-aways like in NREG, indiscriminate and unreasonable fee-reimbursements; cash grants for marriages, pregnancies, child-birth and pilgrimages while those in government loot money in collusion with contractors, licencees, land-gainers (in the name of SEZs which are later utilized for real estate activity), we will surely be incubating popular, deadly up-rising as in Algeria, Egypt, Libya.... The political class and the collusive greedy get-rich-quick business operators will be bringing disaster upon our country even as our “friendly” neighbours undermine our sovereignty and plan to slice off our territory in the north-east and north-west.

Laws to protect common man
Loopholes to protect the Businessman

Politicians go on making laws for every preserved crime and corruption ostensibly to protect the interests of the common man. But they leave loopholes to protect the interest of the business men from whom they extract funds for their election.

Making laws to protect the common man and leaving loopholes to protect the business man has become a fine art too extensively practiced by politicians.

Political Parties Regulatory Authority of India

The late Harindranath Chattopadhyay, a good poet and singer once wrote that while going through the travails of giving birth to a child, a woman would resolve that never never again would she sleep with the man due to whom so much pain she has to suffer but that after a few weeks, she would resume business as usual, a happy conjugal life. I am recalling this in the context of what transpired in the Lok Sabha for the Vote of Confidence in Manmohan Singh's government in the year 2008 and the series of increasing frightening loots of the public money and corruptions that are coming to light during the last few years of the UPA II rule.

Purchasing MPs first came into the open when Sri P.V.Narasimha Rao sought the Vote of Confidence in 1993. He won it by buying Sibu Soren and other MPs of the Jharkhand Mukti Morcha (JMM). There was a futile attempt to prosecute the bribe givers and the takers. Purchasing of MLAs votes in State Assemblies has also been going on and this trade has been indulged in by almost every party excepting the communists. Defections and floor-crossing had been described as Ayaram Gayaram phenomenon by the late Sri Y.B. Chawan. Not only individual MLAs and MPs defected but Bhajanlal who was the Janatadal Chief Minister of Haryana had the distinction of converting his entire Janata Dal Legislature Party into the Congress Party over-night. The Indian National Congress which is no longer the same as the Congress of Lokamanya Tilak, Madan Mohan Malavya, Lala Lajapat Rai, Sardar Patel, Subhash Chandra Bose and Mahatma Gandhi is now a proprietary party almost owned by a family. Despite that, because of its history and its dominance for so long, it is expected that it would set standards of morality, uprightness and transparency among elected representatives of the people. That that morality is entirely different when individuals are involved has been proved by Smt. Indira Gandhi repudiating the candidacy of Sri N.Sanjeeva Reddy for the Presidentship of India. She proposed his name but then afraid that she could be eased out of Prime Ministership and Congress, she called upon her party men to vote according to

their conscience so that her favorite and obedient candidate, Sri V.V.Giri would be elected. In 1967 Congress was reduced to a minority in many States. Samyukta Vidhayaka Dal governments were cobbled up in those states. There was lot of trading in legislators and totally unprincipled alliances, one of which was where communists and the then Janasangh were also in the same anti-Congress government in Bihar. Floor-crossings in UP, Jharkhand, Haryana and on a smaller scale, in other states has contributed to the utter decline of ethics among elected representatives. Its most public exercise was witnessed in the voting on the confidence motion in the Lok Sabha on the 23 of July 2008. Wads of currency notes which had been given to MPs to entice them to vote in a particular manner, were with great relish, flaunted and exhibited for public view over TV channels. Of course, there will be some inquiries but as in the past, we may be sure that nothing would come out of such inquiry.

Scores of new political parties, mostly based upon caste and proprietorship in nature are being formed. For eg: in Andhra Pradesh apart from the three communist parties, one Congress Party, and one TDP, there are three parties each one of which is pledged to fight for establishing Telengana State; in addition there are the Lok Satta, the Mana(our) party of some backward castes; the BSP and Trilinga Pragathi party. A cinema star founded a party in Y 2008 with one particular caste as its anchor. It did poorly in the general elections in Y 2009. Its proprietor struck a deal with the Congress (I) chief and would merge his party with Cong (I) for an assured consideration.

Parties are making fantabulous promises in a very competitive manner. In Andhra Pradesh, the Congress and the TDP are making promises that they will give free electricity not only for farmers but even for all rural folks, waiver of all loans whether for agriculture or for education; free houses, free meals, free clothes, TV sets, free lands, marriage expenses, delivery expenses, nutritious food during pregnancy, old age pensions, white ration cards for all but about 10% - 15 % of the population, subsidized pilgrimage for Christians & Muslims; Muslim First Programs; separate districts Muslim concentration areas, reservation for

Muslims in elected bodies; fee reimbursement for all post matric students, loans of Rs. 100,000 each at 3% interest for one crore women, and so on. They also promise to introduce prohibition but they made the same promise in earlier elections also but as soon they got into power they forgot about it. None of the parties have any verifiable record of how funds are coming to them, no accounts are submitted to anybody including the party executive. There are no elections for office bearers. The supreme/proprietor/I Command of the party nominates persons to various offices; the committees are dissolved and re-constituted now and then. Alliances between even antagonistic parties are formed and broken at tehsil, district and state level. Corruption charges are leveled against their own party-men in power but to no consequence.

Companies are required by statute to have audited accounts of revenues and expenses, reveal sources of income and investments, profit and loss accounts, balance sheets publish (unaudited) results every quarter, hold Annual General Meetings, scrutinize and pass the accounts, elect Directors and so on. Political parties must have much more concern with public money and inner party democracy. Parties must be required by law – to make all disclosures that public limited companies are required to make. We need to have a statutory regulatory body – Political Parties Regulatory Authority of India (PPRAI)

We have statutory regulatory authorities for telecommunications, insurance, banking, civil aviation, stock market etc. We have an Election Commission but its function is only to see that elections are conducted fairly and according to law. There is no law in this country which will submit political parties to decent discipline. For eg: If parties make promises and don't deliver upon them, they are fraudulent and deceitful. They spend hundreds of crores of rupees (in Andhra Pradesh Rs. 10 cr per candidate for a Lok Sabha seat and upto Rs. 5 cr per constituency for the State Assembly). Where is this money coming from? Who is keeping accounts? Where is this money stored and how do they spend this afterwards? Nothing is known. There is no inner party democracy; office bearers are nominated by the proprietor of the party. They are removed at will. Some parties are open to persons only of a certain

religion, i.e they are purely communal although for election purposes they affirm commitment to secularism. Some parties don't believe in multi-party democracy they pledge to establish one party "dictatorship of the proletariat", to get recognition from the Election Commission they (falsely) affirm their commitment to (multi-party) democracy; . Socialism and secularism are not defined. They mean anything one wishes.

So, every free enterprise, and communal party affirms faith in them. Functions of PPRAI should be an amalgam of what SEBI and Registrar of Companies with due changes applicable to bodies (i.e political parties) whose business is politics – Politics is now a business, let us make no mistake about it; it is even a hereditary profession, indulging in business with functions like acquisitions, mergers; sale and purchase of MLAs, MPs and other "elected" (more appropriately, selected) persons. There must be provisions in this Act among others, for requiring the political parties to file annual returns of accounts and AGM proceedings; contribution of a part of their revenue to the PPRAI for financial assistance to civil society's Elector Education and Election Watch Societies and for the PPRAI to bring into being such societies with essential functions prescribed for them.

It is therefore necessary that there is a Political Parties Regulatory Authority of India (PPRAI) just like the TRAI for telecoms. It would be great if a law is drafted by public spirited organs, put in circulation for public discussion and a final draft is produced in consultation with lawyers, chartered accountants and men of integrity, former government officers and others and a petition is presented to the Parliament for passing a bill. There could be at least, one or two MPs of impeccable integrity who could be requested to move a private members bill if, the government itself is not forthcoming. This must be canvass right from now so that it becomes one of the issues in the elections to the Lok Sabha in 2014.

Dt. 13/06/2011

**Sri P V Narasimha Rao, Mauna Muni - Deng
Tsiao Ping of India**

“Still waters run deep” are wise words which aptly apply to Sri P V Narasimha Rao. His scholarship in Indian classics is vast.

The time when the wisdom that scholarship imparted to him could be brought to fully bear upon India's policies was when he became the Prime Minister in 1991. Only a few of his great contributions to India's glory are recalled in this tribute to this great son of Andhra Pradesh, who ever saw himself as a Bharatiya, with the great inheritance of Bharat's sanskriti, culture.

Without ever speaking against it, without ever denigrating the architect of it, PV put an end to the permit-licence-quota (PQL) raj, the real status to which Nehruvian socialism deteriorated and descended from the lofty concept of the State's organs “occupying the commanding heights of the economy”. The PLQ raj put enormous power, very much discretionary, in the hands of government's officers and much more in the rapacious politicians in power. PLQ Raj punished companies producing more than they were licenced, a measure unheard of in any country! The traditional and famed entrepreneurship of Indians was thwarted and frustrated; while India's private companies were disallowed to produce, the Nehruvian PLQ raj was importing those very products (eg: telecom switches and technologies) from foreign (private) multi-national companies (MNCs)! While the state-led and controlled economy was growing at 3.5% per annum, the people-indulged population was growing (that was the only product not subject to PLQ) at 2.8% and so the per capita income (PCI) was growing only at 0.7% P.A. at which rate the PCI could double only in 70 years! The 3.5% was pejoratively called the “Hindu rate of growth”. Nehru and his dynastic successors who ruled India were not Hindus, reverent to and steeped in or fully aware of Hindu culture and heritage; on the other hand Nehru was a “socialist”, his daughter hobnobbed with communists (post 1969) and went on a nationalizing spree (coal, banks, textile mills, passenger road transport....) and Nehru's grandson, Rajiv hardly knew anything about Hinduism or its glorious history of philosophy,

science and technology and arts and literature. It was these un-Hindu people who implemented the Nehruvian Socialist PLQ Raj but called the outcome, a miserable Hindu rate of growth. Sri P V Narasimha Rao was the first educated, Hindu-enlightened Prime Minister of India. As Minister in Indira's and Rajiv's cabinets, he silently but loyally executed the PLQ raj; the moment he came into his own as the Prime Minister of India, he silently but decisively discarded the Nehruvian PLQ socialism and freed India's economy from the state-capitalist, power-hungry, rent-seeking grip. Fortunately the collapse of the USSR and its scientific socialism, known as communism, silenced the warriors and votaries of Nehruvian socialism. The famed journal, London's Economist characterized the act as the Indian Tiger Uncaged”. So that he is not undermined, PV silently allowed every government measure to protect the private and personal interest of Sonia Gandhi [eg: not unearthing the Bofors rip-off and escape of Quattrochi]. In giving up the PLQ raj and liberalizing the Indian economy, PV was like Deng Tsiao Ping of China who did not denounce communism and Mao but launched the de-‘statification’ of the Chinese economy; PV just did not refer to the past; he introduced a new evocative phrase, privatization with a human face! From 3.5% the GDP growth rapidly increased to the present 8% to 9%; the rising prosperity is brining down the population growth [down to 1.8% from 2.8%]. Indian entrepreneurs, and their companies are stalking the global markets; acquiring foreign companies and competing with them. As years pass by, the most bold and wisest act of PV's liberalization will rank him as the greatest Prime Minister of India for initiating the creation of wealth for India by Indians. Just as there are more statues for Dr B R Ambedkar now, than for Mahatma Gandhi or Nehru, a time will come when prosperous and powerful India will put up more statues for Sri P V Narasimha Rao.

PV initiated the ‘Look East’ policy in foreign affairs. Afraid of and to appease Moslem residents of India, India has been denouncing Israel in its dealings with Palestinian terrorism, suicide-bombing, settlements on the West Bank etc. But all (except Iraq) the Moslem countries of the Organisation of Islamic Conference (OIC) have been condemning India for violating the human rights of Moslems (terrorists) in “occupied

Kashmir”. Nehruvian Prime Ministers have inexplicably ignored the East Asian countries, Myanmar, Laos, Kampuchea, Thailand, Vietnam, Japan, Koreas, Mongolia, countries and peoples who are Buddhists, children of Hinduism. PV without a comment on the futility and unrequired solicitude for Arab-Moslem West Asia, decisively initiated the Look East policy. This was built upon by the Vajpayee government which was not shy of acknowledging its Bharatiya past and heritage.

PV removed an aberration in regard to our policy in respect of Israel. India’s pre-PV governments shied to establish full-fledged diplomatic relations with Israel. This was ridiculous for Arab, Moslem states like Egypt and Jordan which waged wars on Israel established diplomatic relations and concluded peace agreements with Israel. Why should not India which had no quarrel at all with Israel not establish full diplomatic relations? Not doing so was thought to be pleasing to India’s Muslims. PV saw the absurdity and in full recognition of national interest established Ambassadorial relations with Israel. Atal Bihari Vajpayee government greatly built upon it and today Israel is our second largest supplier after Russia, of defence and sophisticated intelligence equipment.

The fullest benefit of liberalization launched by Sri P V Narasimha Rao is in the Telecommunications sector, with which I have been having a lot and about which I had briefed PV on several occasions. PV boldly sidelined the nay-sayers of any reform in the Telecom Department and scripted the first ever National Telecom Policy (NTP) in 1994. I was the unnoticed draftsman for all the measures of liberalization of telecoms that were undertaken by PV. The 13-paragraph NTP was written by himself without using any of the words and phrases that we the advocates of demonopolisation and privatisation had been using. He used such words which can be comforting to the opponents of demonopolisation but could also be interpreted by demonopolisers for full privatisation. PV showed his genius for use of the English language and strategy to overcome the Union’s and creed-based political parties’ resistance for privatisation of telecoms. Today, if in any sector’s hopes and objectives of PV’s liberalization are fully realized, it is in the Telecommunications sector. And 100% credit must go to PV for this outcome. Of course, the Vajpayee

government further improved the NTP 1994 but the inspiration and authority and provision to improve, was built into the phraseology of the NTP 1994 drafted by wizardry of PV.

I always feel happy to recall some of the advices towards a little enlightenment and commitment to Bharatiyata that I have received from PV. When I was the Chief General Manager of Telecommunications of Andhra Pradesh, PV once called me and asked me to set up a Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan’s Public School in Bheemavaram (West Godavary District, AP). He was a great patron of Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan and a total believer in the ideology and vision of Kulapati K M Munshiji. After he gave up the Chief Ministership of Andhra Pradesh, he had plenty of time to come to Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan’s office in Hyderabad for guiding its activities. Inspired by his call, I set up ten Bhavan’s Public Schools in Andhra Pradesh and in the adjacent districts of Karnataka. When he was Defence Minister, once he called me and asked me whether as a Telecommunications professional I would comment upon the Government of India’s intention to get the French CIT-Alcatel Electronic Exchange Systems to India in supersession of the global tenders called to utilize a World Bank loan for modernization of Indian telecoms. He said that the French were wanting India to take the CIT Alcatel E10B systems and this measure of India could get for us the French Mirage aircraft and other defence equipment. I had given my considered view that although the CIT Alcatel equipment is not in a few measures equal to some of the US equipments, in the interest of India’s defence requirements and their fulfillment by France, we would not be paying any serious price by accepting the French Telecommunications system. In the event, this is what we got and we are not any the poorer telecom-wise; on the other hand, India got the much needed French Mirage aircraft.

PV became Prime Minister after Rajiv’s death in 1991. He had only about 220 members in the Lok Sabha. Within a few months, he was able to convert his minority into a majority. Obviously, he used Chanakya’s prescription on state-craft. He lasted a full term in spite of the very well known discomfort of the Madame at 10, Janpath with an outsider as the Prime Minister. Atal Bihari Vajpayee, as a leader of the NDA, a coalition

of 20 parties learnt not a little from PV as to how to manage a minority party-led government. Finally, is it fortuitous or providential that this great Bharatiya was the Prime Minister, when the most hated Babri structure, a monument to the defeat and depression of Bharat was pulled down.

Andhra Pradesh and India would be increasingly feeling proud of Sri P V Narasimha Rao and his contribution for laying the foundation for a prosperous and powerful India by unleashing the inimitable entrepreneurship of Indians not only in this country but across the globe. It is absolute small mindedness that for so unique a personality as PV, a Samadhi for him was not allowed to be built in Delhi, where men of far less caliber who had become Prime Ministers by chance had been given place. Neither is Andhra Pradesh giving what is due to him for fear of wrath of the powers that veil. History will condemn the small minded men who are deliberately ignoring the great PV. History will pay tribute to him in ample measure just as it is paying to Dr B R Ambedkar more than any other person in the political firmament of India.

Dt: 28/06/2011

Eliminating the Poor or Poverty?

Writing in the Sunday Magazine section of the Hindu of 26 June, Kalpana Sharma brought out the very interesting fact of some of the states like North Carolina in the USA officially sterilizing persons classified as too poor to afford children, mentally ill, homosexuals etc., until as recently as 1979. She also wrote disapprovingly about forced sterilizations that were carried on a large scale during the Indira Gandhi's Emergency rule of 1975-77. Very interestingly, she also reproduced the august opinion of a famous judge of the Supreme Court of the USA who wrote: "we would be better off if instead of waiting to execute degenerate off- spring for crime or let them starve for their imbecility society can prevent those who are manifestly unfit from continuing their kind. The principle that sustains compulsory vaccination is broad enough to cover cutting the fallopian tubes". Compulsory sterilization as an instrument of containing furious population growth, especially among

those who cannot afford any children or many children or who are so poor that have to beg on the streets or so mentally unfit that it is improbable that they can bring their offspring properly, may appear to be unkind but let us consider the issue rationally.

Government of India under the direction of Sonia Gandhi the chair - person of the UPA is going to bring in a Bill guaranteeing food security for 75% of the population of India; that is 90 cr of people. Such a scale is unheard of in the entire history of mankind and of any nation. That inspite of the socialistic pattern of society engineered by Jawaharlal Nehru and his progeny and all the parties all the while talking of implementing pro-poor, poverty- elimination programs, 75% of the people still require food subsidies as well as free food grains is proof of the dishonesty of all parties that have been ruling this country.

Leaving aside, the population of India has increased from under 40 cr to 120 cr since independence. It is common knowledge that the wealthy the well employed and well educated people are having 1 or at most 2 children and feel ashamed to have a third or more children. It is mainly the unemployed and poor people including beggars that are having many children. Also, a section of our population is deliberately having many children in order to gain political clout, come what may happen to the nation or to the welfare of their own progeny. Since it is the 75% who are wooed as voters, as more and more subsidy programs are launched. Population will grow uncontrollably. The gains of economic development will be squandered on unproductive consumption. The food security program is estimated to be costing Rs. 10,000 cr per year. It is a giveaway without any expectation from the beneficiaries contributing to the country in any way in regard to development. The NREGA is giving away over Rs. 40,000 cr per year for no ostensible benefit to the society and the country. No worthwhile lasting assets or improvement have been created by spending this money. On the other hand, the number of days and the amount per day are being increased (125 days at Rs. 125 per day). A family is splitting into more than one by showing a son or daughter of more than 18 years as the head of another

family and therefore claiming some more guaranteed payments. Added to these give-aways are loan waivers and low interest unrealizable loans to crores of self help groups of women. It is estimated that the central and state governments are spending Rs. 5 lakhs crores per various welfares. These will further increase because inspite of all programs of “elimination” and allevia of poverty, the percentage of poor people being covered by welfare is increasing. Otherwise we will not have of 75% needing food security welfare. Either the money is eaten away - government surveys have shown that in the PDS, 58% of the food grains don’t reach the target. The 42% of the Rs. 500,000 or Rs. 2,10,000 cr of black money is generated per year. The political class is promising more and more money including the giveaway of TV sets, laptops, grinders, mixers and so on besides fee reimbursement for millions of university level students. They are only being certified but not qualified. If, instead of perpetuating “elimination of poverty” schemes, population control could be achieved either by a government policy of legally requiring people not to have more children (China could implement that because it is a dictatorship and there are no multi-party elections) or by withdrawing welfare from those families who have more than two-children, the moment a third child comes. This is not a punishment but an acknowledgement of the inability of society and state to feed without limit the breeders and their progeny. Those who consider children as gifts of God, should turn to God and not to mortals and their governments for feeding them and cloth them and educating them and housing them and giving them jobs whether there is work or not. The states like Kerala and in the north-east which have achieved over 90% literacy and education for women have been showing no growth in population. The reason is education. If the state, instead of spending on feeding the poor so that they can breed without limit, concentrates on giving quality education and skills to the children of families which only have two then we would achieve stabilization of the population and also concentrate national resources for building up a prosperous, powerful, intellectual society. China has become a great economic power mainly because of its population control - its population has been kept at 1.3bln since

1980. During the period of development, it is necessary that limitless growth of population is stopped. Otherwise, the poor will go on increasing even as additional wealth is generated by economic development as if will be consumed for feeding and breeding programs. We should expect intellectuals not to be emotional and join the bandwagon of pro-poor people or garibhi hatao parties and groups that equate poverty with divinity (Daridra Narayana) and offer pujas for them with no consequence of poverty elimination.

Dt: 25/07/2011

Ill Fares The Land Where “Welfare” Substitutes For Work

When Sri Chandra Babu Naidu, the supreme leader of the TDP was Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh. He distributed tens of thousands of bicycles to girl students studying in the upper classes of High Schools and spent a few hundred crore rupees on that scheme. This did not help him to win the elections to the State Assembly in 2004. While in opposition, in order to draw nearer to Communists and expiate for having kept the company of BJP, Chandra Babu Naidu went to Darga Sharif in Ajmer and gave a donation of Rupees fifty lakhs from the TDP to build a Guest House for Muslims of Andhra Pradesh visiting Ajmer! He did not think of giving this amount to establish English medium schools for poor Muslim girls and boys so that they don’t go to Madrasas and become jihadis later, rather than acquire skills from modern education for employability.



Sri Chandra Babu Naidu at a mosque during Ramzan
Andhra Bhoomi (8.10.2006)

Sri Karunanidhi, in the run-up to the Assembly elections in Y2006 promised TV sets and gas stoves to the poor. A few months afterwards he was over all the TV channels proudly and happily distributing the TV sets. This promise cost the tax payers Rs. 750 cr. To gain more publicity, he distributed the promised gas stoves on another occasion. In the run-up to the year 2011 elections to the Tamilnadu state Assembly, he promised lap-top computers to all poor students, grinders and mixers to poor housewives. His rival, Jayalalita promised more. Karunanidhi's party lost. Voters expected more gifts, more freebies.

Sri Mulayam Singh, Chief Minister of UP facing elections in early 2007, started distributing Rs. 500 per month for every unemployed person and Rs. 20,000 for every girl student who passes intermediate. Dr. Y.S. Rajasekhara Reddy promised in the Assembly elections in Y 2004 to give free electricity to the poor and the first file he demonstratively and proudly signed after being sworn as Chief Minister was an order giving free electricity to the poor. Within one year he had to say that the electrical energy consumption in Andhra Pradesh went up by 25%. Soon the State was having power cuts in rural areas and in all towns excepting Hyderabad, Visakhapatnam and Vijayawada. The year 2009 elections saw a competitive promise of almost everything free for the poor, B.Cs, S.Cs, S.Ts, minorities, women, old, children. Sri Chandra Babu Naidu out-did Dr Y.S. R Reddy by promising transfer of cash of Rs. 2000 per month to the poor; the poor according to rationcards issued amounted to over 80% of A.P's population. The YSR's Congress government waived off loans from BCs, minorities, farmers and women groups amounting to about Rs. 10,000 at 3% interest for one crore women to make them **lakhapatis** (and the certain waiver in Y 2014) won him a second term.

When Smt. Meira Kumar was Union Minister for Social Justice and Empowerment launched a scheme according to which whosoever of upper castes marries a Scheduled Caste spouse, would get Rs. 50,000. Of course, these were marriages of convenience; of easy income for, after the Rs. 50,000 have been pocketed, there would be divorces and some very imaginative and resourceful persons could go on marrying

several times after every divorce. There were **kulin** marriages in Bengal. About 150 years ago, Brahmin families were wanting to marry their daughters to a person of a very high pedigree and great respectability, a Kulin. That man would go on marrying one girl after another from poor families and all of them were proforma marriages, lasting for only a few months.

Another measure beloved of communists and very willingly accepted by the supreme leader of the Congress (I) and Director of the UPA government is the National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (NREGS) under which one member in every poor family is to be given, for 100 days, daily wages fixed by each State government; whether he could be engaged on any work or not. This is called poverty elimination. First implemented in one third of the districts of the country it costed over Rs. 12,000 crore. Now implemented all over India, it is costing a staggering amount of rupees 40,000 cr. per year! In A.P, 75% of the families are registered for the scheme. The daily wage under NREGA was raised to Rs. 125 and the number of days to 125. A family living under one roof is split into two get double wages. No asset or lasting improvement has been created out of the NREGA labour in most villages. How can there be 80 to 100,000 man days of work of a public benefit nature, year after year, in the village where 800 out of 1000 households have registered and are paid under NREGA? The result is steep increase in agricultural labour wages- Rs. 300 to Rs. 400 per day of only four or five hours of work. Agriculture is becoming unviable; farmers are in distress. The proposal of the state government to suspend NREGA "employment" during certain periods of agriculture is not agreed to by the "bleeding" hearts of vote and power-wanting "mother of the poor", the UPA chair person. Easy money is promoting idleness; worse that money has pushed sales of liquor and consumption!

In 1969 when Indira Gandhi split the Congress and she wanted to finish off the old guard, her war cry was **garibi hatao** i.e. banish poverty. And after that she and the congress party have been in power for over 30 years. The opposition parties also went to the poor with their manifestos for the uplift of the poor. In fact, the BJP the national

opposition to the Congress had introduced in the State of Rajasthan a scheme by the name antyodaya under which to the poorest of the poor it distributed lot of money. State units of the Congress (I) like in Punjab and Haryana had been giving free electricity to the poor. And inspite of all these poverty elimination oriented propagandist and populist and vote-courting schemes, about 25% of the people are still below poverty line (BPL) (for which there is a standard definition). Soniaji (Maino) Gandhi looked up into the family box of mantras and has picked up the dazzling mesmerizing Mantra of 20- Point -Program of the fascist Emergency (1975-77) vintage to banish poverty and confer prosperity on the poor. This 20-Point programme was the Flag-ship scheme to banish poverty, the facilitation of which was one of the reasons for imposing the Emergency Rule. Since poverty is not going, she now directed the UPA-II government to launch a Food Security programme. 75% of the population (have the poor trebled in 40 years!) would be covered. The subsidy under this scheme would cost Rs. 100,000 crores per year. The poor would get 35 Kg of food grains per month free. The NREGA pumps Rs. 40,000 cr to the poor. The Union and State governments are spending about Rs. 500,000 crores under various welfare programs (Since 48% according to government –held surveys does not reach the targeted, Rs. 2,40,000 cr of black money gets generated per year in the country that must be the “welfare” spent on politicians in power and government servants)



(Cartoon Source: The Hindu, 9/10/2006)

Is it distribution of money and TV sets and gas stoves and bicycles and free electricity that would enable people to quit poverty or is it education? There can be no second opinion that feeding a person is not going to help him to rise above his poverty. It is building in him capacities or

abilities which enable him to be employable that can assist him to quit poverty. It is education which greatly enables people to get over poverty. Countries in Asia which gained freedom from foreign rule later than India (eg: Malaysia, China, Indonesia, Myanmar, Sri Lanka, Singapore, Vietnam etc.) had been able to attain 90% plus literacy levels within in 2-3 decades after independence. Is it not a matter of shame for us that inspite of socialism and garibi hatao and poverty eradication programs, literacy in our country is only about 70% and in certain communities it is less than 40%. A 2% Cess on Income Tax has been imposed to create a fund to promote literacy and education. Hundreds of crores are being collected. But it is known that not all that amount is utilized for promoting high literacy and educational levels. Instead, it is being used for poor - feeding and populist schemes (though indirectly). The Union Government is giving “packages” of thousands of crores of rupees to States. And part of the 2% Cess for education goes into those “packages”. And these “package money” is largely misappropriated .

Government schools up to the secondary level are largely attended only by the poorest people. To cite a specific instance, in my village in Angaluru, Krishan District of Andhra Pradesh and in the government High School in Gudivada, a nearby town of 300,000 people, I had personally studied the composition of the students. I find that these are children of daily wage earners, agricultural workers, vegetable vendors, many Harijans and very poor, backward class people. There are vacancies among teachers, especially to teach Science, Mathematics and English. Teachers available are for physical training, crafts, sociology, Telugu and such subjects. The students learn nothing useful. The other day, I asked the watchmen in a multi-storeyed building as to where his children are studying. This watchman gets Rs. 3500 per month and his family consists of 5 persons. He said that he is sending his two children to a nearby private school. He pays Rs. 200 per month per child. I asked him why he is not sending the child to the nearby government school where there is no tuition fee. His answer is typical: **“there are very few teachers, they don’t teach anything. The children learn nothing”**. That is why I am sending my child to the private English medium “convent” school though it is considerable expense to me. This Watchmen is engaged by a

private agency. In government and Municipal employment, there are thousands of Group-D officials. Even they have no faith in a government school. They send their children to private, English medium “convent” schools. They take bribes and it is from that money that they are able to afford the costly private school education. That teachers in government schools teach nothing is proved by the fact that 90% of the teachers in government schools don’t put their children in the schools in which they are teaching but send them to private English medium “convent” schools. Government is totally helpless because all the political parties expect the teachers to be their agents at election time and therefore they are not able to impose any discipline or require them to be proficient. A large percentage of government teachers are on long -leave to teach in private schools to get higher emoluments. This situation in rural schools is much worse. Government of A.P, in its boundless love for the poor (and in acknowledgement unwittingly that government schools are no good) has made it compulsory for corporate schools to admit a certain number of poor students, to give them good education!

The outcomes claimed for poverty alleviation and welfare measures of governments are fantastic fiction. For eg: in Andhra Pradesh white ration cards are given to below poverty line (BPL) families. These are one crore and thirty lakhs (risen to about one crore eighty lakhs before the 2009 elections) . The number of BPL families having the White Ration cards at four people to a family amounts to a population of 5.2 crores . It is more likely to be six crores. The total population of Andhra Pradesh is eight and half crores. That means, the BPL people are over 60% of the population! At the same time, the government claims that the BPL percentage in the state is about 25. It is known that MLAs and party leaders at the grass root level compete with one another to give White Ration cards to elector families. And we have the communist parties agitating that many more deserving BPL families have not yet got the White Ration cards. These White Ration cards are registered with shop -keepers under the public distribution scheme (PDS). The shop keepers draw this subsidized rice, kerosene and sugar from the civil supplies of government and sell much of them at market and not subsidised prices. The difference is shared between them and the political

dadas and government officials. There are reports in news papers of MLAs giving a few hundred White Ration cards as gift to newly-wedded couples. The latter have to fill up the names and the address and use them for their benefit.

Another welfare measure **housing for weaker sections** is another farce. Almost everywhere but particularly in Andhra Pradesh of which I am very familiar, a count of the total number of housing units built since 1951 under various schemes for the poor and weaker sections amounts to over a crore (by 2017, they are two crores) which means that over 50% (by 2017, 90%) of the population of Andhra Pradesh must be living in houses built by the government as welfare measure for weaker sections. They don’t exist. They are just **Potemkin houses (Potemkin was Minister of a Czar of Russia)**. He spent lot of government money and misappropriated it but told his Emperor that wonderful prosperity has been attained by the people. He took the Czar on a tour to see the prosperity of the people for himself. Just as in cinemas, beautiful palaces are built out of cheap material and painted over, Potemkin built cinematic houses and offices on either side of the roads and showed them to the satisfaction of the Czar. They are known as Potemkin villages). The money being spent on the National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (NREGS) is another mis-spent “welfare” . It is supposed to be paid into the beneficiaries bank A/C but as soon as the beneficiary withdraws the amount, he must give part of the amount to the government servant and the political dada. Such a beneficiary is not complaining because whatever he is getting is absolutely muffat that is, **for no work done**. This extra income, though a fraction of what government is spending upon the rural poor, is pushing up the wages in the countryside. Because they are getting money without any work under this great scheme, why should they slog. If real work is required then the wage the farmers have to pay has to be very high.

Since our economy is booming and the GDP is growing at the rate of over 8%, government revenues are growing enormously and this, despite the customs duties having been slashed drastically. The Central Government and even State governments do not appear to be limited

by any monetary resources. They borrow money, pushing up the national debt. Interest payment is the largest single item of budgeted expense of central and state governments. The Central Government is, like an Akshaya Pathra, it was able to give a package of Rs.26,000 crores to J&K, some 17,600 cr. to States where farmers commit suicide and many thousands of crores of rupees other schemes named after Nehru, Indira and Rajiv. Most of this money is mis-spent. It is not for poverty alleviation or significant development but for filling up the pockets of agents of the State and its political masters.

Honest tax payers are having a moral dilemma. The honestly paid taxes are being misused and are misappropriated by populist governments with high sounding schemes. (Many of them, over 400, are named after members of the Nehru dynasty, with the word Gandhi misleadingly appended to it. Feroze Ghandi, a Parsi was the husband of Indira (Nehru). If they don't pay because of this mis-use, they will be infringing the law. What is the proper dharma for them? Should they abet waste and fraud or should they infringe the law? Rajaji, the saint-Statesman - politician long ago warned that **a huge government means vast corruption and total helplessness of honest people.** Sri Nani Palkiwala has made some profound observations about Nehruvian socialism we had for more than four decades in this country (which now appears is having recourse to under the populist slogan of welfare of the poor) and Abraham Lincoln had educated his Nation about how to build prosperity without robbing the rich and through hard work and thrift. Here are the quotes. **Sri Nani Palkhiwala on Socialism:**

- Socialism as practised in India has been a fraud. Our brand of socialism did not result in transfer of wealth from the rich to the poor but only from the honest rich to the dishonest rich.
- The sleeping sickness of socialism is now universally acknowledged – but not officially in India. The public sector enterprises are the black holes, the money guzzlers and they have been extracting an exorbitant price for India's doctrinaire socialism.
- History will record that the greatest mistake of the Indian republic in the first 50 years of its existence was to make less investment in

human resources- education, family planning, nutrition and public health- than in brick and mortar dams and factories.

- We keep on tackling 50-year problem with 5-year plans, staffed by 2-year officials, working with one year appropriations, fondly hoping that somehow the laws of economics will be suspended because we are Indians.
- Over -taxation corrupted the national character overtly. The nation survived only because the tax system continued to breathe through loopholes and the economy used to breathe through window of tax evasion.
- We have too much government and too little administration; too many laws and too little justice; too many public servants and too little public service; too many controls and too little (real) welfare.
- Government should no longer be power above the people, to be petitioned and propitiated for favours.
- Indian liberalisation encounters formidable opposition from three quarters:
the top heavy bureaucracy reluctant to shed its enormous powers, influential politicians who prefer to let socialism remain the opium of the people and of whom it can be truly said that if ignorance is bliss, they should be the happiest men alive.
- We shut our eyes to the fact that socialism is to social justice, what ritual is to religion and dogma is to truth.

Abraham Lincoln said this on how we cannot build prosperity:

“You cannot bring about prosperity by discouraging thrift.
You cannot strengthen the weak by weakening the strong.
You cannot help the poor by destroying the rich.
You cannot establish sound security on borrowed money.
You cannot keep out trouble by spending more than you earn.
You cannot build character and courage
by taking away man's initiative and independence.
You cannot help men permanently by doing for them
What they could do for themselves.”

What we are defaulting on:

- Delivery of quality education upto secondary stage (matriculation) is remaining a dream because we are not increasing the Navodaya Schools and Kendriya Vidyalayas. Government schools are running short of students as parents, even the poor like watchmen prefer high fee-taking, private, “English medium” “convent” schools since they believe that nothing is taught in government “schools”. (Andhra Pradesh has a surplus of 21,000 government teachers because their schools are de-populated; children are gone to private schools)
- Primary Health Centers (PHCs) are neglected, starved of funds, doctors and medicines; on the other hand, the populist, corporate-hospital patronizing Arogya Sri scheme of government for the poor is fed with funds. An appendicitis operation which costs Rs.1000 is billed for Rs. 10,000 upwards.
- The defences of India have become inferior to China’s and even Pakistan’s. our security forces have been taking more casualties than the Maoist insurgents in Chattisgarh and other Naxal-affected areas.
- Four-laning of our nation high-way to connect up India has been seriously showed down.
- The grand idea of building up a national water-grid by linking up our rivers and thus sharing nature’s bounty by all regions has not even been taken up.
- Reclamation of waste-lands and arresting desertification and leaching of top soil down mountain and ghat slopes is in cold storage. This would come in the way of production of additional food and pulses production for our growing population in a corporate hospital. The loot is shared between the corporate hospital and contract-giving politicians and government officials.
- Government sets targets for giving loans! to the poor, B.Cs, minorities, SCs, STs, women’s self-help groups, farmers, weavers, fishermen (ie. voters) and at the same time, all parties agitate **for waiver of loan repayments**.
- Populism has totally distorted education. Parents have to catch up Rs. 10,000 to Rs. 100,000 to get a child admitted to a private

Nursery/LKG and has to pay annual fees of a few thousands. In Andhra Pradesh Graduate engineering education of four year duration costs just Rs. 500/- for 75% of the 275,000 “poor” students as their fees are totally reimbursed by government ! Other states would follow A.P’s example, commended by the chairperson of UPA-II, as a sure vote-catcher.

What Leadership should teach and preach:

After Sri Lal Bahadur Sastri, we have not had one Prime-minister (or Chief Minister or party) who exhorted or urged people to work hard. We have no leaders (and hence no governments which are enforcing family planning to limit population growth, like Deng Tsiao Ping and his successors in China). On the other hand, our populist policies send the message, “ **We feed: You Breed**” and so the illiterate and unemployables are increasing, inducing competitive promises of more welfare by contending political parties. If only the leaders and parties promote **work ethic and education and enforce one or two child norm** (on pain of the infringer being debarred from all welfare) **with half the zeal with which they are distributing bicycles, TV sets, gas stoves, housing for weaker sections, we in our seventh decade of Independence will not be having the world’s largest number of illiterates, unemployed and poor.** We should promote work ethic, not welfare ethic; we should promote self-help and not dependency (on government); we should promote thrift, and saving; not spending and consumption; we should promote duties and not merely rights (every right is only in a duty fulfilled); we should create wealth before we start distributing; we should conserve nature and not ravish it; above all we should be wise and not merely clever; we should prefer **sreya** (true and lasting welfare) to **preya** (that will please for the moment). Consumption without earning it is theft.

Trivialisation of Engineering Education

Just consider this: In the over 700 engineering colleges in A.P there are 3,20,000 seats. In the entrance exam EMCET 2,50,000 appeared. Of these 2,18,000 qualified (i.e got 25% marks). But 30,000 qualified students failed in the Intermediate exam and therefore they are not eligible to be admitted. That leaves 1,88,000 qualified to enter the engineering colleges. Out of these, 30,000 chose not to study in A.P as they are not sure whether they would be able to complete the course within four years in view of the frequent bandhs and postponements of exams. The state has been subjected to a number of agitations for and against Telengana. This is a reason for the well to do and serious students opting to study in engineering colleges in Tamilnadu, & Karnataka.

We are now left with 1,58,000 who may join the engineering colleges as against the admission capacity of 3,20,000. A large number of engineering colleges are opened by persons who made lot of money in various businesses. Investment in buildings is an outlet for untaxed money. All these colleges are having wonderful buildings and land. They have all been permitted by the AICTE mostly without site inspection or checks whether the required laboratories, equipment, teachers, library, hostel and other facilities are available or not. Many AICTE officials are now floating in tremendous wealth. At any time, scores, even hundreds of agents for the engineering colleges to be opened are squeeze-standing in the corridors of the AICTE with bulging brief cases. Permissions are given case by case; rather, suitcase by suitcase.

Government of Andhra Pradesh is reimbursing the fees for about 85% of the admittees. they are characterized as poor minority, diseased, SC & STs. What is required is only a caste /religion certificate which can easily be purchased. A person admitted in the engineering college will have to spend about Rs.600 only and he will get after four years a printed certificate that he is a graduate engineer. This Rs. 600 cost for a four year engineering degree is in contrast to Rs. 60,000 per year which a student in class-III pays to Delhi public school in Hyderabad. To get

admission to a reputed school for the nursery, one has to shell down anything between Rs.5000 to 100,000. The tuition fee ranges from Rs.30,000 to 100,000 per year. While the Nursery and secondary education is so costly, engineering degree is very cheap – one can get it for Rs. 600.

One who joins in an engineering college need not, study at all. Questions are given and answers are dictated. So the exam will be confined to these questions. Those who are unfit to be recruited by any private company are joining as Assistant Professors in the college from which they are “graduated”.

In many engineering colleges in the rural areas the medium of instruction is Telugu because the students cannot follow English much less write it. If a good Principal insists upon the teachers teaching in English then agitations are launched saying that the Principal is anti-social and social justice demands that nobody should be detained and that everybody should be passed. The college managements are not worried - they are after all getting all the tuition fees from Government of Andhra Pradesh though very late. So 90% of the engineering colleges in A.P are turning out young men who are certified as “engineers” but not qualified to be employed except perhaps by government. Soon, this bubble of the A.P becoming a reservoir of talented engineers will burst.

Another phenomenon: Professors must have M.Tech degree. Those who are unfit to be employed by any private company are taking to M.Tech, especially people belonging to certain classes. Not finding any employment they take to M.Tech because they get a stipend. Those whose quality is no better than the B.Tech will be the professors. So this will further degrade the quality of teaching and of course, the quality of learning.

The degradation of education has started at the Intermediate level. A number of junior colleges had been opened in the private sector. These corporations are multi-site. Though the colleges are fewer than government colleges far fewer than the colleges, they have more students than government colleges. In these corporate colleges education has

been poultry-fied; Hens are kept in cages they are fed to grow and then cut as broilers or they lay eggs. In alike manner those admitted to these corporate colleges are made to read day and night. It is not text books that they read, it is answers to hundreds of questions that are likely to appear in exam papers. The answers are got by heart. No concept, no theory no basic principles are taught. They don't have any laboratories. The training is to choose the correct answer and not for understanding the subject or solving the problem. So what is turned out is an exam talent and not knowledge talent. Students in more than 90% of the corporate junior colleges get more than 90% marks. Ask them to explain any concept like acceleration or momentum or compound they will not be able to explain. But if a question is given and if that has figured in what has been placed before them, they would be able to write down the answers. They score 90% and above. In the colleges instead of the mother tongue, Telugu everybody chooses to Sanskrit. So everybody get 95% and above in that subject. Literature is unknown. It is through literature that we get values, concepts like truth, mobility, good conduct, compassion, sociability, duties. When once literature is not there, what we are producing will be literate animals. The only value that they have is how to pass an exam, how to score the highest marks, get into a professional college and get a job somehow or the other and make money somehow or the other. It because of society is presently experiencing crime and corruption on an unprecedented scale. Broken marriages, theft, chain snatching, abduction, extortion, terrorism, cheating, vandalism, neglect of parents, credit card frauds, fake passports and visas, degree certificates....

Now these corporate education businesses are invading the secondary education. Techno-schools, concept-schools, IIT foundation-schools and so on are coming up. They tell the parents that even from class V, pupils are prepared for competitive entry into IIT's just as Intermediate education has been poultryfied, we are going to have the secondary education also poultryfied. The government is an accomplice in this fraud on education. In the name of social-justice. Government is forcing private schools to admit to the extent of 25% children of poor families whatever be their ability to learn or understand. And the government is

reimbursing the fees for these very poor. Thereby it is admitting that government has no resources to open the necessary number of schools for all nor can it guarantee any quality of education in its own schools. That this is absolutely true is born out by the fact that teachers in government schools do not admit their children in these schools in which they have a job but admit them in private schools. Teachers take the appointment as a source of livelihood and not as a duty to educate students. They don't live in the village where they are posted. They commute from the nearest town. Absenteeism is rampant. In very remote villages the teaching is outsourced by the teacher to some Matriculate in that village. The teacher gives to that person about a 25% of what he is getting per month. With the rest he does chit fund and money lending or transport businesses in the town.

If this is the type of "education" that we are providing to the young. Is it ever possible to build prosperous and powerful and intellectual India with this type of education.

Dt: 21/09/2011

Reservation for Muslims

Susri Mayawati, Chief Minister of UP and supremo of the BSP has written to the Prime Minister calling on the Union government to provide reservation to Muslims in proportion to their population. She referred to the Js.Sachar Committee report in support of her request (IE 19/9/11).

The Union Law Minister Salman Khurshid while speaking in Hyderabad on 18/9/11 said (Eenadu 19/9/11) that the government of India is examining the provision of reservations for Muslims and the possible need to amend laws (perhaps, even the Constitution) to facilitate this; he further said that the Andhra Pradesh government has already provided reservations for Muslims and that he (the Union government) would follow this example. (he did not mention the fact that the Andhra Pradesh High Court struck down the government's order but that the A.P government is continuing these reservation; and that its appeal against the High Court's judgment is pending in the Supreme Court)

To woo Muslims to join the movement for a separate Telengana State, the (sub)regional party Telengana Rashtra Samithi's Chief, Sri K.Chandra Sekhara Rao has promised 12% reservations to Muslims in the future state.

The CPM-ruled West Bengal government provided 10% reservation for Muslims; the Trinamool Congress government of that state has announced ten lakh scholarships to Moslem students.

The DMK government in Tamilnadu has already declared Moslems as BCs and thus extended reservations to them. Thus, every party excepting the BJP, led by the Congress is competing with the rest to treat Moslems as a separate people by dint of their religion and to get their community's votes, are disgracing the Constitution, even as it was amended to specifically define the state of India as secular, that is; it treats citizens not as religious groups with different rights and privileges based upon their religion. To justify reservations for Moslems, they are labeled as **backward and disadvantaged**. Moslems proudly say that they ruled India, a land with 75% Hindus (before Aug 1947) for hundreds of years and in Telengana until as recently as Sept 1948. Both Hindus and Moslems were ruled over by the British for over 150 years. Unless backwardness is self-inflicted (by not taking to modern education and having large families and having lived off the defeated and subjugated Hindus and hence having not developed any talents to compete with the liberated subjects), how does a former ruling (for centuries) community becomes backward compared to the subjugated and ruled and exploited majority community? Does the South African White settler community which ruled over the 91% natives for centuries claim it is backward (and therefore it must be given reservations because it has lost its rulership in a democracy) And if the Whites demand reservation, would the non-white majority agree? In South Africa the divide (wisely given up in 1994) is due to race (the settler white conquerors converted the natives to their religion); in India, the divide is due to religion (Hindus and 90% of 'Moslems belong to the same race although the latter would like to think that they are of a different racial (Arab/Mongol) stock).

In demanding reservations as they are Moslems, they are dragging the remnant India (ie. pre-August 1947 India minus, Pakistan and Bangladesh, which have become the exclusive home-land for the sub-continent's Moslems – a status demanded by 98.5% of Moslems of India) to pre-1947 land of two-nations (Moslems and the rest) in one state. From 1905 when the Muslim League was founded, till 1940, Moslems described themselves as a minority and so demanded special rights and protection and privileges like separate electorate, reservations and weighted representation in legislatures like 33 1/3% membership of the Central Legislative Assembly in Delhi for a 25% Muslim population and reservations for recruitment to government services. After March 1940, Moslems under their militant Moslem League (of which the post-1947 Indian Union Muslim League is the successor) and its illustrious and brilliant leader, Mohammed Ali Jinnah asserted that **they are not a minority but a separate nation entitled to a separate sovereign state as home-land for all the sub-continent's Moslems by partition of India and exchange of minority population**. (Excerpts from Md. Ali Jinnah's speech expounding the separate Muslim Nation, at the Lahore session in March 1949 are given in the annex) The Moslems demanded, voted (in the 1946 elections) and rioted and succeeded in dividing India and creating Pakistan. Pakistan kept true to its word and contrived to see that almost all non-Moslems (Hindus, Sikhs, Buddhists) left Pakistan (its 19% pre-1947 non-Moslem population is about 1% now; that of Bangladesh's non-Moslem population of 32% is now 8% and is still declining). The Moslems of what is post-1947 India, except those of Punjab and Haryana and a few in Bihar, stayed put here and have increased their proportion from about 10% to between 16% and 20% (the latter including illegal Moslem infiltrators from Bangladesh and Pakistan, enrolled as voters by vote-hunting parties and now, regularized by taking them into the National Population (as distinct from citizen) Register by the benevolent Congress(I)-led UPA-II government). Now let us see, how the remnant Muslim problem of remnant India is reverting to its pre-1947 state.

India's Constituent Assembly (Consemply) convened in November / December of 1946 – well before partition was agreed. It was to make a

constitution for un-divided India. 198 out of 296 members were Hindus including 33 S.Cs and STs; 80 were Muslims of whom only 4 were nationalists and 76 were Muslim Leaguers who stood for Pakistan and so boycotted the Consenbly. 7 were Christians, 5 Sikhs, 3 each of Parsis and Anglo-Indians. All Moslems were elected by Moslems who were a separate electorate. Note **that 95% of the Moslem Consenbly members** belonged to the League which was rioting and fighting for the partition of the country; actually 98.5% of the Moslem electorate of India rejected Congress in the 1946 elections to the Provincial and Central Legislatures. In January 1947, the Consenbly constituted a 50 plus member Advisory Committee on minorities. The Consenbly was deliberating a **constitution for undivided India**. It decided to do away with separate electorates and reservations for Moslems. Read on how that decision was ultimately taken 55 to 3 in the Advisory Committee and unanimously adopted in the Consenbly. The following are reproduced from Sri K M Munshi's book, "Pilgrimage to Freedom" published by the Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan .

"Rajkumari Amrit Kaur, a Christian opposed both reservation of seats and weightage for any community; anything in the nature of privileges for any special class or section, she stated, was wrong in principle, and, when it was given on the ground of religion, it was doubly wrong, for all religions stood for brotherhood of man, and none for separatism. Such reservations and special privileges militated against the establishment of the declared objective of the Indian Union; it was, therefore, necessary, she urged, to discard the old policies which tended to accentuate differences and create the communal problems, to eschew safeguards and special privileges to minorities, and to create a new spirit. She urged, very rightly, that special privileges would lead to the fragmentation of the Indian Union.

"The Shia Political Conference, representing the Shia Muslims in the country, supported joint electorates without reservation and weightage. The credit for it goes initially to Ali Zaheer (later Minister in the U.P Government) and subsequently to Tajamul Husain of Bihar

"Rarely any representative of the Muslim League attended the deliberations of the Constituent Assembly; only their leader, Chaudhuri Khaliquzzaman, participated in the discussions in the Committee as a matter of form, his attitude one every occasion being cautiously obstructive.

"The Nationalist Muslims , who acted under the guidance of Maulana Azad, urged reservation with weightage. At a later stage, two leading Nationalist Muslims , Abdul Qaiyum Ansari and Maulana Hafiz-ur-Rahman, wanted a provision inserted in the Constitution to the effect that Muslim Qazis should be appointed to administer Shariat laws and a Muslim Minister placed in charge of waqfs. (yet, they were called "nationalist Muslims – Dr T.H.Chowdary) This was going back on the equality before law established in the country for over a century and a half.

"The Christians took a fair attitude from the beginning. They were prepared to accept reservations proportionate to their population in the Central Legislature and Provincial Legislatures of Madras, Bombay, Assam and East Punjab; in other Provinces, they wanted liberty to seek elections from the general constituencies. They were, however, opposed to weightage.

"Sir Homi Mody stated that the Parsis did not want any special privileges, and so far as the quantum of representation was concerned, they wanted that they be accorded representation equal to any other community. In the memorandum, the Parsis strongly deprecated any discrimination in the economic sphere based on communal consideration and pleaded that merit alone should determine recruitment to public services of every category.

"When the deliberations on the safeguards commenced, H.C.Mookerjee, speaking on behalf of the Christian community, **gave up all claims to safeguards, in the interests of national solidarity**, thereby creating the right atmosphere.

“Sir, Homi Mody, the leader of the Parsis, also announced that his community did not require specific reservation. This announcement added to the strength of the impact made by H.C.Mookerjee.”

“All those talks were before partition. After the Partition, “new Muslim representatives were appointed to the Advisory Committee; among them were Tajamul Husain and Begum Aizaz Rasul, once an energetic member of the Muslim League, who had, however, chosen not to leave India.

“The bulk of the Nationalist Muslims led by Maulana Azad had as their spokesman Maulana Hafizur Rahman, who favoured retaining reservation for Muslims.(Such is the nature of “nationalist” Muslims – Dr T.H.Chowdary)

“Tajamul Husain, in a vehement speech, criticized the Nationalist Muslims for seeking reservation, indirectly attacking Maulana Azad, and urged upon the Muslim representatives to forget the past and help in creating a secular State.

“Tajamul Husain’s forthright criticism of the Nationalist Muslims emboldened Begum Aizaz Rasul to put her point of view, namely, now that Pakistan had been formed, in the interests of the Muslims who are left in the country, it would be better not to isolate themselves from the general community by asking for reservations”.

“The matter of reservation of seats for Muslims was taken up by the Advisory Committee at its meeting held on May 11, 1949. It was a critical situation. **The representatives of the nationalist Muslims sat silent.** I (K.M.Munshi) learnt later that Maulana Azad, to his great credit, had instructed them not to press for reservation. At that moment, however it was expected that they would stick to their original position. Tajamal Husain had gone abroad on some delegation.

“Begum Aizaz, Rasul afraid of being severely attacked by the Nationalist Muslims, could not summon up courage to speak. There was no one to propose that the Muslims did not want reservation, and the fate of the

most important issue- joint electorates without reservations-hung in the balance.

“Ultimately, somehow she summoned up courage and walked up to the lectern. She pleaded in a very hesitant manner for abolition of reservations for Muslims left in India; they were an integral part of the nation, she said, and should play their part in the general electorate.

The Muslim members of the Consenbly after August 1947 (those that did not go to Pakistan) **were unanimously in favour of joint electorates without reservations,** on May 11, 1949. “Jawaharlal Nehru welcomed the abandonment of reservations. He said: I wish to associate myself with **this historic turn in our destiny.....It means not only discarding something that was evil, but turning back upon it and determining with all our strength that we shall pursue a path which we consider fundamentally good for every part of the nation.....There is some point in having a safeguard where there is autocratic or foreign rule.** As soon as you get something that can be called political democracy, then reservation, instead of helping the party to be safeguarded or aided, is likely actually to turn against it.....and isolate it from the main current...at the cost of forfeiting that inner sympathy and fellow-feeling with the majority”.

Separate electorate, reservation and weighted representation, all granted by the foreign, colonial British rulers to put one set of Indians against the rest and so prolong their rule by professions of safeguarding the interests of minority, were seen by the makers of our Constitution as divisive, communal and undemocratic. Hence they were given up by our statesmen-leaders. These communal, anti-national, secularism-subversive demands are now assumed by Moslems and are fostered by parties competing for community votes.

The fostering of separatism through reservations was, unfortunately begun by Pt. Jawaharlal Nehru himself.

The same Jawaharlal Nehru, who spoke the above words in the Constituent Assembly, only a few months afterwards in march 1950 fell in for the proposal of Liaqat Ali Khan, the Prime Minister of Pakistan, to provide reservations for Muslim residents of India. Embodying this provision, the draft of what came to be known as Nehru-Liaqat Ali Pact, was brought before the cabinet for ratification. Sri V.N.Gadgil who was a Minister for Works, Housing and Supply in the first Cabinet of independent India had, in his book, **“Government From Inside”** narrates how Jawaharlal Nehru promised in the draft pact with Pakistan’s Prime Minister, Liaqat Ali Khan in 1950 that Muslims would be given adequate representation in India’s armed forces and the Police!

Gadgil wrote: “Liaqat Ali came to Delhi in March 1950, had discussions with Nehru and one fine morning at 10 o’clock. Nehru placed before the cabinet a draft of his agreement with him... The final two paragraphs in the agreement accepted the principle of reservation for Muslims in proportion to their population in all the services and representative bodies in the constituent states of India. Similar provisions were suggested for the Central Government also. Each of us (the cabinet ministers) got a copy of the draft but no one would open his mouth I said, “these two paragraphs nullify the whole philosophy of the Congress. The country had to pay the price of division as a result of acceptance of separate electorates. You are asking it (India) to drink the same poison again!” (N V Gadgil, Government from Inside, P 191). He even challenged Nehru that he had no power to enter into any Pact, with any country without the approval of the Cabinet. After a detailed discussion in the Cabinet the next day the whole provision of reservation was dropped.” Luckily, that Nehru -assured representation for Muslims in government organs did not materialize, because then existed patriots like Vallabhai Patel and Gadgil who acted as a brake on the usually Muslim- appeasing Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru.

In a parting speech, the former President of India Dr A.P.J Kalam observed that there is a dearth of leaders with noble minds in India now. The present breed are certified for academic degrees but are not

educated; few read history; far fewer read literature. For them politics is a profession; a very lucrative business with least risks and investment. The wares they market are promises of reservations, cash transfers, loan-waivers, paid pilgrimages (to minorities), free food-grains, jobs without work and so on. People are led to compete for recognition as backward, discriminated and disadvantaged. Parties are recklessly conceding more and more demands. Moslems’ demand for reservations, (later separate electorate, weightage, carving of Muslim majority districts like Malappuram in Kerala and Mewat in Haryana. Minority Commission, State Minority Finance Corporations, Minority Welfare Department, Urdu Universities and a National Council for Promotion of Urdu Language, Minority Colleges (engineering, medicine, dentistry, IT-subjects which have nothing to do with religion, minority or majority); and now the latest minority-crowning legislation, “Communal Riots & Targetted Violence Bill” are all leading to reversion of India to the pre-1947 Muslim Communal politics that led to the partition of India. The UPA Prime Minister’s “Muslim First” programs for development of 90 districts with prominent Moslem population would lead to as many Moslem districts (like Malappuram and Mewat) which would, like Kashmir first seek autonomy and then independence. That would be full restoration of India with 600 plus native States and Nabobis.

The demand for reservations for Moslems must be viewed in the perspective of history of India during 1905 to 1947. It is as well we recall the prophetic words of Dr B R Ambedkar at the concluding session of the Constituent Assembly.

Dr B R Ambedkar’s speech after writing the Constitution

Here I could have ended. But my mind is so full of the future of our country that I feel I ought to take this occasion to give expression to some of my reflections thereon. On 26 January 1950, India will be an Independent country. (Cheers) What would happen to her

Independence? Will she maintain her Independence or will she lose it again? This is the first thought that comes to my mind. It is not that India was never an Independent country. The point is that she once lost the Independence she had. Will she lose it a second time> it is this thought which makes me most anxious for the future. What perturbs me greatly is the fact that not only India has once before lost her Independence, but she lost it by the infidelity and treachery of some of her own pr. In the invasion of SInd by Mahommed-Bin-Kasim, the military commanders of King Dahar accepted bribdes from the agents of Mohammed-Bin-Kasim and refused to fight on the side of their King. It was Jaichand who invited Mahommed Ghori to invade India and fight against Prithvi Raj and promised him the help of himself and the Solanki Kings. When Shivaji was fighting for the liberation of Hindus, the other Maratha noblemen and the Rajput Kings were fighting the battle on the side of Mogul Emperors. When the British were trying to destroy the Sikh Rulers, Gulab Singh, their principal commander, sat silent and did not help to save the Sikh Kingdom. In 1857, when a large part of India had declared a war of Independence against the British, the Sikhs stood and watched the event as silent spectators.

Will history repeat itself? It is this thought which fills me with anxiety. This anxiety is deepened by the realization of the fact that in addition to our old enemies in the form of castes and creeds we are going to have many political parties with diverse and opposing political creeds. Will Indians place creed above country? I do not know. But this much is certain that if the parties place creed above country, our Independence will be put in jeopardy a second time and probably be lost forever.....”

Source: *The Makers of Indian Constitution – Myth and Reality*

The Changing Indian Family System: Westernisation & Globalisation or Modernisation ?

***The old order changeth yielding place to new,
lest one good custom should corrupt the entire world .***

- Lord Tennyson

Kaalah kalayataam aham

I am time which causes change

-Bhagawadgita 10-30

Since about a hundred years, especially since Independence (1947), profound changes have been coming in our (Indian) society. By now, changes that have taken place and which are affecting the traditional concept of and relationships in the Indian family and society are the following:

- Literacy has increased from about 15% at the time of Independence to about 66% by now. Women's literacy has increased from about 5% to about 58%.
- When once people are educated, especially to the graduate level, there is opportunity to change the profession which has been their lot for generations.
- There has been, through our Five Year Plans, great economic development. Economic development creates jobs. Since education has given the choice of profession to a person and economic development gets him/her a job, people move away from villages. At the time of Independence our rural population was about 85%. It is now down to about 70%.
- Urbanization is taking place. Towns are becoming cities, cities; are becoming metropolises.
- Tele-communications and Internet are converting home as cinema theater; children are glued to Internet/ TV is observed by parents.
- Education is enabling people to move away from village and from their family to urban areas where they live independently of their parents and relatives.

- The joint family system is breaking down as the educated members move away from the homes to take up jobs in the country and have their own families.
- Women's education is being specially promoted. For eg: in Andhra Pradesh, during N T Rama Rao's Chief Ministership one third of the seats in all professional colleges like engineering & medicine had been reserved for women. Women getting into jobs has further picked up by large numbers of them taking to electronics and communications, IT, biotechnology and architecture, MBA & MCA.
- Earning women develop individuality and economic independence. She is freed from the home culture and from the constraints and restraints which parents and joint families and village life impose.
- Our families are becoming nuclear in the sense, wife and husband and may be only one or two children constitute the family. These are moving away from relatives and parents and from brothers and sisters and other relatives. Re-unions are declining.
- The state is increasingly intervening to promote women's "welfare", women's "independence" and women's "rights" to curb "domestic violence" and dowry and other harassments. This is affecting family relationships and the home.
- Family businesses (eg: the Birlas, Singhanias, Bajajs, Mahindras, Ambanis....) are all breaking down and becoming publicly held, professionally managed limited companies.
- Caste in Hinduism is linked to the profession. When there was no economic development one continued to remain in the village. The profession engaged in by that caste was continued from generation to generation. Education giving choice of profession and development giving choice of work and residence are de-linking profession from caste by birth. The rigours of caste are slowly disappearing (vote bank politics are whipping up caste consciousness and solidarity).
- Inter-caste, inter-language, inter-religious in fact international marriages are taking place between educationally and professionally compatible and communicating people.

- International travel, globe-linking and inexpensive telecommunications, Internet, world-viewing television; globalization and free flow of news, views, information across the world and their coming to our homes which are now becoming 24-hour News paper and cinema houses are undermining native cultures. Money and not morality is forming our personality.

These are the significant changes that have been sweeping across our society. It is impossible for anyone to totally break away from heritage, from traditional relationships and ideas. This is the power of culture passed to generations extending over centuries. The changes enumerated above which are the inexorable consequences of education and economic development are affecting our family. We will look into this process of change and the consequences.

Family is unique to Human Species:

Among the 84 lakh species of life on this planet earth, it is only among humans that there is the phenomenon of a family initiated through marriage and the union of men and woman for life to continue the human species on earth. Among no other creation of god is anything like family relationships, marriage and lifelong partnerships. The rise and fall of civilisations and of nations is very much related to the existence of stable families, of family honour and filial relationships. Where and when the family structure was loose, there was disorder and decay of the social and ruling structures. The cohesion and stability of family are essential for an ordered society. Economic development, unrelated to fundamental ethics and ecological balance has been leading to the disintegration of family in the West, in the developed countries with acuteness in the USA.

The Sweep of Globalisation:

Until about 20 years ago, there was international trade only in goods, between nations. All processes of manufacture of any product were all within a country. As nations emerged out of industrial age into knowledge age, trade in services is increasing. In the developed countries, services are contributing 70% of the GDP. Trade in service is facilitated by revolutionary advances in communications and telecom and information

technologies. Communications satellite, Optical Fibre cables laid underground and on Ocean beds have linked up all the countries in all the continents. Information & knowledge are sharable by the entire world instantaneously. Whatever is happening in any part of the world can be seen and heard in real time in our homes and of late, on the screens of hand held cell phone. These developments are at the back of what we are calling globalization. Any service can be rendered from anywhere in the world, at anytime, to any consumer, anywhere in the world. Because of this revolution in communications even the under developed countries and homes in those countries and therefore the people are becoming aware of not only what is happening in other countries but how they are living, how their homes look like, what entertainment they are having and what life styles they are having. Not caring to know how much of education and what work ethic has created the present affluence in developed countries, people in underdeveloped and developing, countries (like India) are wanting to have those life styles, those goods, those gadgets and those entertainments. That is how the Western, especially the American world is able to influence and transform the aspiration and attitudes of people in the developing countries like India.

Society and Family under Scrutiny:

The ancient Hindu spirit of inquiry, discussion, reform and restructuring was resumed. Many excrescences that crept into the stagnant, withdrawn-inwards Hindu society during the intolerant, exclusivist, exploitative rule of invaders who settled down in India, could now be recognized, and efforts mounted to expunge them. Among the British rulers and their retinue, there were also scholars who discovered the amazing greatness of the Hindu civilization and culture as reflected in the Vedas, the Upanishads, the Itihasas like Ramayana, Mahabharata and its great literature in Sanskrit by Poets like Kalidasa, Bhavabhuti; Bana etc. They discovered the great scientific and technological advances of the Hindu people. The British quest and western education spurred intellectuals like Eswar Chandra Vidyasagar, Raja Ramamohan Roy, Swamy Dayananda Saraswati and Vivekananda and later Lokamanya Tilak **to reform the Hindu society and put an end to evil social**

practices and rejuvenate Hindu society. Hinduism, the western name for Sanathan Dharma (like India is for Bharat), **resumed the periodical inquiries and corrections needed in the changed times.** Inquiries and actions to correct the evil practices in Hindu society started in Bengal by Brahmo Samaj. The Arya Samaj in the North, the Prarthana Samaj in the west and the Theosophical Society in the South spearheaded the reformation of Hindu society, all of them more or less contemporaneously. The profound effects of these inquiries and reforms are significantly with reference to women, the family and social relations in our country, increasingly exposed to ideas like equality, fraternity, democracy and human rights.

Woman and Family:

Indian family is traditionally and rightly centered around the woman as mother, wife, daughter and daughter-in-law. **As in no other religion and culture, women are Goddesses for Hindus, no God or Avatara of Hindus acted alone; his missions were always aided and participated in by His consort.**

The name of the lady precedes that of the lord thus: Lakshmi Narayana; Uma Maheswara; Sitarama, Radha Krishna; Gouri Shankara; Janaki ramaiah. In the western culture the lady is the wife (Mrs.) of so and so; like Mrs. Kennedy or Mrs. Johnson. Marriage makes a new personality of the couple as expressed in the following.

*vagardhavivasmaproktau vagardha pratipattaye
jagatah pitarau vande parvatee parameswarau!*

Wife and husband are as inseparable as the word and its meaning and this union is exemplified in Parvati-Parameswara couple; indeed, Siva with Parvati has become **Artha Nariswara**. That is the ideal of Hindu marriage which is the basis of Baratiya Kutumba Vyavastha.

Women received the highest honours and reverence. The (wrongly much reviled) Manu Sastra says:

yathra naryasthu pujoyante ramante tathra devathaha
yathraithaastu naa pujoyante, sarvasthatha aphalahakriyaha

Manusmruthi – 3.56

Where women are worshipped, goddesses dwell.
Where they are not worshipped, all actions are fruitless.
Mother is held in the highest esteem, more than even the father.

Status of Mothers in Hindu Scripture

A son must always serve his mother even if she has been an outcast.”

“The professor is equivalent of ten teachers, the father is equal to hundred professors, the mother exceeds a thousand fathers.”

“All other sins are expiable but he who cursed the mother is never liberated.”

“An outcast father may be forsaken, but not the mother, she is never an outcast to the son”.

“One conquers this world through respect for the mother, the middle religion (the firmament) through respect for the father, and through service to the preceptor, one gains the region of Brahman.”

Mother is the lord of the house; she rules the home. She nourishes and nurtures the child; she forms the child’s character from conception till he/she becomes a house-holder. For naught it is said, “**the hand that rocks the cradle, rules the world**”. Yashoda’s lullabies “jo jo mukunda” instilled security and tranquility and peace into the neural network of the child.

The wisdom and sense of right and wrong of Indian women is exemplified in Mother Gandhari not blessing her son Duryodhana with victory when requested but her pronouncing, “**yatho dharma, thatho jayah**”...(where there is righteousness, there would be victory). Of course, in contrast to this, is another mother’s love for her sons as expressed in Kunti seeking from Karna the promise not to kill any

brother Pandavas other than Arjuna (knowing fully well that Arjuna is superior to Karna and therefore would not meet death at Arjuna’s hands).

We are taught not to torment our wife (for dowry or beautylessenss, regard for her brothers and sisters and parents

Kulakantha thoda neppudu
kalahimpaku vatti thappu ghatiimpakumee
kalakanthi kanti kannee
rolikina siri intanunda dolladu Sumathee!

“Never quarrel with the wife; never find fault with her; if the wife sheds tears, fortune flees from that home, says eth Sumathi Sookti.

Our literature has ample instruction on how women as wife, mother, minister and master behave and exercise their authority. During the stay of Sita and Rama at the Asrama, hermitage of sage Atri while they are into exile in the forests. Anasuya, wife of sage Athri instructs Sita extensively as to the duties of a woman in her various roles. Satyabhama accompanying Krishna visits the Pandavas during their Vanavaas. She is instructed by Draupadi in the art of managing the house hold, including the husband. The life and deeds of the venerated women Draupadi, Sita, Ahalya, Mandodari, Tara, Sulochana, Anasuya, Radha, Rukmini, Savithri....are great lessons for Indian women to shape their conduct and attain such glory. Our young girls (as well as boys) will do well to study them in our epics.

The great ideal of our women is to **beautifully integrate into the family of the husband to promote and preserve the family, its integrity.** The rituals welcoming the bahu (daughter-in-law) by the sisters of her husband and mother-in-law are spiritual and solemn. So is the farewell to the daughter by her mother, while entrusting her to her husband. These ceremonies invest the launch of the new family with auspiciousness and spirituality and moral law. That the integration of the **bahu** into the family of the husband is a universal virtue can be known from the **Biblical (old Testament) story of Ruth**, Ruth, a young girl of the land of Moab

(non-Jewish) is married to a Jewess Naomi's son; she is widowed; Naomi tells her to go back to her parents to feel comfortable, but Ruth says she is part of the family of Naomi, her mother-in-law; her lot is with the family into which she is married; (she is ada bidda, the child who belongs to there, the husbands family); on advice from Naomi, she marries one of their family. That is the example of a woman integrating into the in-law's family.

Sonia Gandhi commends this virtue. How the daughter-in-law integrates into the mother-in-law's family is narrated by the illustrious Sonia Gandhi in an interview that she gave to Smt. Pushpa Bharathi in June 1985. Pushpa wrote, "...she (Sonia) had learned to observe from her mother-in-law..." Soniaji said, "a lot of my friends have problems with their respective mothers-in-law. But I never had any such problems because my upbringing was totally different. Since childhood, I had been told that my husband would be superior to me and my mother-in-law being his mother, would be so much more superior ...a man is the object of his mother's love for 25 to 26 years. You cannot expect to switch all his love to you suddenly. It just would not be. He would also extend some understanding towards your mother-in-law. You cannot expect her to change overnight. If she is possessive about her son, you must give her time to adjust. During this period of adjustment if you stand by her and extend your support your relationship will never flounder. I was always understanding of her (Indira Gandhi) and she always showered me with love".

(Source: Dharma Yug- daily, 15 June 1985 as quoted on page 210/11 of the Book, "24 Akbar Road" by Rashid Kidwai)

Women in Public Life:

As in no other culture, Hindus always inquire about the health and welfare of family members (This is frowned upon in the West and Islam). Because of the essential and central role of women in Hindu families, issues affecting women (and the society eventually) were taken up for

immediate reform. Child marriage, widow -burning (Sathi), widow re-marriage and the Kulin marriages in Bengal were all agitated against and laws got passed to prohibit them and punish the guilty. Slowly Indian women also began taking to western education (introduced by Macaulay through his famous Minute of 1838 to the House of the Commons). So along with western, mainly English educated men a few Indian women also were being turned out with high western education. Earlier education for women in the modern sense, namely going to schools and colleges was hardly discernible. They were educated at home, they could even be highly knowledgeable and informed and wise though illiterate. During our movement for freedom from British rule, Mahatma Gandhi emerged as the mass leader; he brought women into the streets, into the boycott movement and into anti-people acts like the Rowlatt Act; The Swadeshi movement with the war-cry Vandemataram during the period 1905-1908 started bringing women into public life as agitators and leaders. During the freedom movement we had illustrious lady leaders like Bhikhaji Cama, Sarojini Naidu, Dr. Annie Besant and here in Andhra Pradesh, Durgabhai Deshmukh, Kadapa Ramasubamma and others. Political movements have reflected upon the family life. For eg. . Jayaprakash Narayan, J B Kripalani and N G Ranga and Com. P.Sundaraiah who were highly educated, leaders of freedom movement took the most revolutionary decision with the consent of their wives not to have any children. They were motivated by two great principles (1) those who are engaged in public life would not be able to bring up their children very well and (2) They should not be struck by "son-stroke" that is, the intense desire to make their children succeed them as leaders, MLAs, MPs, Ministers, Chief Ministers and Prime Ministers. This type of decision on the part of these three great leaders has no parallel either in India or elsewhere in the world. All these leaders led an intensely loving and affectionate life with their wives. In fact, Kriplani and his wife Sucheta were, after Independence in opposite parties; sitting on opposite benches in the Parliament of India. They would tear one another to pieces on the lines of their party in the debates in Parliament but at the close of the day's proceedings, they would happily walk together hand in hand, smiling and joking to the great delight of many.

The Extended Family (Hindu Undivided Family):

Since the 1930s, with increasing emphasis on women's education and because of the tradition of separate schools (that is, no co-education) a women's-only University, the SNDT University was started in Mumbai in 1920. India was one of the first countries which gave franchise to women, much earlier even than the US and the UK. This has profound influence upon the status of women in the homes. All the reforms including the Hindu Code Bill and co-education have been undertaken within the frame-work of Bharatiya philosophy, invoking the position of women and family when India was not under any foreign rule. Family was viewed as the most essential and important part of society. One of the four Asramas is - Grihastha (house-holder for man and woman is for Loka Sangraha, that is preservation of society). Under this great ideal, the family was not nuclear that is, the married couple would not move away from the parents' home and set up a separate home. The Hindu Undivided Family (HUF) is a great tradition. It continues in law even now. The eldest in the family is responsible for keeping the several families of his sons together; most of the time, all living under one roof. The elder's property is held in common. Persons earning would put into a pool and the elderly parents would look after the needs and comforts of all the sons and grandsons and their families. One great advantage here is that since the children of different parents but of the same HUF are living together, from early childhood they get used to sharing. Selfishness and individual gratification and indulgence do not easily take root. Now-a-days HUFs cannot continue for various reasons (as brought out later). The bad consequence is that the virtue of sharing and non-selfishness nurtured from childhood is disappearing.

The Profundity and Spirituality of Hindu marriage:

The impact of so called modernity on the health of the family and society is being felt from the 1960s. The quality of leadership of political parties and therefore society has deteriorated. Instead of scholars and statesmen and patriots, we are having self-seekers, seekers of government power for building up family fortunes, bequeathing power. Marxists and the communist movement began the assault on family. Individualism was encouraged. There is strident talk of women's liberation,

women's rights, women's right over her womb and empowerment of women. Agitations are launched. These ideas are captured by the word "**feminism**". Marriage is the most important of the sixteen **samskaras*** that Bharatiyas undergo from conception to cremation. To be a householder is one of the important duties of persons in our culture. The marriage is a spectacle. The parents would look for a suitable spouse; their family background upto seven generations is explored. The Jathakas (the date and time of birth and at that time where the stars are, the horoscope) of the boy and girl are examined for compatibility as husband and wife. The marriage ceremony itself lasts for about three hours, in the presence of thousands of relatives and friends and well wishers. The priests performing the ceremony recite the geography of Bharat through the ages, the regions of the country, its rivers, its mountains, its forests; the Devas, Rishis and sages are invoked to witness and bless the marriage. The parents of the girl give away their virgin daughter as a gift to the son-in-law. The bride and the bride groom are administered vows to be truthful to one another, in thought and deed (naati charaami – I will not conduct myself in ways different from yours) and that they would never part and that they would be as Parvathi and Parameswara, as Sita and Rama and that the marriage bond would be indissoluble. The marriage is not for indulgence but for the continuance of human race and for loka sangraha, that is, preservation of the social order. Finally, the parents of the girl entrust their daughter to the care of the mother-in-law and father-in-law. From then on she becomes part of the home of her husband and she is welcomed as the mistress of the house. **A ceremony like this has no parallel in cultures, anywhere in the world.** The solemnity of the ceremony, and the thousands of witnesses are there to see that the marriage endures unbroken, through any vicissitudes it may face. The married will think of the honour of the families and of the judgment of the witnesses before they dare to break up, divorce. That is why the Indian marriages have been so indissoluble and people take great pride observing the 50th, 60th and 75th anniversary of their marriage. **Alas! In the name of modernization and modern living, marriage is becoming a trite affair. Individualism, selfishness, self-indulgence and assertion of right to one's own way of life are all telling upon** the durability of the

marriage. This is one of the evil consequences of so called modernity. Broken marriages used to invite infamy but no longer. We hear, “**he for the third time, she for the fourth time**” type of marriages in India too. The institution of marriage, arranged marriages, patriarchy, child-bearing, house-wifery (home-making in American English), performance of traditional chores (eg: cooking, child-care and house keeping and care of the aged) by women, are questioned and held to be derogatory to the equality of women with men. “Progressive”, “modern” “revolutionary” “feminism” writers are whipping up new ideas- women as opposed to men, not as complementary. The Indian concept of Arthanariswara, holds man and wife as complementary, together constituting the whole. But westernised, “modern” women and culturally decadent resident non-Indians (RNIs) imagine existence of independent and exclusive interests and freedoms for women! The sanctity of marriage, the inviolability of the wife and husband relationship are coming under question. Equality and independence of women are interpreted as empowering girls and boys for love marriage and divorce at will (into vichala vidi tanam, freewheeling; licentiousness). We need not feel distressed on the fate of love marriages when even well researched and extensively inquired and amicably arranged marriages are also breaking down. Instant marriages arising out of “man-meets woman” **on the street or on the Internet, cannot endure long.**

Divorce: Hinduism in general disapproves of divorce. However in theological terms, both the *Manusamhita* and the *Arthashastra* state that if a husband is impotent, a traitor, an ascetic or an outcast, or missing for a prescribed number of years, the wife may leave him without blame and marry again. The *Arthashastra* also declares that in other circumstances, divorce can take place only by mutual consent.

Since the last two decades, profound changes are coming in the Indian society. Education is enabling people to have a choice of what their profession could be. Development is enabling people to move away from their village, their families, to not only anywhere in India but abroad too. Disparity in the “education” levels of wife and husband was not a problem in the past. The husband felt it to be his duty to educate the wife at home, acting as a Guru to a Sishya. An informed, wise and

educated mother rears the child best. Disparity in the literacy and education as between wife and husband was, as long as the bonds of family and respect for elders endured, was not a problem. The Indian woman might not be “educated” in the sense that she has no degrees but she has been an informed and wise person because of the transmission of knowledge and wisdom from elders to the young. Kasula Purushottama Kavi wrote (in Telugu):

*Chadivina Panditulaguduru
viditamu gaakunna yappudu verrule purushul
chadivina chaduvaka yunnan
muditalu vidvamsurandru puttuvuchetan*

(If one studies, he may become a Pandit; If the essence is not grasped, men become fools; Whether studied or not, women are wise and learned by birth itself !)

The management of the home was largely done only by women and not by men. The bringing up of children, instruction in good and evil and morality and dharma were largely by the mother.

Women at Work:

With education for all, especially at the graduate level is bringing about profound change in the family. Firstly, the young leave the parents’ home, they are freed of the traditional constraints and norms of conduct. As both husbands and wives are working, some more pains and strains are developing. Age for marriage is shifting upwards. It is a good thing but the bad things are pre-marital relationships. Dating and pubbing are on the increase. Much worse, these are even leading to **trial marriages**, to **contract marriages and strains**. Most of these break down within a year or two. The young very boldly question whether virginity is a virtue at all. These attitudes are generative of distrust and jealousy from the beginning of the marriage itself. In the west, they fall in love before marriage. They fall out of love after marriage and divorce. In India, we used to fall in love after marriage and seldom fell out. But now no more! There is an alarming increase in divorces. These days, the newly married are not wanting children for quite some time. Children are a glue, a bond between man and wife. Minus them, separation is

non-perilous to embark upon. Divorce after marriage is disaster for children. In the US, unwed mothers, and single-parent families are galore. That is one of the reasons why the American society is on the decline. God forbid that a similar situation should arise in India. There is however alarm. Those engaged in the highly paying banking and finance, IT & BPO sectors are away from their parents' homes. They live in hostels. Their education is deficient because values have not been imbibed and inculcated in them. Many of the highly paid young are coming from parents who have got only one or two children. They are therefore extremely selfish, self-centered, not -sharing and non-caring. Freedom has degenerated to such extent that exchanges of mails over the Internet leads to marriage and their break-up very soon thereafter. Value-less education is also making people want to marry NRIs in the belief they are hugely paid and are living in great comfort. Usually, this is one way. An NRI-boy is a preferred son-in-law. The value-less education has given a high qualification and well paid job for the NRI, his love for money and selfishness have no restraint. Girls married to NRIs, face great difficulty. The violence and divorce among these marriages is increasing alarmingly.

The lot of Aged:

One effect of urbanisation, education for all, highly paid jobs and great mobility of people is the problem of the aged. Parents try to give the best education to their children put them to school even from age three; then they send them to "tuition" and into convents and expensive residential schools. So they are separated from the family. When they grow up and go away to take up jobs anywhere in the country and the world, **they have little bonds with their parents.** The old are alone, uncared for either by brothers or sisters or by their children. The son who is working and earning somewhere would be saying, "you spent money for our education; you kept us in hostels and then we are away. We owe you nothing; at best we pay you back. So we can give you money but not any care". This is paying back parents in their own coin. When the wife and husband are working they have no time to take care of the children; they are left to Aayas, and to the hostels. Family bonds are not there. There are only bonds of money. In years past, uncles,

aunts, brothers and brothers-in-law are all caring for one another, not now.

11. Education of the Child:

Indian parents are sacrificing a lot for education of their children. Since education is becoming costlier and costlier, government schools are for the poorest, who have no source of steady income. There is no normal instruction and learning there. As education in private schools is becoming costlier, parents are wanting no more than one child. They are doting and spending on him very much. One-child in the family has no sense of sharing and caring for others. Such children are becoming terribly selfish. And that selfishness and self-centeredness are carried even into marriage. That is one reason why marriages are breaking. Growing among several children and in a joint family enables people to adjust to differences in temperament and make compromise easy but the one-child families lack all these virtues.

If children are told the stories of **Sravan Kumar** who, sacrificing his own interests, cared and looked after his blind and aged parents; if they are told how Yudhistira looked after Dhritarashtra and Gandhari (whose sons put Pandavas to immense pain and injustice and perished to the last man, Kith and Kin and allies) in their sad old age, and if the conduct of Sravan Kumar and Yudhishtira is instilled as the most valuable virtue, then we may have hope that the old will not be abandoned. **Self-centeredness of the young and greed and limitless acquisitiveness are sundering the bonds of family** and leading people to "animalness" (in which there is no family; ie. human species degenerating toward animals. **Multiple marriages destroy the bonds between children and parents.**

Some of the disreputable practices that are creeping into our family life as a result of western, chiefly American, influence and globalization are:

Consumerism & Hedonism: One of the worst evils embraced due to exposure to western life styles and globalism is rampant consumerism. The traditional virtues to save and bequeath, build and be happy in

simplicity is giving way to consumerism – readymade, fashionable wear; white goods, automobiles, electronic appliances, branded foods (junk); hire purchase; taking loans, installment buying; taking cards, flashy malls; replacement phones; entertainment. India (besides China) has the reputation of 35% of GDP as house-hold saving. This is giving way house-hold debt. US citizens are indebted upto 150% of house-hold income. This level of spending and debt would land Indian homes into the same pit of overspend, debt and default.

The western “value” and emphasis on personal freedom and rights is undermining the traditional Indian value of non-covetousness, non-possession beyond needs and sharing. Individualism and personal rights are promotive of greed in individuals. Making money and not earning in dharmic ways becomes the norm and persons are judged by the wealth that they have amassed, not by any other measure.

Greed and selfishness are infecting our newly educated young. The leaders in their greed to get votes are promoting the demand for money without work. (schemes like Rs.1 kg rice, fee reimbursement, various subsidies, nagadu badilee – (cash transfer), loan write offs and so on. Development has come to mean financial well being at any cost. Economic growth is destroying nature and increasing waste and consumption. This is at the cost of human development – education, health, family, tranquility of mind and harmony with nature. In the non-Indian cultures nature is to be conquered by science and technology and natural resources exploited for the gratification of man. In the Hindu view, we are part and children of nature, sharing nature’s bounties with all other species of life in fact, even non-life. The decline of these values is a result of excessive influence of western culture and ways of life. Human development and spirituality are even more valuable than mere economic development (measured by Per Capita Income) and its ever increasing growth.

Living together : It has become fashionable and increasingly common for working young women and men to live together without getting married. They may separate at any time. This period of living together is treated as **trial marriage**. If after some time they think they are

incompatible for whatsoever reason, they separate and start living with somebody else. Regrettably, a High Court in the north has found no fault with such a living together. Earlier, it would be treated as adultery. The traditional **values of virginity and Eka Sathi and Eka Pathi vrathas** are getting discarded.

“Pre-Nup” contracts: In the USA, persons wanting to live together and go into marriage are writing contracts. To write a contract, a special breed of attorneys has come up. These contracts are known as **prenuptial** or **prenup contracts**. The attorneys are known as **prenup attorneys**. Whatever property movable or immovable each of the two had before the marriage, would belong to each one of them exclusively. Whatever properties, movable and immovable and assets are created after the marriage belong to the two in equal proportions. This agreement or contract is to be written 60 days before actual registration of the marriage. They can walk out of this contract during these 60 days with no legal consequences to their properties. This 60 days period is given so that in the exuberance or infatuation of one with the other, iniquitous conditions or unsustainable are not put the contract.

Distraught Offspring: As the people enter into multiple marriages at different intervals, the off-spring is having a tough time. The off spring of an earlier marriage don’t live with the parent who has remarried. They are consigned to hostels and according to the terms of divorce they are visited by the mother or the father at contracted intervals. Their financial support is also according to the contract of the marriage which has been dissolved. **The bonds between children and parents are thus sundered in childhood itself**. The upbringing is entrusted to institutions like hostels.

Indian women inveigled : Number of Indians especially with IT qualifications are working and living in the USA. They are slowly imbibing the US culture like **dating and trial marriages and living together** and yet they want Indian virgins for marriage. First, they would pose as enlighten people and will not talk of any dowry. They get married to a very decent family. When the girl goes to the US, she finds that the husband has already been having girl friends and had tried living together

experiments and in some cases, is even continuing with the girl friend. No wonder, this marriage is breaking down and the girl is coming back to India as a broken person.

Internet marriages & Love Jihad : Internet is causing havoc and so are the cell phones. Young boys and girls are becoming addicts to their use. Lot of philandering goes on these two media. The young are inveigled into alliances. Those unions turn out to be disastrous. The lives of the young are thus getting ruined.

Triple Talaq (divorce) also is pronounced on the Internet: A particular section of a community is indeed waging love jihad; that is, luring young girls into wedlock through alluring messages on the Internet and the cell phone, black mailing them into marriage and conversion. Ruination of the family of the girl follows. In order to avoid the humiliation and infamy, parents in certain states where the love jihad is rampant are not reporting this crime to the police. It has now become a social and communal problem.

Criminality: The children of broken families, stripped off parental love and upbringing, are easily drifting into crime. They are psychologically unbalanced. Their educational performance is deteriorating. No wonder that America has got the largest prisoner population in the world, a population more than the population of several independent countries in the world.

Home, House or Dormitory: A home is not merely a house where different people related to one another just live under one roof but do not share common values, don't think of the welfare of all and just come and go as though they are in a hotel or come to a dormitory for sleep. A home is different from the house. There is bonding and caring and sharing between the people. We should try to make a home and not merely a house. There is harmony and peace in the home; there is only armistices in the house. There is mutual adjustment among the members of the family; in a house people get up in their own time; eat in their own time; leave the house in their own time; come back in their time and sleep in their time. There is no connectivity; there is no interaction; there is no common aspiration. The lady of the family makes the home. In the

home she is the supervisor, manager, and the disciplinarian. In a house, a maid or matron may be in charge.

Age for marriage & child-bearing: The marrying age is going up and up. And after a certain age, it is becoming difficult to get a spouse. People are postponing to have a child. And when postponed for too long, conception is becoming difficult and people are running to fertility centers. The traditional wisdom that there is a proper age for marriage and proper time to have children is giving way to the economic conditions of better paid jobs, promotions and mobility. All of which are coming in the way of both marriage, child-bearing and upbringing.

Unmarried Women & Men: The number of unmarried people is increasing. For them the old age becomes a problem because without companionship, people become psychologically unbalanced and in the worse case wrecks.

Divorcees Galore: The divorce rate among educated couples especially if both are working (for others) is increasing alarmingly. About 25% of the marriages are breaking in the first year. If the marriage survives the first 5 years, there is little chance of marriage breaking. In 50% of the cases, divorce is due to the woman claiming incompatibility.

Aggression in Women: The tendency to seek divorce by woman is increasing because of bad upbringing by the mother. Instead of counseling patience and adjustment, the mother of the girl through her frequent phone conversations is encouraging the girl to be more and more assertive and independent.

Assured of economic independence the job is providing, the woman too readily hastens to break the marriage rather than adjust and cement the marriage.

In the West people fall in love before marriage and fall out of love soon after marriage. Traditionally in India, it is after marriage (under the counseling of the elders) that people fall in love with one another. And the love is strengthened and endures when once children are born.

Children Unwanted: Our traditional wisdom is telling us that marriage is for the continuance of the race and therefore the moment children come we have to live, to bring up children as good human beings and live not for our own indulges. Children now seem to be unwanted by products man-woman union.

Value-less Education: Education is no longer imparting values, morals, gentlemanship More and more people are wanting to become engineers especially in the IT sector. In the coaching schools their education has been “poultryfied” (like hens are put in a cage and fed continuously, fed, only to lay eggs and nothing else, the youth are put in the corporate colleges to train for writing exams and not for acquiring knowledge and wisdom). When they are in the college they feel they have come out of the prison. Unwatched by parents they enter into freewheeling life. When they get a well paying job, they get full freedom. The consequence is going to pubs, dating and spending late nights with this or that boy friend or girl friend. They are no longer under the observation of parents or under the restraint of society or relatives.

Extra Marital Relationships: One of the reason for the breaking up of families is extra marital relationships. A woman working in offices is in the company of others and in her most beautiful attire. At home, she is least attractive as she changes into her work clothes. Returning from office both are tired and have little time to communicate with one another, with children and forge lasting bonds and cultivate common interests.

TV-The Third Parent: When the parents are in offices, children return from school. They have the TV or the computer. Unwatched they access and see the ignoble. So bad nurture starts from childhood unfortunately as a consequence of both father and mother working. It is becoming the third parent; the most influential at that.

Waning of Social Pressure as moral Force: Urbanization is leading to isolation and alienation. The social surveillance that obtains in small communities does not obtain. Such surveillance was helping to keep people within bounds of decent conduct. In urban life, not even the neighbour of your flat knows who you are and what you are doing.

Discarding noble Heritage: China is proud to preserve its national heritage and is convinced that they have much value in their own heritage and that what they need is only the S&T and work ethic that characterize the developed countries unfortunately in India, nationalism and patriotism and respect for our heritage have been undermined by leading sections of our society. (They go by the epithets progressive, secular, Nehruvian socialists, social justice etc.)

Instead of merely taking what is best like S&T from the West, our people are misled by the leadership into believing that our traditions, our values, our life styles are all useless and the economic development model of development of the West is the best.

This non-discriminative opening and warning to the West is the evil effect of globalisation. That is, infesting our people and destroying our family system and values.

In A.P, the YSR government has instructed that mother's name alone (and not father's) should be entered at the time of admission to schools. The western belief that “**maternity is certain; paternity is doubtful**” is implemented here-a disgrace.

Preya Preferred to Sreya : Good education of which **buddhi or viveka or wisdom are part** has been discarded. That is why, we are not able to discriminate what is really good and long-lasting; what is pleasing and of no value after the present. The great dialogue between Nachiketa and Yama as told in the Kathopanishad clearly brings out of the distinction between **sreya** and **preya** that is, between true welfare which is enduring and can be obtained only by hard endeavour on the one hand and that which titillates us, which gives us pleasure for the moment but does not conduce to the durability of the pleasure.

True Education: If our education is both for gaining knowledge and also for knowing what is good and worthy and conducive to the practice of dharma and leading dharmic life then we would be taking what is good in the West instead of its evil contents like limitless individualism and hedonism.

What may be done to prevent the triumph of evil arising from “modernization & globalization”.

The defenses against evil should be built in the minds of men. What is good and bad, noble and ignoble, right and wrong, proper and improper shall be imbibed into the consciousness of people from childhood. A person is formed in three places – **Vodi** that is the lap of the mother, **Badi** that is school where the child is sent for learning and **Gudi** the place of worship where spirituality is infused into the thoughts and consciousness of people. Stories and deeds of great characters from our classical literature Ethihahasas – Mahabharata, Ramayana, Puranas - the Bhagawatha and Upanishadic must be told by the parents in the home and included in the text books in schools.

The home is the first school. Stable family with all the members communicating and learning from one another; loving parents, affectionate grand parents and relatives constitute the home. **Children should not be treated as byproducts of marriage but as the purpose of marriage.** The children we bring forth constitute the society when they come of age. The character of the society is determined by the quality we infuse into childhood and youth. Divorce must be avoided. It has a very harmful effect upon the children. Similarly, the marriage of divorcees who have children has a negative influence upon the homes to be.

There are certain professions which suit women admirably. These are: teaching, law, architecture, music, interior decoration, IT based services which can be rendered from home (teleworkers) and medicine especially branches like dermatology, ophthalmology, pediatrics, ENT, psychiatry ... Those professions which involve shift working are to be avoided as far as possible.

We must institutionalize worship of god. Our temples must become centers of instruction about dharma. As in former times, there should be expositions on the essentials of Sanatan dharma. Just as sermons in church and mosque inform their constituents about what their religion is, temples must be transformed into exponents of dharma besides their serving the rituals that are part of any religion.

All our festivals have a great significance. They integrate us community and connect individuals with nature.

Homes should not become 24 hour cinema theatres. The TV set should be only in the drawing room so that viewing is agreeable to all; that is children can look at the TV set in the company of their parents. What cannot be seen by the entire family should never be seen by any.

The elders (grandparents) in the home should take the responsibility of creating good taste in children for viewing the TV.

If women are taking to work which requires their absence from home for long hours, modesty in dress and manners must be observed. Modesty is the great ornament of anybody especially for women. Dating, pubbing, clubbing, excursions singly, late night stay away from home must be avoided.

Every home shall have a library of wholesome books, that would interest children. History, geography, exploration, travel and tourism popular science, biographies, and literary works in the mother tongue and in English should be acquired and parents must endeavour to create interest in reading.

Members of the family should eat together at least in the night. Dining table should be a class room where things not taught in schools are to be taught and discussed among parents and children.

Periodic re-unions of the extended family should be planned to preserve relationships.

We should attend events like marriages, and deaths of members of extended families

Addiction to the cell phone, Internet and TV must be curbed from childhood.

Social pressure must be brought upon the TV channels for not showing senseless serials and vulgar scenes and rustic language crime and

violence. Every person from childhood must be encouraged to set apart at least 15 mn in the day, preferably in the morning or before bedtime for introspection dhyana, manana and pranayama.

Writing a diary will help people in their introspection and for guiding them to do the right and avoid the wrong.

People must take insurance policies not only for life but also for health.

Pensions and retirement benefits are giving financial wellness in old age but physical care when the old are infirm or sick not be forthcoming from children. So living in old age homes must be encouraged. The old must be mentally prepared for such life (instead of Vanaprastha).

In addition to Old Age Homes, we should establish hospices where the terminally ill are specially cared to die with least pain.

We must train a class of new service providers – Home Nurses who live with the old in their homes and manage the house health and medication. (This is alternative to living in old age homes)

Everyone should write a WILL.

Provision must be made for expenses related to death. The WILL is necessary for avoiding strife among the progeny.

Change is Natural & Inexorable:

It is absolutely right that over time, changes take place. There are inventions, new technologies. The way we produce food and create wealth affect us in our choices as to where we live, what work we choose. These changes do affect men and women and families. Characteristically, humans have bonds of love and friendship between parents and children between wife and husband and then families and friends. Get rid of these affections; we become machines. Machines cannot have wisdom; they can only function according to the programs that are put into them. Should men become machines or should they remain human? The answer would of course be that **we all want to remain human but are we nurturing our children and arranging our homes so that we can remain human, raise families with love**

and affection and caring and sharing? In the west, in America the emphasis is all the while on the individual and his rights. We are now, in our country too hearing of people speaking of rights for animals, for children, for women, for students, for teachers; for the old, for maids, homo- sexuals, eunuchs and even terrorists and (peoples) war-wagers. Hardly ever is there any talk of duties. In all the Indian languages there is no word equal to **haq**, translated as right in English. We have only **vidhi and dharma, duty and righteousness**. The thoughtless emphasis on rights and individualism are the root cause of disruption of families, of all human qualities. Our Rishis and heritage have taught us that if we perform our duties and live in righteousness, in dharma we will have the proper fruits thereof, automatically, without demanding them. If your actions, and living and striving are right and **dharmic**, then we need not worry about rights. Living in **dharma** ensures contentment, happiness and fulfillment. As such concepts are not at all being instilled in our education, **we are getting not modern but western especially, American** (where the family is breaking down). The most evil consequence of the break- down of family is in evidence in America. **One is sure of his mother but not of the father. Children are amazed, bewildered when they see that every three or four years, they are getting a new father**. That is due to parents having multiple marriages. The young go astray. The US is having the largest prison population in the world. It is due to breakdown of the institution of marriage and family. Modernization must mean utilization of the products of science & technology for making our life less of a drudgery, less of pain and more of convenience and comfort. Happiness comes not from increasing desires endlessly but from contentment with what one could legitimately have and strive sincerely to deserve; subsidies or charity by government or society destroys our person. When societies move from poverty to prosperity, to affluence and to opulence, morality declines. This is what destroyed the great civilizations of ancient Greece, Rome, Babylon and Persia. The Hindu civilization is lasting for so long because of the moral constraints that our instruction and education built into us. These are now devalued and ignored and hence are the rise in crime, the rise in divorce and illicit living. Unless man is moral and ethical, he will descend to the level of animal.

We note that it is in the urban affluent sections of our population that our traditional culture and morality and ethics are breaking. It is strange that education and prosperity lead to immortality and consequent disquiet, strain and eventual unhappiness. **Vodi** (mother's lap), **badi** (school) and **gudi** (temple) form a person. We are seeing a decline in the function and performance of all the three. Restore the value of family, marriage, moral instruction, right tradition. Passage of time brings new technology, new ways of doing and new places for living, and new systems caring; towns and old-age homes and socially provided for health and communion. **We must embrace modernity and globalism but not degenerative individualism, destructive competition and acquisitive aggrandisement.** Globalisation is built into our conscience, into our value system; into our aspirations from times immemorial.

It is not for naught that our Rishis and sages instructed us:

- *Aaanoh bhadraah ritavo yaantu viswatah* (Let noble thoughts come from all quarters of the world)
- *Vasudhaiva Kutumbakam* (The whole world is one family)
- *Isa vasyamidam sarvam jagat* (This entire Universe is lived in by God)
- *Lokah samastaa sukhinoh bhavantu* (People in all the worlds may live in comfort)
- *Dharmaaviruddho bhuteshu kaamah* (Desire not opposed to Dharma is noble)
- *Krinvato viswamaaryam* (Let us make the entire world noble)

*Sahanaavavatu, sahanu bhunaktu
Sahaveeryam Karavaavahaih
Tejasvinaavadheetamastu
Maa Vidvishaavahaih,
Om! Shantih, shantih, shantih!*

(May we protect ourselves together, experience/ enjoy together, perform valorous deed together, not quarrel among ourselves, may our learning be brilliant.

Peace, peace, peace !!! (for all, everywhere, all the time))

What could be destructive and disruptive of ethics, morality, humanism, plurality and mutual respect, human-ness, family and harmony shall not be embraced, they must be eschewed. Modernism should lead humanity to ever higher and nobler lives. Change is inevitable; wisdom, **buddhi**, **viveka** alone can make it better for us.

Where there is righteousness in the heart,
there is beauty in the character
When there is beauty in the character,
there is harmony in the home
**When there is harmony in the home, there is order
in the nation**

When there is order in the nation, there is peace in the world
-Anon

Knowledge:

Yaksha Prasna

What rescues man in all dangers? Courage is man's salvation in danger

By the study of which science does man become wise? Not by studying any sastra does man become wise. It is by association with the great in wisdom, that he gets wisdom.

What is more nobly sustaining than the earth? The mother who brings up the children she has borne, is nobler and more sustaining than the earth

What is higher than the sky? The father

What befriends a traveler? Learning

Who accompanies a man in death? Dharma. That alone accompanies the soul in its solitary journey after death.

What is happiness? Happiness is the result of good conduct

What is that abandoning which man becomes loved by all? Pride- for, abandoning that, man will be loved by all

What is that, by giving up which, man becomes rich? Desire- getting rid of it, man becomes wealthy

What makes one a real brahmana? Is it birth, good conduct or learning? Birth and learning do not make one a brahmana. Good conduct alone does. However learned a person may be, he will not be a brahmana if he is a slave to bad habits. Even though he may be learned in the four Vedas, a man of bad conduct falls to a lower class.

What is the greatest wonder in the world? Every day, men see creatures depart to Yama's abode and yet, those who remain, seek to live forever. This verily is the greatest wonder

- Mahabharata

Dt: 22/11/2011

Book Review

**The Kalam Effect -
My Years with the President**

**By
P.M.Nair, IAS (Retd.)**

**Foreword by: Fali S Nariman
Publisher: Harper Colins Publishers India
Published in paperback: 2011 first published 2008
Pages: 148 Price: Rs. 199**

Few people can recall the names of the Presidents of India. The only names that could be recalled would be Dr Rajendra Prasad, who belongs to the ranks of Mahatma Gandhi, J Nehru & Sardar Patel in sacrifice, patriotism and simplicity and Dr. S.Radhakrishnan for his erudition (but not for any political contribution). The one President who has endeared himself to children, the young and all the non-political class in the entire country is Dr APJ Kalam. He is called the **scientist president**, just as Chaim Wiezman, the first President of Israel. Dr APJ Kalam studied-aeronautical engineering. He was intensely interested in rocketry and space flight. He was chosen for Presidentship by all the non-leftist parties in the year 2002 during the time of the NDA government. He was the most travelled President. He is a bachelor. During his five year tenure he undertook 163 domestic tours and 7 foreign tours. The only place in India that he could not visit is Lakshadweep. He had special love for the north-eastern states. He was the Commander in Chief of India's Armed Forces. He is the only President who visited Sia Chin, the place with a glacier. Bloody battles were fought there in freezing cold between India and Pakistan. It is the highest battle field in the world. He flew in the Sukhoi Supersanic Jet Fighter and piloted it himself for 15 mnts. including a manure. He went under the sea in a submarine to the depth of 50 mtrs. No President of India ever indulged in such daring things. He did not seem to have any tiredness. Once on a visit to Kerala, on one day he had fifteen programs. The Governor accompanied him for two

programs and said, "I can't keep up with him" and excused himself by saying he was not well and Kalam fulfilled all the fifteen programs. Enthusiasm and conviction that he has a message to deliver kept him energetic all the while.

Once he was invited to address the European Parliament an honour that was not given to any President of any country. That was in April 2007. He was to address for 25 mnts. He prepared a power point presentation. He knew that it would take more than 45 mnts. the Secretary wondered how the European Parliamentarians would take such an excess. But Kalam was not worried. Before entering the chamber, he had a 10 - mnts small talk with the President of the Parliament. He said he had a poem, a message from Mother Earth for the Parliamentarians. How would it be received, he asked. The President of the European Parliament said, "**you take my time**". When Kalam addressed the House, twice the Members stood up to applaud him and minutes passed by. Just before concluding, he said, "I have a message for you from Mother Earth. May I read it. They longed for it. He recited that poem. The Members of the European Parliament gave a standing ovation for minutes in honour of Kalam and India!

Beautiful Environment leads
To beautiful minds
Beautiful minds generate
Freshness and creativity.

Created explorers of land and sea
Created minds that innovate
Created great scientific minds
Created everywhere, why?
Gave birth to many discoveries
Discovered a continent and unknown lands
Ventured into unexplored paths
Created new highways

In the minds of the best
Worst was also born

Generated seeds of battle and hatred
 Hundreds of years of wars and blood
 Millions of my wonderful children
 Lost in the land and sea
 Tears flooded many nations
 Many engulfed in ocean of sadness
 Then, then came the vision of European Union,
 Took the oath,
 'Never to turn human knowledge,
 Against ourselves or others'.
 United in their thinking,
 Actions emanated,
 To make Europe prosperous and peaceful,
 Born, the European Union.
 That "Glad Tidings" captivated
 The people of the planet of my galaxy.
 Oh! European Union, let your missions
 Spread everywhere, like the air we breathe.

He visited Mauritius. Indians went there as Coolies in the 19th century. They were from utterly penurious sections of the people in Bihar, Andhra Pradesh and Tamilnadu and Maharashtra. He addressed a Reception and then went to the University of Mauritius. He took a class for 300 students; kept them spell-bound and as usual he invited questions. One of the students stood up. The question was "you spoke about Einstein's troubled childhood, did not deter him in his path to greatness and glory. How was yours?". Kalam smiled and narrated his story -one among so many children, the mother gave him a little more than the rest, he read in the light of a kerosene lamp; early morning he went to the Madarasa, then went on a bicycle distributing news papers to earn a little money; went to school thereafter and so on.... Neither poverty nor a remote place deterred him from his pursuit of knowledge. This was the message he gave to the young.

Every President received mercy petitions from those who were sentenced to be hanged. He inherited some of them from the previous Presidents and during his term also, he received some. One of the mercy petition

from Afzal Md. Guru. Kalam has been against taking life, even of the worst criminals. Nair argued against this position. Kalam's answer was, "God above would punish them. He would not like to take anybody's life for he himself cannot give life to anybody (he is a bachelor)". He bequeathed all the mercy petitions to his successor.

Once when he was in Moscow in May 2005, the Cabinet decision to dissolve the Bihar's Legislative Assembly was faxed to him for his signature. The Prime Minister spoke to him for 20 mnts. Kalam signed it. The Bihar Assembly was dissolved. The Supreme Court held this dissolution unconstitutional by a majority verdict. Kalam had remorse. He told Sri. Nair that he should not have signed. At least he could have waited till the next morning. He could have just kept it pending for the entire duration of his term. That was constitutional (Jail Singh kept the Post Office amendment Bill pending with him till he laid down the office. It is said that this was to slight Rajiv Gandhi). The public opinion severely criticized Kalam for his hasty approval for the dissolution of the Bihar Assembly.

Kalam was very scrupulous about using government funds and resources for personal purposes. Once 52 members of his extended family, including his 90-year brother wished to see Delhi. They came and stayed in the Rashtrapati Bhavan. Kalam paid for all the rooms that they have occupied, and also for the food. He engaged private taxis for his people to go round; he paid Rs. 3.5 lakhs for the week's stay of his relatives in the Rashtrapati Bhavan.

Government Ministers and Governors give Iftar to all and sundry at government expense. Not so Kalam. Just for an example, in Nov 2002 it was the time of Ramzan fasting. He wanted to feed the poor instead of giving an Iftar party. Kalam got his Secretary make an estimate of how much it would cost to give an Iftar dinner for a specified number of people. Sri Nair said it would cost Rs. 2.5 lakhs. Kalam ordered that food stuffs and sweets maybe purchased with that amount and **distributed among the orphanages!** That was government money. He wanted to give from his pocket. He got an estimate of how much it would cost to give an Iftar party for so many people. He did not give the party but

drew Rs. 1 lakh from his personal account, purchased food stuffs and gifts and got them distributed among orphanages!

President Bush visited India during Kalam's Presidency. Officers in the Home and External Affairs Ministries suggested that the existing carpets maybe removed and new ones placed. Kalam said, no. They were good enough for the President of France and other Presidents. If these are good for others; they should be good for the American President also. The security of the Rashtrapati Bhavan during Bush's presence was to be taken over by the security agencies of the USA. That was the practice wherever a US President went Kalam said no. The Rashtrapati Bhavan security is a concern of the Indian agencies and not that of foreign agencies, he ruled.

Kalam's simplicity is phenomenal. He was always carrying a pilot ballpoint pen instead of a Mont Blanc or a Parker. "Shat ! shame !" remarked a member of the cavalier service. What was the shame about asked Sri. Nair. The reply was, "look at that photo. He is the President of India and that pen sticking out from his pocket is a Pilot ball point pen instead of Mont Blanc or a Parker". For Kalam any pen or pencil was a fellow and what mattered was whether it would write or not and not its brand name.

In May 2006 the Parliament passed a Bill (Prevention of Disqualification). Amendment Bill. It was sent for Kalam's signature. He studied it thoroughly but was not satisfied. He returned it to the Parliament for reconsideration. 50 MP's were holding offices of profit. The Bill was dealing with them. Kalam pointed to the lack of generic and comprehensive criteria which are just and reasonable and can be applied across all states and union territories in a clear and transparent manner and so on. The bill went through the two houses of Parliament without any change and was resubmitted for the President's signature as it was originally passed. Kalam was bound to sign and he did sign. The Constitution requires that the President can only recommend reconsideration and even suggest amendments but if the Parliament, can

without any change represent it to the president ; he is bound to sign it into law.

Dr Kalam is a scientist with profound faith the Almighty. It would surprise us but three instances of Kalam's prayer to the Almighty and the latter coming to his rescue narrated in the book are recalled here. One related to his visit to Bhubaneswar and a few places in the interior of Orissa. The MET office as well as the Airforce told him that a severe cyclone would hit Bhubaneswar on the day he was to go there and so he should cancel the visit. Kalam lifted his head towards the heavens. He told the Airforce, "take me there". A few minutes before he was to reach Bhubaneswar, the cyclone totally recede and he safely landed and kept up his schedule of visits.

On another occasion on the 15th of Aug he was to give a reception. It was pouring. A few thousands of umbrellas were collected by the staff. Kalam looked to the heavens and lo ! two hrs before the reception time, the rain totally stopped and the skies cleared. The reception went on as planned in the open air.

On the third occasion he was to go from Kochi to Alappuzha, 15 mnts flight by helicopter. It was raining heavily. Visibility was zero. He was advised not to fly. He prayed. The sky cleared. There was perfect visibility. He landed with ease. For most of us these are unbelievable but these are facts which were recorded by a person who is not superstitious or ritualistically religious.

Lord Tennyson wrote, "more things are wrought by prayer that this world dreams of..."

How true as experienced by the scientist President Kalam.

I would like to add my own experience with President Kalam. In the year 2003 I invited him to address and discuss educational standards and research with Vice Chancellors of all the Universities in Andhra Pradesh and in another meeting, 50 Principals from government & private high schools in the city were to be addressed by him. He accepted my invitation. The Chief Minister Sri Chandrababu Naidu wondered as

to how he was accepting an invitation that did not go through the government. In the meeting with Vice Chancellors he was flanked by the Governor on one side and the Chief Minister on the other side. After his address, he put questions to the Vice Chancellors. One VC put a question as to how corruption could be removed. Kalam looked at the Governor; silence; he looked to the Chief Minister silence. He then looked at the audience and said; the head of the State and Chief Executive of the State are silent on this. Let me tell you. **Corruption can be removed only by three persons - mother, father and teacher and none else.** In the interaction with the principals of government and private schools, he asked as to why when the government teachers are paid three times more than the teachers of the private schools, the percentage of pass in government schools is only 50% to 60% whereas in the private schools it is 100%, with many first classes. No answer from any teacher. The Minister for Education Andhra Pradesh was also present as well as senior IAS officers. Kalam exhorted them and teachers in the government schools that they should be as good as in private schools, if not better. Teachers should inspire students to learn, to inquire, think and invent and enterprise.

Kalam has come to be revered as the Peoples President. He interacted with thousands of students and ordinary citizens. He had a website. People could pose any question or submit any suggestion he was responding within 24 hrs. He was a vegetarian. He loved Karnatic music. He was a man of simple tastes. He is god-fearing. He used to say there are Islands excellence spirituality and tolerance, in over all religions. We have to put these Islands together; build bridges between them and forge Indian people into a great nation.

It is the mark of degeneration of Indian politicians especially in the Congress that they did not think of giving him a second term even as 90% of the people polled wanted that he should be the President again. The ruling circles thought that they were being over-shadowed by the great Kalam who was apolitical honest and most patriotic. It appears that they wanted a person less colourfull and as common run as themselves with a distasteful record, as the President so that if a person with such a

background could be the President, then the criminally amorals would be deemed to be angels in comparison with the President.

Sri P M Nair who wrote this book was Secretary to Kalam. He was a fearless and an honest officer in the IAS cadre. He testifies to the innate goodness and unbiased, scientific attitude of Dr APJ Kalam to every question. Once in the presence of a former Chief Justice of India who concurred with Dr Kalam that there should be no capital punishment. Nair was asked of his own opinion. Nair said that he disagreed with President Kalam and that capital punishment, was necessary to deter the evil. Outside the President's chamber, the Chief Justice asked Nair whether it was the way a bureaucrat should behave with the President. Nair's reply was that President Kalam always wanted sincere advice, fearlessly tendered whether it pleased him or not. That is the scientist - President Kalam.

Dt: 25/11/2011

Rotten Rulers and Infected Officers In The Governance of Andhra Pradesh

Day after day, since the middle of October 2011 amazing accounts of wrong doing, graft, loot and destruction of public wealth attributable to the former Chief Minister Dr. Y.S.Rajasekhara Reddy, his son Jaganmohan Reddy who is now an MP and family members are being unearthed by the CBI and extensively reported in the local news papers. It appears that not less than Rupees one lakh crore worth of money had been ill-gotten by Jaganmohan Reddy (popularly known as Jagan) and several times that amount by his cronies during the rule of his father. About 3000 sq. kmts of government and fishermen's lands along over a 300 kmt stretch of the Bay of Bengal's west coast had been made over to one crony capitalist apparently for building two sea ports. Much of the land was forcibly acquired from poor fishermen. Hundreds of sq. kmts of land containing iron ore had been given on lease to YSR's son-in-law who is a Brahmin, converted to Christianity, and engaging himself as an evangelist. Jagan has a house in the posh Jubilee Hills area of

Hyderabad. Its name is Lotus Pond. At the entrance is a big Cross of Jesus Christ. The property is valued at about Rs. 300 cr. Those companies and persons who got favours, largely thousands of sq. kms of land for SEZs, and leases for mining iron ore have invested hundreds of crores of Rupees in Jagan's Telugu daily, Sakshi and his TV Channel by the same name. Very strangely but not inexplicably, there is close nexus and cooperative acquisitions between Jagan and Gali Janardan Reddy, the Bellary billionaire and BJP Minister in Karnataka. Gali is in jail. A few thousand crores of rupees worth of iron ore had been illegally mined and illegally exported by Janardan Reddy's companies. It is widely believed that about Rs. 30,000 cr had been funnelled by the local satraps to a presiding deity in Delhi.

Jagan claimed the Chief Ministership of Andhra Pradesh in succession to his father who died in a helicopter crash. The deity in Delhi did not agree though Jagan forcibly argued that if Rajiv could succeed Indira Gandhi and Rahul is tipped to succeed to the Prime Ministership and Sonia could claim succession to Rajiv Gandhi, why could he not claim succession to his father's office. This is an unassailable argument but tyrants are not terrified by such arguments. Record of the doings of the one who is laying claims and holding out threats, is available in Delhi.

Extensive investigations and inquires into how the now jailed Gali Janardhan Reddy of Obulapuram Mines infamy and Jagan's companies operated and accumulated huge wealth show the complicity of IAS officers in the Government of Andhra Pradesh. When the Opposition charged that YSR was responsible for all the wrong doings Jagan's men retorted that all the decisions were taken by the cabinet not by his father alone. The Opposition wanted inquiries against all members of YSR's Cabinet. But then the Cabinet Ministers said that they were holding the various portfolios only nominally and all decisions were taken by the Chief Minister and they had formally endorsed them. They assert that they are not responsible for those decisions !

Jagan's properties, his beneficiaries, his involvement in Gali Janardan Reddy's deeds are being investigated by the CBI. A number of IAS officers who retired and others who are in service connected with the

wrong doings are being questioned by the CBI. The career of quite a number of officers are sullied. They include a very young and promising lady in her early 30s. YSR put his henchmen in the Chief Minister's office. He took his college buddie as an Adviser with Cabinet Minister's rank. It is this group who dictated orders to Secretaries in different departments. Persons seeking favours were referred by the Chief Minister to his trusted wealth-makers in his office. One of them has been rewarded with membership of the Rajya Sabha. Questioned by the CBI, the brave IAS officers first said that there was nothing wrong. Everything was according to rules. But when once the files and the government orders were shown to them and when they were put to hours and hours of questioning they are all singing the same song namely that **they were only nominally in- charge of various departments; instructions to issue orders were coming from the Chief Minister's office.** When pointed that the orders issued under their signatures were against rules and laws, they said that they simply carried out instructions. We have done so. When pointed out that they were patently doing wrong things and the orders were issued in their names, under their signatures and nowhere is there mention in the files that these orders were issued and decision taken on instructions "from above", they repeated that they had to obey oral orders. They said that ministers close to the Chief Minister were pressurizing them and threatening them. The officers abandoned their own honor and judgment and loyalty to laws. News papers are reporting the answers the retired and serving impugned officers are giving to the CBI. They make a shameful reading.

It is clear that the senior IAS officers cadre had been infected with, servility and loss of self-respect and self-estimate and illegal, criminal behavior. A number of officers helped themselves while servilely facilitating the loot and subversion of rules and laws. That too is coming out. That reminds us of what Rajaji long ago wrote giving Kalidasa's exposition of the Neeti (policy) followed by the Raghu Kings in the Kavya, Raghu Vamsa. About corruption of officers Kalidasa wrote that, **"it is not possible for anyone to take honey without wetting the tongue. Also, it is impossible to say when the fish is drinking water and when it is not"**. These Upamanas (comparisons) explain why the officers cannot

but be corrupt when their ministers have made them their accomplices in their loot of public money and wrong-doing.

It is futile and too much to blame YSR as the source of all the wrong doing. After all his conduct is part of the culture of the Congress party. With the total control of by the Nehru Dynasty the Congress, the party men have been reduced to servility. There is no inner party democracy and none can point out to the supremo what is proper and what is improper. The Prime Minister and Chief Ministers are not elected but they are appointed by the supremo. Decades ago there used to be election of office bearers, members and executives of Pradesh and all India Congress Committees. The local leaders who had established themselves could compete with one another and get elected. Therefore they could stand up to any dictation. Great examples are Tanguturi Prakasm, N.G.Ranga and Sanjeeva Reddy in Andhra Pradesh, Subhash Chandra Bose, Purushotham Das Tandon, J.B.Kriplani, D.P. Misra, Dr B C Roy, C.B Gupta etc., in the North but gradually democracy and democratic choice of various office bearers in the Congress had been extinguished. Personality cult and servility were incipient in the Congress during Gandhiji's life-time. Commenting on Gandhi's death Dr B.R. Ambedkar wrote, *to Sharada alias Laxmi Kabir who later became his wife, following Gandhiji's assassination. In that letter, dated 8 February 1948...."...., Mr. Gandhi had become a positive danger to his country. He had choked all the thoughts. He was holding together the Congress which is a combination of all the bad and self-seeking elements in society who agreed on no social or moral principle governing the life of society except the one of praising and flattering Mr Gandhi. Such a body is unfit to govern the country.*

And the Bible says that something good come out of evil, so also I think that good will come out of the death of Mr. Gandhi. He will release people from bondage to superman, It will make them think for themselves and it will compel them to stand to their own merits. (Source: A Reporter At Large (page 238/239) by M V Kamat)

The party supremo has proprietarised the party. The proprietor appoints the Subedars, the Chief Ministers; (the Zamindars); Pradesh Congress Presidents and members of his executive committee and even the district congress committees. When that is the culture in the oldest and largest party, how can it be different when such people take over the government in the states and at the center? All of them are servants and Subedars of the supremo. They are selected and appointed by the supremo. They are accountable to the supremo. As long as they are able to satisfy and keep the confidence of the Supremo, they can rule as they wish. The party's Chief Minister have injected the same Subedari system into the government. In the process, the IAS & IPS cadres are infected with attributes like loyalty, cronyism and commitment. They commit whatever the Chief Minister has asked them to do. The Cabinet Ministers are all rubber stamps. They are free to sing the praise of the supremo and the Chief Minister foisted on them.

The explanations and wailings of the IAS Officers before the CBI reported in detail in colourful language in the local news papers are exposing the rot in the governance. The same vassalism to the supremo infects, in different degrees other parties, especially the regional ones. The regional parties are all proprietary and what the supremo of the Congress is to Congressmen and the government headed by them, the regional supremos are to their partymen and governments headed by them in the states.

There is absolute in the Bhagawad Gita's instruction:

yadyadacharati srestastatthadevetaro janaha

sa yatpramanam kuruthe lokasthadanuvarthate

—Bhagawadgita (III-21)

As the leading men in the society act, so do the commoners.

The standards that the former set are followed by the latter.

Thiruvallavar said, "They are good rulers who observe ethics, commit no crime and walk the path of honour and courage".

Corruption has permeated all ranks of the society, including the common people who sell their votes for black money Thomas Paine wrote at the time of the American War of Independence (1776 -83), “These are the times that try men’s souls. The summer soldier and the sunshine patriot will, in this crisis shrink from the service of the country but that stands it now deserves the love and thanks of man and woman”. We need men who can stand upright and cleanse our public life to sweep away the rotten politicians and cure the infected government officers. The following plaintive prayer is appropriate to offer to God.

God give us men ! Men !

A time like this demands strong minds, great hearts, true faith and ready hands;

Men whom the lust of office does not kill;

Men whom the spoils of office will not buy

Men who possess opinions and a will

Men who have honor and who will not lie

Men who can stand before a demagogue

And dam his treacherous flatteries without winking

Tall men, sun-crowned who rise above the fog

In public duty and private thinking.

Give us the man of integrity of whom we know

We can thoroughly depend; who will stand firm

When others fail; the friend faithful and true

In ancient shadows and twilights

Where childhood had strayed

The world’s great sorrows were born

And its heroes were made

In the boyhood of judas

Christ was betrayed.

- Josiah Gilbert Holland

Dt: 5/12/2011

Moral Corruption is More Injurious And Dangerous Than Every Other Type of Corruption.

The Daivasura Sampadvibhaga yoga, Chapter-16 of Bhagawad Gita, specifies the qualities of an *aasuric* (demoniac, basest character) person as follows:

*asa pasa satairbaddha kama krodha parayanaha
eehanthe kama bhogardham anyayena artha sanchayan*

–B.G 16.12

Bound by hundreds of desires they are given to covetousness and anger . They long to acquire wealth in improper ways for enjoyment.

*idamadya mayalabdham, imam prapsye manoradham
idamasthi, idamapi me bhavishyati punardhanam*

–B.G 16.13

This I have obtained today. I will fulfill my wishes tomorrow. I have this; that wealth too I will have in future.

*asou maya hataha sathruha, hanishye cha aparani
eeswaro aham, aham bhogi, sidhho aham, balavan sukhee*

–B.G 16.14

I have killed this enemy. I will kill others too. I am the lord; I enjoy; I am the achiever;. I am strong. I am comfortable.

*aadhyo abinajanavaanasmi, ko anyo asthi sadrusyo maya
yakshye dasyami modishya ithi agnana vimohitaha*

– B.G 16.15

I am affluent. I have got great following, who else is there like me. I can perform yagnas, I can give gifts, I can enjoy in whatever manner I like, so think deluded persons.

If these are evil thoughts. From these thoughts proceed actions to realise the longed for desires. Moral thoughts and constant introspection restrain people to a great extent from evil actions. Sometimes, one has to

deviate from an absolute moral standard to avoid an injurious or dangerous consequence. Take the classic story of a person sitting at a place in deep contemplation. A doe fleeing from a hunter passes in front of the man in contemplation and turns in a certain direction. Soon after, the hunter comes and in a threatening posture asks the Muni whether he has seen a doe passing by him and which way it has turned. The Muni is in a dilemma. He has seen the doe and which way it has turned. If he tells the truth, the doe will be killed. If he does not answer or gives a false answer that he has not seen, he will be deviating from truthful conduct. He solved the dilemma by respecting a higher dharma in preference to a lower one. He tells the lie that he has not seen any doe. Deviating from absolute dharma is permissible if it serves a higher purpose of saving some life or preserving harmony and well being in society, (loka sangraha).

I am writing this long preface to judge whether Sri Manmohan Singh is an incorruptible person. Almost everybody says that he is honest, not corrupt and his integrity is unquestionable. However, the whole country is witnessing unprecedented levels of corruption, not among business men only but among Sri Manmohan Singh's own colleagues in his cabinet. He was never directly elected by the people. To get into the Rajya Sabha, he indulged in false-hood; he gave an address in Gawahati (Assam) and declared an affidavit that he is ordinarily resident there. He is nominated for the post of Prime Minister of India. by the proprietor of the Congress Party. He is accountable and responsible to her and not to the Cabinet or to the Parliament or to the Congress M.Ps who elected him. No doubt, he is a great economist. He can well belong to the class of economists who present papers or talk very sensibly on any aspect of economics. That is, he could be a professor and a commentator and consultant. As an officer in the World Bank or Finance Minister in Narasimha Rao's cabinet he could do the right thing under the umbrella of his bosses. That is what he did when he was the Finance Minister in Sri PV Narasimha Rao's. He could do right things despite politically unpalatable consequences because the responsibility for the consequences was taken by the Prime Minister himself. As Prime Minister Manmohan Singh is not free to act. He is holding the post in trust, for his nominator's choice in the future.

He is beholden and responsible and accountable to only the one who nominated him. The interest of the nominator- proprietor of the party which is running the coalition government is that the government should not fall. The government is a coalition one with a few regional parties. Ministers from those parties are not selected either by Manmohan Singh or by the proprietor of his party. They are the nominees of the owners of the regional parties. Manmohan Singh admitted that there are a number of corrupt persons as ministers. He is not able to take any action against them. They are not under the disciplines of his party. Those ministers are responsible and accountable to the bosses of those regional parties only. They had to be given autonomy lest, they should pull out of the coalition and the government would collapse. If that is by him allowed to happen, he would be betraying the trust and mandate given by his nominator. So the **professor** in him has invented a theory, **the theory of coalition dharma**. According to that, since the government should not fall, he has to allow the coalition partners to do whatever they want. If they are corrupt so be it. If a minister belonging to his party is corrupt, then he can recommend action. With the approval of the proprietor, he may drop the person. That is what he did in case of a colleague belonging to his own party when he was found to have been responsible for what has come to be known as the IPL scam.

The removal of Sri A Raja of the DMK as Minister for Communications was forced upon him. Even the proprietor of his party had to consent as the Parliament was brought to a standstill until he was dropped from the cabinet. So it is not his decision but a decision imposed upon the cabinet and his party by a powerful opposition. He could not claim any credit for enforcing dharma by dropping a corrupt minister.

Take the case of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in Retail Trade. Manmohan Singh has defended this decision as absolutely correct and just and in the interest of the country. At one stage, he was prepared to stake his position and that of the government. But faced with stubborn opposition in the Parliament and threats from some regional parties in his coalition, he has kept the decision in abeyance. This means, it is not the advancement of the interest of this country that determines his action. It

is the compulsion imposed from outside to keep the government from falling that directs his decision and policy. **If this is not moral corruption, what else can it be called ?** Is he serving a larger interest, a larger dharma? Certainly not. His decisions are for the selfish purpose of continuance in office. This is moral corruption. Many evil consequences follow from moral corruption as so beautifully put in the Bhagawad Gita slokas quoted in the beginning. Tolerance to corruption and justifying it, is as a dharma is ignoble. Scholarship without morality, knowledge without responsibility, power without accountability and indulgence in all of them is terrible moral corruption. It is from this that so many corruptions and scams are born.

Gratefulness is a virtue and ingratitude is an unpardonable sin. In addition to his scholarship in economics, the other quality Man Mohan Singh has, can be said to be the great quality, of gratitude to the benefactor.

Finally, in further elucidation of the evil of moral corruption, the message that Yudhishtira wanted Krishna to convey to Dritarashtra when Krishna went to the Kaurava's court for resolving the conflict is worth recalling.

“When dharma and truth are in limitless distress because of sins and falsehoods, if those who are capable of preventing the injustices, remain unconcerned, they would come to grief for, God ultimately enforces truth and justice”.

Bhishma and Drona could have enforced a just peace. They did not; so they perished in the ensuing war. Dhritarashtra could have checked his son from wrong doing; he did not. He lost all his sons, kith and kin and was drowned in sorrow; death was a relief. It is a tragedy that Manmohan Singh is submitting himself to terrible wrong –doing, choosing gratefulness to uprightness.

What Mahatma Gandhiji said is apt to be recalled, “***Politics without principle; Knowledge without character***“ are among the seven deadly sins.

Teachers as Gurus To Ignite Intelligence, Energize Divinity and Promote Harmony And Peace Among Peoples

“We hold these truths to be evident, that **all men are created equal**...” proclaims the lofty American Declaration of Independence. Though created equal, men turn out to be unequal in accomplishment and status. Inequality in life arises due to the **difference in education** that is imparted and acquired from the mother, in the home, from the teachers in the school and preacher(s) in place of worship. Of these, three who form the character of a person, teacher is the most influential and causative. We shall explore what the content of education is and how it should be imparted.

Satiated but not Satisfied: A former revolutionary, scholar and profound philosopher observed that we are having more and more people **satiated but not satisfied** - satiated with comforts and conveniences and pleasures that wealth could give but not satisfied with their life, always restless and in quest of something they cannot have; they don't seem to find science and technology (S&T) are helping production of goods and services that are making life, less labour-requiring less strenuous, less precarious and more secure. “In an ideal society, man would work only with his trains. Technology would be directly responsible for reducing physical discomfort, pain and labour (Albert Einstein in Ideas and Options). S and T are helping the educated and enterprising to create immense wealth quickly and continuously. Yet, men are in search of more wealth.

Inequalities are increasing among nations, among people within a nation, within regions and communities within a country. While the wise application of science and technology can conduce to ever growing ease and happiness, inequalities brought about by the greed and power of individuals and nations are generating hatred, envy, covetousness and urge to exercise power over others. And all these, inspite of

“education” becoming universal and governments spending huge amounts on welfare. Strife and violence erupt easily and quickly when sentiments based on race, religion, caste, language, region and nation, are invoked and aroused to achieve individual desires.

“Since wars begin in the minds of men, it is in the minds of men

that the defenses of peace must be constructed.”

- UNESCO Constitution.

3. Aims of Education: How do we cultivate the mind and thoughts, aspirations and exertions of persons so that the defenses for peace and harmony, avoidance of acute inequalities and envy and greed and urge to power and dominate, are curbed? The learned would agree that it is **right education** imparted by wise teachers that would lead persons to be good, to be gentle, to be social and strive to add to the sum total of happiness and satisfaction and harmony in society and between nations. Education is a multi-dimensional process and is a life-long exercise. The urge to acquire right education is ignited by good teachers. What education is and what it must produce in men is captured in the following profound thoughts of great men.

Education is a liberating force, enables the individual to rise from mere materiality to superior planes of intellectual and spiritual consciousness. Education gives us accumulated lessons of heritage to carry it forward to posterity.

The past is our foundation, the present our material, the future our aim and summit. Each must have its due and natural place in a national system of education.

– Sri Aurobindo

We want that education by which character is formed, strength of mind is increased, the intellect is expanded and by which one can stand on one's own feet. Education is the manifestation of the perfection already in man

- Swami Vivekananda

I firmly believe the efficacy of education as a panacea for our social evils

- Dr.B.R. Ambedkar

Education is the process of individual mind getting its full possible development.... It is a long school which lasts a life time

-Dr Zakir Hussain

Education should address the problems of national development, particularly issues concerning self-reliance, economic growth, employment and social and national integration.

Education is visualised as an evolutionary force so that each individual is enabled to evolve from purely material consciousness towards superior planes of intellectual and spiritual consciousness

-The Education Commission (1966)

Education aims at liberation - liberation from bondage and ignorance, backwardness and gravitational pulls of the lower human nature.

Education should be so designed as to become a powerful carrier of the best of the heritage and it should, therefore, aim at transmitting to the new generations the lessons of the accumulated experiences of the past for further progress in the present and the future.

Source: “Higher Education in India – Vision and Action”

GOI's paper for UNESCO Oct. 1998)

The two major functions of education are to enlighten the mind and to illumine the heart of an individual. Education must foster humanism in human beings.

The characteristics of “human beingness” are compassion, a sense of justice, courtesy, mercy, patience, thoughtfulness, humility, reverence for life (Albert Schweitzer...)

“**Aham Brahmaasmi**”, declared the great philosopher and commentor of Upanishads. Brahma is creator; the creative power is in every human being; it is dormant; it is energized by good teachers, by the way they help students do discover this potential and activate it.

The great general and President of America, Dwight D. Eisenhower gave this message to the students and teachers alike:

- o “*To develop fully your own character, you must know your country’s character. A plant partakes of the character of the soil in which it grows. You are a plant that is *conscious*, that *thinks*. You must study your soil – which is your country — in order that you may be able to draw its strength up into your own strength*”.

Therefore, teachers who are makers of men and visionaries for a country and nation, must give an inspiring account of the history of their country, their heritage, culture and heroes of the country to students, so that in addition to attaining excellence in whatever branch of study and knowledge they specialize, **they also become architects and builders of a great nation**. So many scholar-teachers (eg: the upanishadic teachers), who became Jagadgurus (teachers to the world) and so produced outstanding men who made “The Wonder That Was India”, in the words of the great historian, Prof. A.L. Basham.

It is a truism to say that **while animals can be trained, it is only humans who can be educated**; that is who have memory, who accumulate facts, who can connect them up, who can, analyze, arrive at conclusions, choose the right ones and act in the right way. It is only humans who have a family and memories of lineage, inheritance and the ability to pass them all to generations of their children and society.

Teachers and Student: Education starts from the womb and continues till the tomb. In the **mother’s lap**, in the lullabies she sings, in the stories she tells, in the games she makes the children play, the tales of heroes and their achievements she narrates, are the initial education. In the **school** the teacher adds much more to the child’s store of knowledge. It is not stores of information that they build but the ability to think and speculate,

the curiosity that he arouses, the inquiry that he initiates in the children that form the basis of knowledge not only from the text books but **learning by self-effort**. Indeed it is the induction of intense desire to learn by one’s own effort that a good teacher strives for. The Indian word “**Vidyardhi**” is wonderfully definitive. Vidyardhi, is one who seeks knowledge. The teacher is to help him in this search for knowledge. Socrates in ancient Greece and the Upanishadic Acharyas of Bharat (i.e India) led students to learn and acquire knowledge through question (pariprasnena) and answer, through dialogue.

Pupil: Is it not unreasonable to believe the existence of something or being which cannot be seen?

Guru: Let us see you may be right. Go, bring the fruit of a banyan tree.

Pupil (The pupil brings a few fruits). Here it is Guruji

Guru: Take one seed. Cut it into two. Throw out one half

Pupil : (He cuts it; through the half way. The Guru and the pupil carry on this exercise for some time – cutting the remnant into two and throwing a half. After some operations.

Pupil: I can’t see any further

Guru: But it was; and you cut it; the last half, which you say, you can’t see could not have vanished. Is it not?

Pupil : I have understood. Merely because I can’t see, it does not mean it does not exist

The pupil has been led by the Guru to exercise his intellect and come to the right conclusion. The non-destructability of matter is beautifully captured in the Gita.

*Naasato vidyate bhavoh
na abhaavovidyate satah (B.G II. 16)*

(That which exists cannot non-exist; that which does not exist cannot come into being). In the cosmic vision (viswaroopa sandarsana) chapter-XI of the Gita, the Lord said.

You cannot see Me (my cosmic form) with your (normal) eyes. I will give you divine eyes, with which alone you can see my cosmic form (BG XI:8).

The microscope; the electronic microscope enable us to see smaller and yet smaller object which our eye cannot see; the telescope the radio-telescope, the interstellar observatory's electronic telescopes are enabling us to see stars and planets, thousands of light-years away. A good teacher can emulate the example of the Upanishadic teacher to guide students to think, inquire and come to proper conclusions. The wise have humility to acknowledge how little they know; the unwise are proud that they know all. If this instruction is lovingly given by teachers, those who proclaim that they alone have been revealed the whole truth and others who think or believe differently are damned and should be converted to the only right that they are on, will not disturb the harmony that must exist among the whole mankind with its different traditions, cultures and belief-faith systems.

The teacher has to be like a father to a son, a friend to a companion and lover to the beloved, as the Bhagawad Gita beautifully puts this relationship

Piteva putrasya (like a father to the son)

Sakheva sakhyuh (friend to the companion)

Priyah priyaah (lover to the beloved) (BG II.44)

Besides the mother's lap and the school, the third places for learning are the places of worship. The connection between man and creator, the unity in the diversity of creation, ideas of virtue and vice; good and evil; cause and effect, fraternity and reverence for life and nature—in one word, spirituality are expositioned and the grace of the Creator is prayed for enlightenment and proper conduct in life.

Loka Sangraha : Harmonious Society: Men do not live for themselves by themselves. They are part of the society. He is part of creation and creation is full of variety. There are eight and a half million species of living beings from viruses to virtuous men. In addition, there are the inanimate: the seas and oceans, deserts and forests, mountains and valleys, trees and bushes and creepers, rivers and lakes. The sum total of all these animate and the inanimate makes up this planet. They have to be in balance. When the balance is disturbed, there is strife, there is

unrest there is destruction. Teachers must inculcate in men thoughts and exertions for preservation of balance on this planet among all the created. The beautiful Sanskrit word **Lokasangraha** captures the substance of the harmony and balance that should be striven for by men. Teachers must instruct so that in life, we choose the lesser evil when the choice is only between two evils; to avoid larger unbalance. In nature variety is immense. What is good and right for someone in some place, at some time is not necessarily so for all people, everywhere, all the time. The lion and tiger and the cheetah can live only by violence, by killing the less powerful animals and eating them. There is no other way that they can exist. For men to live and survive and thrive, killing other species and eating them is not essential; in fact, it is totally avoidable. Elephant, the weightiest and the biggest animal does not kill any other animal for food. It is vegetarian. Man can also be like wise vegetarian. It is unfortunate, that among all living species it is only humans who have been killing one another and that too, for dominance, for exercise of power, for getting at others resources that add to their comfortable life. What nature has not intended, men have invented. The conquest of one nation by another, by people of one faith by people of other faiths; of one race by another destabilises Lokasangraha. Therefore, education that the teacher imparts to students has to draw upon the wisdom that permeates creation, that is built into the creation by God and instruct students not to be covetous; not violent; not insist that they only are right; they are mandated by their God and faith, to lead others by several means including inveiglement and coercion to their way of life, their faith, their ideology. Education must make people easy to govern but difficult to coerce or submit.

Literature for Values: In historic times nations fought for political dominance. Religion and culture, science and technology, philosophy and faith have been co-opted in the pursuit of power by groups and nations and faiths. All this has meant bloody wars and destruction of life and property and nature. In the Second World War six million men and women lost their lives. The erstwhile Soviet Union alone had one third of the share of this loss. The destruction in Japan and in Germany was terrible. More non-combatants died than combatants and these wars were in pursuit of power and that power was to get at the resources

of other countries, of other nations, of other people. When all of us are creation of one God even according to different faiths, the wars between nations for dominance and control of resources is the projection of the greed of individuals as a nation. Education must promote understanding among all; the unity in creation and the need for sharing and caring. Every region on the planet is not endowed with the same variety and quality and full panoply of natural resources - ores, rivers, forests, cold and heat. Education must emphasize this aspect and inculcate the value that nations and people must complement each other's resources and abilities and knowledge and talents.

There is a beautiful instruction in the Bhagawad Gita which says, that "**the bounties given by Him** should be shared; he who appropriates them for himself without offering to others is verily a thief.

*"tairdattaan apradaayaibhyo
yo bhunkte stena eva sah (Bha.G III-12)*

Values and ideas of harmony and preservation of order and balance of what is good and bad and when and to what degree deviation from the absolute right could be indulged, come from literature, literatures that are of epic nature and which have been living in the memory of generations of people as facts, stories, legends and mythology. Great seer-poets have been retelling the same stories with different emphasis in the light of the present state of social organizations; of societies and nations. **Literature therefore must form an essential part of education.** We divide education broadly into humanities comprising of language, literature, history and philosophy and sociology and the other one is science and technology. Science and technology help people and society and mankind to reduce drudgery, physical labour, peril and increase convenience and comforts. Science and technology have also got the ability to destroy human beings; indeed the planet itself. For eg: nuclear fission and fusion can produce electricity as well as explosions and harmful radiations to what use nuclear fission and fusion are to be put is our choice and this choice is a function of wisdom. Wisdom comes by a combination of knowledge and experience. That is why literature history are important both for humanities as well as science and technology.

Usually, teachers of science and technology do not talk of poetry or philosophy or literature. That would be catastrophe. Teachers of science and technology must as much, as teachers of humanity, be well versed in literature and history and sociology to instruct practitioners of science and technology to make wise choices.

Preachers as Teachers: While in the educational institutions we have what are generally called teachers who are preparing students for examinations for obtaining certificates of proficiency, there is **another class also of teachers who are generally known as preachers.** These are in places of worship - Churches, Seminaries, Mosques, Madrasas and various Peethas. What is sermonized is as important, **sometimes more important because** that is claimed to be as per the commands of god and religion. Some class of teachers tell their flock that their God alone is the true God, their Prophet is the only true Prophet, their Holy Book is the only holy book and it contains all that ought to be known and that those who do not belong to this faith are sinners and outside the brotherhood and it is the duty of a true believer to convert all of them to their own true faith. That teaching leads to strife and disharmony. That shows seeds of terrorism and war. A survey of history shows that many more wars were waged and lives lost in the attempt to spread the only true religion and to keep their own flock according to the rituals and practices and beliefs laid down by the institutions that support and supervise and propagate that faith. It is a fact of life that there are some faiths which honestly believe that theirs is the only true path and that God has ordered and required them to convert the rest to their own faith, teaching & preaching against variety and plurality in nature and among human beings. Some say that we should tolerate different faiths. Tolerance is good but not good enough. It may mean that mine is the true faith, yours is a false one; yet I tolerate that one but it would be my endeavour to bring you to the path of the true faith. This would also lead to conflict. What is required is not tolerance alone **but mutual and equal respect for different faith/belief systems, whether they are in majority or minority.** No scholar is capable of knowing the whole truth. The truth of who, why, when this creation was undertaken and what for it is existing would remain eternal quest of man;

to go from a lesser truth to a higher truth; progress from a little comprehension into a little better comprehension. Teachers and preachers have to be first agreed on the oneness of creation and the fundamental nature of this creation which is plurality but all have to live in harmony each one keeping to his own faith.

8. Culture: Self-Regulation: A definition of a gentleman is one who does not inflict pain upon others – physical or mental pain. Soft speech and silence are qualities of gentlemanliness. The injunction is an eternally valid instruction.

*satyam bruyat priyam bruyat mabruyat satyamapriyam
priyancha na anrutham bruyat esa dharma ssanatanaha*

Speak the truth, speak sweetly; speak not the hurting truth,
speak not an untruth be it ever so pleasing.

Culture has to be inculcated by the teacher. One nice definition of **culture is obedience to unenforceable laws**. The qualities of not inflicting pain upon others and obedience to unenforceable laws together with equal respect for every faith and avoidance from organised professional efforts to convert people from one faith to another (very often, on commercial lines destroys harmony. Teachers must, must be philosophical, must be reasonably versed in the classics of many literatures. They should put aside those ideas or words that promote intolerance, hatred and violence.

Rights and Duties: There is a general heightened talk of rights for children, rights for animals, rights for women, rights for old men, rights for weaker sections, rights for minority but there **is no talk of duties**. Is it not a wonder that in no Indian language there is a word conveying the meaning of the English word **right**. There is always the talk of **vidhi, duty, dharma** and if duties are performed diligently with due consequences, results follow. Emphasis inexorably only on rights and not on duties does not conduce to harmony.

Legislated laws cannot enforce universal observance and obedience. And laws cannot be made to cover every type of crime, fraud, damage and injury. Also, laws and codes (Smritis) are made in relation to present state of society. As communications and technology convert the globe into a village and peoples on the planet into close relationships and connectedness, what constitutes crime and what is legal where, becomes difficult to define. Therefore what is more powerful and feared than man-made law is the moral law of cause and consequence, punya (noble, meritorious) and papa (sin) has to be explored, explained and enjoined by teachers and preceptors, to students and people. One most valuable ingredient of culture is willing and voluntary obedience to unenforceable and unenforced laws. This ingredient is irrespective of religion and race. Cultivation of this virtue and the peace and harmony-promoting concept of sin and punishment, virtue and reward should be expositioned by teachers. Recalling Lord Tennyson's poem is relevant.

*More things are wrought by prayer than this world dreams of
And God fulfills himself in many ways.*

Daily introspection of regarding one's doings and happenings in society help to lead a virtuous life

Poverty & Inequality: It is propagated, especially in countries that have frequent elections for legislatures, that inequities are imposed by design by conspiratorial groups in the society. Why poverty and inequality arises has to be philosophically understood. Teachers must arouse inquiry through provocative exposition of these subjects. When parents have two or three children, all of them are fed the same food and brought up alike and put in the same school and in the same stream of studies, with the same teacher; they don't turn out alike. The difference could be as much as one becoming a brilliant scientist and another a fraudster. How do we explain it? Why one takes to entrepreneurship, business and becomes well-to-do and the other becomes almost a drop-out and poor and thunders against inequality, what should society do?

In the entire history mankind, were there times when and countries where, no inequality and poverty did not exist? To what extent and how these

could be ameliorated is to be understood and taught and continuously researched.

World Ethic - Welfare Ethic: Teachers should emphasize the work and savings ethic. Populist politicians competing for votes are all the while talking of welfare, care of the poor and exploitation conspiratorially indulged in by the rich, capitalist and “imperial” nations. This they hold is responsible for inequality and strife within and wars without. Teachers need to explain the virtues of work, self-improvement, saving, non-indebtedness and that God would help those who want and try to help themselves. Teachers themselves should set an example to students. As students would soon become officers, captains of industry, political leaders, PMs and Presidents, teachers should emphasize the role of leaders to educate, instruct and inspire people for cooperative endeavours to create wealth and share it.

12. Self-effort to be Inculcated: Upliftment by one's efforts is virtuous.

Uddhareth aatmanaa aatmanam

Na-atmaanamavasaadayet

Aatma eva hi aatma nah bandhuh

Aatma eva ripuraatmanah

- One should lift oneself up; he should not debase himself. One's self is his friend; one can be his own enemy too.

(Government can't uplift the individual who does not himself exert)

“No improvement is possible with unimproved people and advance is certain when people are liberated (free inquiry) and educated”, observed the Nobel Laureate, economist John Kenneth Galbraith. Governments should not undertake what men and their collectivity could themselves do. Governments should be helmsmen, not rowers; they should be regulators so that demoniac qualities in men do not sunder society.

Modern Methods and Systems of Teaching and Learning: The Internet: There were times when those who wanted to learn went to the teacher, the Guru and stayed with him in the Gurukula, seminary or Arama/Vihara. Living together, in the company of other students and the teacher

conducted to quicker and broader acquisition of knowledge. Knowledge is obtained in four ways: one quarter from the teacher; one quarter from companion students, one quarter by one's own intelligence and the final quarter through life-long learning, from Gurukulas. We progressed onto classrooms and libraries and laboratories. Now Internet is becoming the medium of teaching and learning. This is entirely a new system. The teacher the Guru do not come into contact with students. There could be no books in physical form. There are electronic books and electronic readers. Different “facts” could be presented. Partial facts only can be presented. As the Internet is all embracing - (500cr or 5 bln out of 7 bln people on this planet are already accessing in the Internet -). Teaching and learning could be very dangerous or could be profoundly great. The choice is becoming much more difficult. Therefore the responsibility of the parents at home and teachers in the primary and secondary stage of education is very great. Internet is becoming another parent and another teacher both more powerful, more influential, more pervasive than the biological parents. Internet is under no body's control; it is transnational. The biggest university in the world is on Internet, managed by academicians in Phoenix, capital of Arizona in the USA. As it is a multi-racial, multi-national, multi-faith University, properly inspired and directed, it has immense potential for promoting not only S&T faculties but for international harmony and enrichment of understanding of different cultures and heritages. Intellectuals who wish to promote global harmony must engage with the academicians and management of this Internet university.

Co-existence of Cultures - Teachers' Role: The world has been witnessing increasing movement of peoples across national boundaries in western Europe including Russia; native population has been declining and ageing. Immigration of working people from North Africa and Turkey was encouraged to increase workers as the growing economy needed. These immigrants came with their distinct faith and belief systems, their cultures and modes of dress and living and prayer. When their proportion was small, their presence was not conspicuous. But as they increased with their families and children, their being different is felt by the native majorities. Their denominational schools are teaching them to preserve

their distinctive culture, their way of praying, dressing and eating and languages and living clash of cultures is emerging; strife and intolerance are increasing.

In the USA there the increase of working population is not by organic growth of natives but by immigration from Asia and Latin America. Here too there could be clash of cultures and faiths.

In India commercially organised proselytisation and conversion activities by alien faiths and finances are causing disquiet and discord in families and communities and are promoting intolerance. Globalisation will increase movements of people across countries. If the demographic and economic developments are not to disrupt harmony, the defence for peace, tolerance, mutual respect and accommodation are to be inculcated in the young. Teachers must be specially educated about all significant faith/ belief systems and cultures. What exclusivist, antagonistic and expansionist passages are there in different scriptures and religious texts may be passed over or explained to be relevant only to be past when they were propounded. There is therefore a global and urgent need for an internationally accepted special interfaith course for teachers of humanities as well as S&T. This would enable teachers all over the world to promote the most essential values of peaceful, harmonious and mutually respecting co-existence of different faiths and cultures.

It would be desirable that in all multi-cultural nations, there are joint teams of enlightened intellectuals who could monitor the sermons in all houses of prayer and worship so that exclusivism, intolerance, hatred and aggressiveness are not propagated in the name of religion and God.

Abraham Lincoln to Teacher: Finally, besides what all else teachers must impart, the wisdom in Abraham Lincoln's letter to his son's teacher may be communicated to all teachers.
Respected Teacher!

My son will have to learn, I know; That all men are not just, all men are not true, but teach him also; That for every scoundrel, there is a

Hero; That for every selfish politician, there is a dedicated leader; Teach him that for every enemy, there is a friend; It will take time, I know; but teach him if you can; That a dollar earned is of far more value than five found; Teach him to learn to lose and also enjoy winning; Steer him away from envy, if you can; Teach him the secret of quiet laughter; Let him learn early that the Bullies are easiest to Lick; Teach him if you can, the wonder of books...; But also give him Quiet time to ponder over the eternal mystery of birds in the sky, bees in the sun and flowers on a green hills – side; In school teach him it is far more honourable to fail than to cheat; Teach him to have faith in his own ideas even if everyone tells him they are wrong; Teach him to be gentle with gentle people and tough with the tough; Try to give my son the strength not to follow the crowd when everyone is getting on the; bandwagon; Teach him to listen to all men but teach him also to filter all he hears on a screen of truth and take only the good that comes through ; Teach him, if you can, how to laugh when he is sad; Teach him there is no shame in tears; Teach him to scoff at cynics and to beware of too much sweetness; Teach him to sell his brawn and brain to the highest bidders, but never to put a price tag on his heart and soul; Teach him to close his Ears to a Howling Mob.... And to stand and Fight; If he thinks he is right Treat him gently; but do not cuddle him because only the test of Fire Makes Fine Steel; Let him have the courage to be important; Let him have the patience to be brave; Teach him always to have sublime faith in himself because then he will always have sublime faith in mankind; This is a bid order; but see what you can do.

It is apt to end this paper with our eternal aspiration and endeavor:

**Om Sahanaavavatu, sahanu bhunaktu
Sahaveeryam Karavaavahaih
Tejasvinaavadheetamastu
Maa Vidvishaavahaih,
Om! Shantih, shantih, shantih!**

*May we protect ourselves together, experience / enjoy together,
perform valorous deed together, not quarrel among ourselves,
may our learning be brilliant.
Peace, peace, peace !!! (for all, everywhere, all the time))*

Dt: 13/03/2012

How not to be Dictated and Ruled by Minorities

In the recently (Feb-March 2012) concluded elections to the Legislative Assembly of Uttar Pradesh, it was the Muslims block vote which decided the outcome. The Samajwadi party promised the highest percentage of reservations to Muslims – 18% ; whereas the Congress promised only 4.5% and the BSP some 9% ; while the BJP opposed reservations based upon religion. In Andhra Pradesh regional parties the TDP & TRS are competing with the Sonia Congress to provide larger and larger reservations to Muslims just like in UP. In fact, the Sonia Congress government in A.P is critically dependent upon the support, of the Majlis Ittehadul Muslameen (MIM) MLAs for its majority in the Assembly. As a price for the MIM's support Hyderabad is now having Razakars decent, MIM Mayor. It also has a Muslim as the Commissioner of Police of Hyderabad city. Another price the MIM got for its support to the Congress Ministry's survival was reparations of several crore rupees for a number of Muslims detained and interrogated as suspects in a bomb blast case but released for want of clinching evidence.

In West Bengal, the Muslim vote decided the winner as the Trinamul Congress. Assam and Bengal are on the way to becoming not only Muslim decided elections but Muslims majority population states. In the hunt for Muslims votes, "secular" parties governments are carving out Muslim majority districts like Mallapuram in Kerala and Mewat in Haryana. To have a more and lasting vote bank, the Sonia- led UPA has launched the Muslim First programs which will convert 90 districts spread all over India into Muslim majority districts. Where will all this gamble for Muslim votes end?

In 1905 a Muslim delegation led by Aga Khan petitioned the Viceroy and Governor General for separate electorates and reserved seats in all representative bodies like municipalities, state and central legislatures. That was conceded very gracefully and readily by the British imperial government as that would promote and strengthen separatism of Muslims and their abstention from joining the Congress which was agitating for freedom from imperial rule. In the hope that Muslims would join Hindus in the struggle for freedom, the Indian National Congress (INC) agreed not only for separate electorates but even for weighted representation in legislatures like 33.1/3 % for the 25% Muslim population in the Central Legislative Assembly in Delhi and similar weighted representation for Muslims in the provincials (now called States). This separatism ultimately led to the Muslim League under Md. Ali Jinnah's leadership to assert that Muslims **are a separate nation**, that India should be divided to create the Islamic state of Pakistan (and later on Bangladesh out of Pakistan).

In the fond belief that if the separate electorate for Muslims is given up, a strong united nation would be forged, the **Constituent Assembly of India unanimously decided to do away with separate electorate for Muslims and reservations based upon religion**. Actually, the Muslim League and Md. Ali Jinnah proposed that there should be an exchange of minority populations between Pakistan & India for a final solution of the Muslim problem in India. Dr. Ambedkar even wrote a great book, "**Pakistan or the Partition of India**" demonstrating why Pakistan should be conceded and that exchange of minority populations, as was done between Christians and Muslims on the dissolution of the Ottoman Turkish empire under auspices of the League of Nations, was necessary. Unfortunately while Pakistan implemented the ethnic cleansing of Hindus (Bangladesh followed) Indian leaders Mahatma Gandhi and Jawaharlal Nehru prevented the exodus of Muslims from India to Pakistan. India's first High Commissioner, Sri Prakash to Pakistan was even instructed to persuade India's Muslims who went to Pakistan, to return to India ! As Congress became weaker and weaker, the courting of Muslims as a separate vote block under the name of minorities was taken up by the Congress. The first appeasement measure was the passing of a law in 1956 by Jawaharlal Nehru himself sponsoring it, for

financing the Haj pilgrimage of Muslims to Mecca. Then various measures like preserving the government -funded Aligarh Muslim University as a minority university, establishment of Urdu universities, financing madrasas, promotion of minority engineering, medical, management, computers etc., colleges, minority commissions, at the union and state level, minority welfare departments, minority state finance corporations**have been leading to the preservation and promotion of a separate entity for Muslims , separate from Hindus & India**. The regional parties have joined the competition for Muslims' votes. Every regional party opposed to Congress, is making more and more appeasement promises to Muslims calling them minorities.

It is becoming obvious that the winning margin in most of the constituencies is only a few thousands votes. Muslims could be treated and appeased as a block, then the block would decide as to who would be the winner. Muslims are treated as a block by political parties on the basis of religion and their demands are accepted to be implemented if they vote as a block, to help a party win the majority. So, they are now promising reservations in proportion to their increasing population, for not only government jobs in but even in Legislatures and the Parliament of India. The Muslim population in India has gone up from 10% after partition to 13.5% in 2001 and about 18% or more by 2011. If this rate of growth continues and it would as educated Hindus are now going for only one child and not even two, **the Muslim population can be the single largest in India within about 30-40 years**. This is so because the Christian population is also increasing by furious conversion and reproduction. **Muslims may emerge as the largest proportion of India's population followed by Hindus and Christians**.

Hindus fought the invading Islamist rulers and their armies for about 700 years. The result was that never did the whole of India go under Muslim rule. Hindus agitated and fought for and got freedom. An insignificant proportion of Muslims took part in the civil disobedience and Quit India and other movements for freedom. What the Hindus could gain after a period of 700 to 800 years of struggle against foreign rule is now being totally undone within 60 years of Independence. Muslims are already in crucial and strategic positions of government. The advisors and the

over-seers of the Congress- I Command are overwhelmingly minorities. Important government and constitutional positions are also being systematically allotted to them since 2004. An extra constitutional body, National Advisory Council was formed by the I-Command Congress (I). Its members are picked up by the I-Command of the Congress. It is they who are directing the titular Prime Minister as to what he should do. In order to put down every Hindu dissent and resistance to the on rushing minority power, new bills like the Prevention of Communal Violence Bills are being proposed. There are enough number of collaborators and Quislings. It was Hindu Rajput ruler, Jaichand who invited Md. Ghoris to invade and destroy Pridhviraj and other Indian rulers thereafter in much of India. It was again an Indian Prince who helped the British to defeat and destroy another Indian Prince in Bengal and usher in the British rule. There enough number of Quislings in Bharat who to spite their adversaries, **would invite foreign rule**.

There is only one way of averting the loss of independence for Bharat and the revival of minority rule and servitude for Hindus in this country. **The minorities that is Muslims should be given separate electorate and their representation in legislatures should be limited to the proportion of their population immediately after partition and Independence. Then there would be no need for either the Congress or the regional parties to appeal for Muslims' votes**. Muslims will be elected by Muslims and rest of the population will elect non-Muslims. The view that it was separate electorate and communal representation in legislatures that led to the partition of India is proved false by the re-emergence of Muslim separatism with greater force, due to common electorate under which Moslems, as block, are deciding as to who would win. In the democracy of India, with adult franchise and dissimilar growth of population of different communities and especially when one community is deliberately wanting to increase its population to affect the outcomes of elections common electorate tilts the balance of power in favour of a deliberately proliferating minority voting as a block of faithful. Another danger is looming . The furious conversion of the very poor, innocent, indigent, ill-informed and ill-educated to commercially marketed Christianity and the unconcern de-

nationalised money-seeking sections of Hindus, is creating sizable Christian populations who are increasingly distancing themselves from Hindus and are becoming stridently separatist and communal imitating Muslims. It is possible that they would also demand separate electorate and proportional representation for their increasing population. It is quite possible that the so called secular that is, anti-Hindu parties like Congress and regional parties will cater to them also. There are already international plans backed by trillions of rupees flowing into India for the conversion enterprises to create Christian majority districts and states, by exploiting the fault lines in Hinduism. The spurious Dravidian - Aryan theory and **dalitism** are fuelled and fanned to end the so called Brahminic Hinduism. India is now entering into a disintegrating phase. The so called educated and intellectual class is shedding patriotism and anti-nationalism in the lure of globalisation. Over sixty years of Nehruvian “secularism” and socialism have discarded that education which would dwellled us into a stronger and stronger nation with a distinct culture and a message to the world which is heading towards hedonisms because of prosperity without morality and ethics. China is modernizing and globalizing without giving up its heritage ; indeed by reviving its Confucian heritage. It is not embracing **westernism or Americanism or so called secularism**. It has even given up the substance of Marxist and Communist dogma and is following the **Chinese path to a just and harmonious society**. That is because its intellectuals are not corrupted. They have self-confidence and self-respect. These are what have been waning among India’s leaders. That is why a national party with a glorious past has been taken over by an alien persons of no known merit and regional parties are becoming increasingly Jayachandist. May be the separate electorate and representation on the basis of the 1951 proportion of the “minority” i.e Muslim population can arrest the steep decline of India into the pre-1947 condition of hundreds of not Maharaja states but Muslim states and only a nominal Central Government, if at all.

Dt: 15/03/2012

The Menace of Regional Parties

Susri Mamata Benerjee, the I-Command of the Trinamul Congress prevailed upon the Prime Minister to relive her party man Sri Dinesh Trivedi from the Cabinet because he as the Railway Minister proposed increase in railway fares . This increase is absolutely necessary to restore the health of the Indian Railways which has not seen a passenger fare increase for the last eight years the railway ministers’ (regional party MPs since about two decades). There can be no cure without some pain. It is not possible that the operating cost is increased but the revenues don’t. This is not to deny that there could be improved efficiency and therefore a reduction in the operating ratio. The Railways are employing about 14 lakh people and yet they recruited 80,000 people in 2010-11. This year they would recruit 100,000 people. The recruitments are more for “employment” generation and not for doing much work. By giving fee reimbursements to the children of the railway employees Rs. 15,000 / Rs. 25,000 per child per year. The railway servants (parents) are withdrawing their children from the railway schools and admitting them in private schools. So much so that these railway schools are to be closed down for want of students! But the railways cannot retire the surplus teachers. Therefore continue to incur the expenditure. This is how unlimited welfare is imperiling the financial health of not only railways but the entire government itself.

However justified is the increase in fares, the populist regional party Trinamul Congress does not want the fares to be raised; whereas, where from the additional funds that are required for railways to improve safety, reconstruct century old bridges, to do away with unmanned level crossings, to improve the security will come, is not the concern of the regional satraps; on the other hand, she wants more and more packages of money for West Bengal for improvident spending.

The Dravidian parties of Tamilnadu want to influence the Government of India to pressurize Sri Lanka to give more and more autonomy to the Tamil -speaking north and east provinces. Actually the LTTE leading the Tamil speaking people wants Independence . This demand is fuelled

and the violent movement for it is funded by international Christianity. Just as the Christian eastern part of Indonesia was whipped into cessation, and ultimately got severed from Indonesia by international Christianity, the same interests want to sever Tamilnadu from India by promoting the theory that Tamils are Dravidian and that the Aryan north has been subjugating them. Whipping up Dravidian and dalit sentiment together, the project for breaking of India is what drives the LTTE in Sri Lanka and support for it in Tamilnadu. National parties, the Congress and the BJP are of no significance in Tamilnadu in the face of the Dravidian sentiment. It is pertinent to note that the largest amount of foreign funding for India's NGOs is coming to Tamilnadu Rs.1,663 cr in the year 2009-10. It is these foreign funded NGOs who are behind the opposition to the Kodumkulam nuclear power station.

In Andhra Pradesh, the Telugu Desam Party (TDP) & Telangana Rashtra Samiti (TRS) are competing for Muslims' votes by promising them higher reservations than even the Congress - Congress - 4.5 %; TDP-9% & TRS-12%. The Congress -I led UPA in its bid to continue in power wants to placate the TRS by promises of packages of tens of thousands of crores of rupees for "development". Several such packages given to Kashmir in the hope that its secessionist movement will cease, have only increased their appetite more packages of money and independence. Packages of money for the north-eastern states have all gone down into the pockets of the collaborating leaders but the secessionist sentiment does not go away – it is in fact kept alive to milk the center with more packages of money.

The greatest menace of the regional parties is coming from UP. It was the Muslim population of UP which solidly backed the Muslim League in pre-independence days for the partition of India and creation of the Islamic State of Pakistan (and Bangladesh). Few of those Pakistan loving Muslims of UP migrated to the home land they created for themselves. In UP they are proliferating furiously and now constitute about 20% . The State has the most rabidly casteist parties -Samajwadi, the BSP and the Rastriya Lokdal . All these parties are in competition with Congress to promise more and more reservations, welfare, madrasas, bank credit, Urdu to Muslims. In fact, while the Congress and

BJP are relegated to the fourth and third positions and are more or less irrelevant, the contest there like in Tamilnadu is between two regional parties the SP and the BSP. The winner decided **not by the Hindu castes but by the solid Muslim vote**. The regional parties are therefore promising more and more of what are increasingly demanded by Muslims. Some cities have become cradles for growing jihadis and sheltering foreign injected jihadis into India. The BSP (& the SP) are so compromised with the Muslims that a Muslim minister in the cabinet of Mayawati promised Rs.50 cr reward for anyone who would behead the writers and publishers of some cartoons in Denmark, alleged to be disparaging to their Prophet. None took offence to this most communal and terroristic exercise of ministerial power. The reason is the regional parties are becoming hostage to the Muslim block vote, irrespective of what it means to the Indian Republic and its image as a sane, secular, democracy in the world.

The lesser said the better about the two regional parties in J&K – the National Conference and PDP. They are competing with one another to demand more and more packages from India and autonomy for the state. Their communalism is so obvious that while they demand more and more autonomy for the entire state of J&K, they cannot give even due representation to the non-Muslim majority areas of Jammu & Laddakh. The fire of secession is kept up without any abatement despite trillions of rupees in packages being pumped into that State.

In Bihar Laloo Prasad's party, Rashtriya Janata Dal (RJD) is also totally casteist. It is in rivalry with Ram Vilas Paswan's Lok Janashakti Party that is also purely casteist. Both these parties woo Muslims, Sri Ram Bilas Paswan's party campaigned among Muslims by projecting and displaying an Osama Bin Laden's look-alike in its party's rallies.

The ministers nominated by the regional parties in the coalition government in Delhi have been demanding and getting the most lucrative ministries like telecoms, railways, civil aviation, high ways, and mines. The Prime Minister, himself being a rootless non-politician nominated by the I-Command for his political in significance and grateful loyalty, is a mute

spectator of what regional satraps do in different ministries not with Congress. That is why we are having an unprecedented number of scams, scandals and involving loot not millions but billions of rupees. Worse, instead of the black money staying in India it is flown abroad into safe heavens. If that money remained within India, it would have been invested in buildings, housing, cold storages ware-houses and industry.

In the contest for votes, the regional parties and the Congress are engaged in promising more and more welfare, more loans to all sections of people to be ultimately written off (for buying votes). They are spending more and more money on varieties of welfare. As Rajiv Gandhi has famously put in his Congress centenary speech in Mumbai in 1985, 85% of the welfare money is not reaching the targeted poor and weaker sections. It is turning into black money pushing up the cost of buying votes and adding to the wealth of those who are in control of government thus increasing the inequality. Rising numbers of persons of high net worth show-up this inequality. These are the New Class in socialist India. Allocations for defense are awfully inadequate and therefore India's ability to withstand aggression from our hostile neighbors including the supposedly weak Bangladesh is almost nil. Our Chief of Army Staff publicly declared that India's armed forces have weapons and ammunition for **three days of warfare**! China is spending three times more on its armed forces, than India. Within India, the security is compromised because that section of the population which belongs to a transnational umma is providing shelter and support services for those who are waging jihad and terrorism in India. Even if the intelligence agencies have information, the security and police forces are instructed not to those involved in the jihadi networks. If at all they are apprehended and tried and convicted, the punishment is not allowed to be given as exemplified by not carrying out the death sentence on Afzal Guru who wanted to finish the entire Parliament of India in the year 2001. As the all-India parties become weaker and get led by ignoble persons, regional parties are gaining upper hand and are in a position to dictate weak central government, holding it to ransom for, even if one or two parties pullout of the coalition, the government falls. **The prospect for the year 2014 is that the Congress may lose even some of the existing**

seats but BJP will gain no more. The regional parties will get more numbers and it is likely that all of them together may form a wobbly government which will be dictated to by the regional parties. In consequence the defence and security of India will greatly suffer and the disintegrationist forces will seriously strengthen themselves. The great edifice of a strong united India that Sardar Patel built by integrating over 600 States of Rajas, Maharajas and Nawabs may disintegrate, this time into religion - based and caste -based states wanting autonomy and Independence with encouragement from India's enemies across the borders. The economic development unleashed by Sri P.V.Narasimha Rao's liberalisation and "Integrate India" programmes like national highways and telecoms launched by Atal Bihari Vajpayi will decline and will be preyed upon by foreign faiths and economic imperialists.

Dt: 21/03/2012

Media Must Have Duties, Not Only Rights

Knowledge will forever govern ignorance and people who mean to be their own governors must arm themselves with the power which knowledge gives.

– James Madison

(Inscription at the entrance to the Library of Congress)

The press, broadcast Radio and TV are enjoying all the freedoms that an open, democratic society and state value. In 1995, the Supreme Court's Js. Chinnapa Reddy ruled that airwaves are a property of the nation and not of the state. The state is using airwaves through Aakasavani and Doordarshan and after a lot of agitation it gave up monopoly on both. It has been selling the air waves, that is spectrum both for short wave and FM radio and TV. In the process it is making good money. It is making fantabulous money by selling spectrum for mobile telephone services also.

While it is good that the monopoly on the use of radio waves is ended, the beneficiaries, **enterprises in the private sector are misusing**

the radio waves by broadcasting vulgar and worthless programs that instead of informing, inspiring, elevating and igniting the intelligence of people are idiotising them.

We are having 500 TV channels, 200 more are waiting for licence. We don't need so many of them. In competition for the listener market, **they are pandering to the lowest and ignoble tastes of people.** Who has the time to wisely choose good ones among 500 channels?

Why do we need 24 hour broadcast ?

The private channels are purveying worthless trifle events and base emotions and criminal happenings. They are debasing human tastes; not refining them.

China has only 34 TV channels and has laid down the program should be and for what proportion of the time. This is very wholesome regulation, worth emulating by India. It reduced 128 entertainment programs to 38. We need to do so.

There are about 400 FM channels in 86 cities in India - 839 more FM radio channels are going to be licenced for 227 more cities. These are on the air for 24 hrs. **Presentations are vulgar; the language used is repulsive. They have nothing to educate or inform people. We don't need them for 24 hrs.**

Though state intervention is not normally welcome, but when vulgarity and ugliness and ignoble programs are broadcast 24 hrs a day, surely **that is injurious to public health, morality and decency and culture.**

We should have legislation for:

- Restricting this broadcasts for a few hour spells, at intervals just like in Akasvani and Doordarsan.
- We must lay down regulations that these channels should air specific proportions of total content for education, culture, classical music and dances, literature, science & technology and current affairs besides news.

Akasvani and Doordarsan carry news slanted in favour of the party that is controlling the government at the Union level. If private individuals and corporations and political parties and religious bodies engaged in conversion can be given, licences for radio and TV channels, **state governments should also have license to have their own Radio & TV channels.**

The ownership of news papers and periodicals is increasingly going into the hands of business men and businesses. Some are indirectly owned by nationals/ enterprises of foreign countries. **Their edit pages are plugging their ideologies.** Contrary views by way of letters or short articles refuting the ideologically presented views and news are not being published. **Right of rebuttal for readers should have to be guaranteed by legislation.** (Sri Manubhai Shah of Consumer Education & Research Council (CERC), Ahmedabad had once won a case in the Mumbai High Court against the Life Insurance Corporation which refused an article of his rebutting the claims of LIC. The LIC had, by Court order to publish Manubhai Sha's rebuttal even in their House Journal).

A number of news papers especially those with the highest circulation are printing **women's pictures almost in the nude, insulting womanhood and promoting prurience.** If the greed for advertisement money and readership are not controlled public morality and social behavior will be adversely affected.

Cell phones 950 mln and Internet 220 mln are becoming more and more powerful and extensive media for information exchange. The social networks, You-Tube, Face book (875 mln), Twitter, Blogs, Pinterest and many more coming up are becoming more powerful media. They have no borders. Extensive public discussion is necessary as to **what extent, in what manner and by whom anti-social anti-national and subversive activities or information is not supported by them.**

Haj Subsidies

One of the abominable insults to the secular nature of our constitution and government is Haj subsidies; that is Government of India giving huge subsidies for Muslims for their pilgrimage to a foreign land Saudi Arabia to its city Mecca. No Muslim country in the world gives subsidies for their Muslim citizens' for their Haj pilgrimage But Jawaharlal Nehru the shining secular warrior of India himself introduced the Haj subsidies in the 1950s. That was purely with a view to please Muslims and get votes from them to make up for the declining support from other Indians to the Congress. That Muslims have grown to such numbers as to determine the fortunes of every political party in this country, in every contest. In about 25% of the Lok Sabha constituencies and in states like UP & Bihar, Muslims decide which party would rule. For example, it is the switch of Muslims votes from Mayawathi's BSP to Mulayam Singh's Samajwadi party that dethroned the former and enthroned the latter. It is very much so in West Bengal also. That is why Mamata has committed the "secular" government to the establishment of 10,000 madrasas, giving payment of Rs. 2,500 p/m to every Imam and Rs. 1000 p/m to every Muslim who calls the Azaan (call to Muslims for prayer) from the mosque. She has increased the scholarships about ten fold. Manmohan Singh the current "secular" warrior, a refugee from Pakistan has launched the Muslim First programs and is developing 90 districts where Muslims are decisive in any election, to ultimately become Muslim majority areas and then become Kashmir valley (from where Hindus would be made to flee)

Great persons like Subramanyam Swamy and Praful Goradia, Editor of the journal, Jansangh Today filed PILs in the High Court of Delhi and in the Supreme Court to put an end to Haj subsidy. In February 2010, a Brahmin judge of Kashmiri origin and another Brahmin lady judge upheld the payment of subsidy to the Haj pilgrims saying that the government is also spending some money for bandobasth for Hindus' festivals like Kumbhamelas and Amarnath Yathra. The moral corruption in the judgment which draws a parallel between a palpably communal act like Haj subsidy amounting to Rs. 800 cr per year (and increasing)

with the law and order arrangements whether they be for Kumbhamela or a political demonstration is too evident.

In April 2012, another bench of the Supreme Court which included a Muslim luminary ruled that the Haj subsidy is unbecoming of a secular state and government. They drew the attention of government to the Holy Koran in which the Prophet had said that Haj pilgrimage should be made by only such Muslims who have the financial means to undertake the Haj. Decent Muslims in India have welcomed this decision of the Supreme Court and especially the Court's reference to the injunction in the Holy Koran.

Haj subsidy is not the only zezia-like levy on Hindus. Why should a secular government fund madrasas which are purely Muslim religious schools? Why should the secular State pay Imams and Kazis and minority educational institutions which are purely for the preservation of and promotion and propagation of Islam or Christianity. Government would do well to terminate the Haj subsidy not over a period of 10 years as the supreme Court said but forthwith.

The evil and discriminatory subsidy for Muslims has its reaction. In Andhra Pradesh the first ever Christian Chief Minister Y.S. Rajasekhara Reddy introduced subsidy for Christians making their pilgrimage to Jerusalem. Not only that; the Government created the Andhra Pradesh State Christian Finance Corporation as distinct from Muslims. The Government of Andhra Pradesh is allotting funds to these financial institutions and minority welfare department for promoting Islam and Christianity. For eg. the Christian Minority Welfare Department in Andhra Pradesh advertised seeking applications from every village for the building of a church for which purpose the government would give Rs. 2 lakhs! Is it not subversion of the secular nature of the state and government? Tax monies are spent for the construction of churches and Mosques and for subsidies for Muslim and Christian pilgrims while Hindus are to pay when they go on a pilgrimage or visit a temple.

It is absolute sign of the degeneration of Hindus that they have become insensitive to the creeping resurgence of the rule of Muslims Christians

like in the times of Sultans and Moghuls and during the British rule over them. It is baffling as to why the BJP which says that is the only truly secular party and which was founded to defend the interest of Bharatiyas, is ineffective in preventing the re-imposition of zezia, this time not only for the benefit of Muslims but even Christians. The self-respect and self-confidence and reverence for dharma that inspired Pridhviraj, Bappa Raval, Rana Pratap, Guru Govind Singh. The Vijayanagara Kings and the Marathas seem to have evaporated among Hindus. What is left is the carcass of caste and not the spirit of dharma and the resolve to defend this community dharma from the onslaughts of predatory missionary enterprises and jihadi gangs.

Dt: 31/05/2012

Is the Jagan Phenomenon Unique and Unprecedented?

If one reads the Telugu news papers and views the state's TV channels one would think that Jagan's doings are unprecedented and most criminal.

This is a superficial and imperfect appraisal.

When Indira Gandhi was the Prime Minister, her son Sanjay Gandhi exercised extra-constitutional power. He aspired to be an industrialist and a magnificent businessman. He founded the Maruti car company. He told the nation that he would produce a peoples car to sell at Rs. 50,000. The Congress Chief Minister of Haryana Sri Bansilal gave him a few thousand acres, some government land and much more land forcibly acquired from farmers. To raise the capital for the Maruti company, Sanjay Gandhi sold dealerships. Those who wanted to buy that car had to book in advance (and if my memory is right) pay up Rs.10,000. How could Sanjay Gandhi do this? It was purely because he was the son of the Prime Minister and the Prime Minister's office managed the affairs for Sanjay Gandhi.

Because the father, Dr. Y.S.Rajasekhara Reddy was the Chief Minister (like Indira Gandhi being the Prime Minister) the Chief Minister's office (like the PM's office) managed to get everything for the son Jagan

Mohana Reddy. Take the case of VANPIC. Just as Bansilal gave government land and forcibly acquired private land for Sanjay Gandhi's Maruti car project, a minister in YSR government gave thousands of acres of land to the VANPIC project (like for the Maruti project). Many companies were squeezed and pressurized to invest money in Jagan's (Chief Minister's son's) companies (just like forced dealers and enticed buyers made to put money into the Maruti co). Unfortunately, when the Emergency was over and the Janata party defeated Indira Gandhi's party, things fell apart. There was the Shah Commission inquiring into the excesses of Emergency including those of Sanjay Gandhi. (like Jagan's excesses being probed only after the Chief Minister father's death). In the post-Emergency period, Sanjay's Maruthi company was disbanded; taken over by the government and a new company emerged with Japanese collaboration. That is the present Maruti company. Government completely disinvested from it. It is now purely a private company with Japanese collaboration.

All policy decisions are taken in the Cabinet. All ministers have joint responsibility. The ministers in charge of a specific Department (s) have implementational responsibility and responsibility for the detailed orders and actions for implementing the policy decision of the Cabinet. The various allotments of lands, mines and projects and contracts were all cabinet decisions. Is it not surprising that none of the cabinet ministers is owning any responsibility for the miscarriage and distortion of the policies? Were they ignorant or were they silent abettors of the wrong doings?

If these ministers have any integrity and honesty should they not accept publicly that they had no independent existence in YSR's cabinet and so simply signed on whatever was proposed by YSR the Chief Minister. If they are honourable men, they should admit to the dereliction of the duty to which they swore, apologise to the people and resign. They are not doing so. Therefore people suspect that each one of them had used his position for corrupt gains in some matters in his departments.

How could persons like Nimmagadda Prasad be faulted for investing in Jagan's companies at very high premiums? Many investors think that they are very wise and clever and that is why they invest in companies that are even newly floated and come up with initial public offering (IPOs) or private placement. This particular investor calculated that the companies floated by the son of the Chief Minister, the Chief Minister YSR is sustaining the Congress party not only in Andhra Pradesh but in the entire country and therefore he is very influential and through him, one can get anything even from the central government. Since the company is that of the Chief Minister's son, it will do extremely well and the share price would go up soon. When it goes up he can sell and make profit. This is what ordinary investors think. Otherwise, they would not invest. Can this be a crime? One may attribute quid-pro-quo. In any transaction if one is losing, it will not be entered into; both people think that they gain. That is how one gives something and takes something.

How can Jagan, a very young man make so much fortune in so short a time? Rajiv Gandhi was not in politics for long before he became the Prime Minister. He was a pilot of the Indian Airlines. Only after the death of his brother Sanjay Gandhi in 1980, he joined the Congress at the behest of his mother, Prime minister Indira Gandhi. Within four years he inherited the Prime Ministership of India on the death of Prime Minister mother. He was Prime Minister only for five years and at the end of it, the party that he led was defeated and lost the majority in the Parliament. And yet he is considered to be the greatest, wisest and most intellectual Prime Minister of India. More than 400 schemes and projects are named after him. This number is larger than the number of projects and schemes named after Mahatma Gandhi, Jawaharla Nehru and Indira Gandhi put together. That shows that extraordinary people like Rajiv Gandhi can become immortal, famous, celebrity and honoured even if they work for a very short time. So time that one has to work to become rich and mighty depends upon the circumstance of birth; that is into which family one is born. Kushwant Singh, the famous journalist - writer wrote of Sanjay Gandhi, "**the rise and rise of Sanjay Gandhi shows what the youth of India can accomplish provided they are born**

properly". That is, born in a proper family like the Prime Minister or that of the Chief Minister. Jagan was born in a Chief Minister's family just as Sanjay and Rajiv were born in the Prime Minister's family. So Jagan's rise could be meteoric; no wonder.

Some are asking through what accomplishments has Jagan become so rich in so short a time. Forbes journal has recently listed the world's richest people. **Sonia Gandhi is listed as the fourth richest person in the world**. What inheritance has she got? What profession did she practice? What business did she carry on in order to become the fourth richest person in the world? According to the Time Magazine, Sonia Gandhi is one of the top ten most powerful politicians in the world. Why could not Jagan be similarly the richest in the quickest of time?

The accusers of Jagan are saying that his father looted the money and indulged in the highest extent of corruption to benefit his son. Put this to the common people. What are they saying. "Yes. YSR might have looted and amassed wealth but he looted the government and not us. Every Chief Minister before him also was alleged to have looted. None of the earlier Chief Ministers gave to the people as much as YSR did. He gave the Aarogya Sree, fee reimbursement, Indiramma houses, pavalavaddi loans to women, wrote off so many loans, gave to farmers amounts in addition to what the central government gave, he gave money to build churches and mosques, he gave subsidy for Christians' pilgrimage to Jerusalem; he launched Jala yagnam, he introduced English medium in schools. Therefore he has done more good to us than any harm. Maybe he amassed wealth but who has not? No politician, no ex-minister or MLA is poor. In fact, from the declaration of their assets and worth at the time of filing their nominations for elections, it is seen that everybody's wealth has increased. Even Dalit leader, Mayawathi became hundreds of crores worth. So politics make poor leaders rich; ministers become richer; the Chief Minister and High Command and its messengers become even more rich. So what is the immorality that can be particularly attributed either to YSR or to Jagan?

YSR's money collections were not unknown to the I-Command in Delhi. Could he have kept all the money for himself and for his son without giving a lot to those in Delhi who have given him the opportunity to lead the Congress to victory, not once but twice in Andhra Pradesh. Are all the moralists and accusers of YSR and Jagan prepared to demand for an independent commission of eminent persons to find out how much money could have been collected, in what manner, from what projects and schemes and how much of it was sent to Delhi, to whom and how much was kept for the family and how much was spent for the party's victories in A.P. Could any of the ministers or MLAs say that he has not benefited because of YSR's favours?

In a recent debate on a Telugu channel, the panelists recalled that the Prime Minister Manmohan Singh had said very emphatically and publicly that in Andhra Pradesh there has been crony capitalism since the year 2004. That means the PM knew what was happening whether they be deeds of Dr YSR or Jagan Mohan Reddy or cabinet ministers. Why did he keep quiet? Obviously, because he and his appointer are also beneficiaries. As long as tribute was paid, the PM could view crony-capitalism with equanimity, if not approval. But when once the Dynasty wants to punish the disobedient, the PM gives full and free leash to the tormentors of Jagan. This is nothing but total immorality and abetment of wrong doing for private benefit.

There is no inner party democracy within in many parties. The I-Command nominates the Pradesh Chiefs and Chief ministers. They are not responsible for anybody in the state(s); they are not accountable to anybody; their loyalty and allegiance is to the I-Command; they hold office at the pleasure of the I-Command. Their collections are known to the I-Command. Whosoever dares to be disloyal or independent will be destroyed. The party history will tell that – Subhash Chandra Bose, Tanguturu Prakasam Pantulu, Purushottam Das Tandon, Dwaraka Prasad Misra, Nijalingappa, Morarji Desai, Devaraj Urs....were all humiliated defamed and discarded

Recall the pompous, adulatory assertion of a Congress(I) President, Devkant Barua: "Indira is India; India is Indira". Substitute the present

ruler's name; you will prosper and flaunt – for some time; not for eternity. Whose name is now more sung and celebrated – surely not Mahatma Gandhi's.

Finally, it would appear that the **law taking its own course for years and decades** will be unable to incriminate and punish anybody. What all has happened has happened in public view and everybody holds it is immoral. But morality in public life has been devalued and discarded progressively from the time of Independence, from Jawaharlal Nehru's Prime Ministership onwards. Power and positions are being inherited. Democracy of and for the people has degenerated into proprietorship of parties.

Dt: 1/06/2012

The First And Essential Steps For Bringing Down Corruption

It is perplexing as to how with great moral indignation every politician talks about corruption as a great evil in this country. Further they demand that corruption should be eliminated. This is even as 142 members of the Parliament are, according to the CBI having criminal cases against them. It does not require extraordinary intelligence and knowledge to know that without spending huge amounts, no candidate can fight any election and that the amounts that they are spending are several times more than the limits prescribed by the Election Commission. Tens of crores of rupees have been confiscated from the electioneering people. In the by-elections in June 2012 in Andhra Pradesh even before the final date for nominations, over Rs. 40 cr of cash being carried by electioneers had been confiscated. It is obvious that there is a tremendous amount of black money with the candidates and their parties. In A.P it is common that at the gross roots workers who are mobilizing voters and canvassing for votes are paid daily wages. In order to save from their wages, it is also common to see that the agents of all the principal contesting parties (Congress, TDP & YSR Congress) are moving together in common

vehicles to distribute the money. The same family of voters is visited by all the agents of the candidates at the same time and each one is giving a “packet” to the head of the family. The saying is that for the pleasure of the candidates, the voter is accepting the packets of money and for his own pleasure, he is voting to whomsoever he likes. If the voter is asked whether it is not a corrupt practice to take money and vote, he says that after all, all the black money being distributed is peoples money, it has been looted by the candidates and parties. A part of what they have looted, is coming back as - ‘Nagadu badly’ – that is transfer of cash. This time on the occasion of elections. It is common knowledge that in Andhra Pradesh in the coastal areas a candidate for the Legislative Assembly is spending Rs. 5 to Rs. 10 cr and a candidate for the Lok Sabha is spending Rs. 15 to Rs.30 cr. A very senior sitting MP from Guntur district has given a statement that he has been spending Rs. 15 cr during every election for the last several elections and yet he is not rewarded with a ministership while those who defected from other parties and joined the ruling party are rewarded with ministerships. Obviously ministership enables amassing more money.

If there is a sincere and serious intent to eliminate corruption, the first activity to be tackled is candidates’ expense on election. There is an ICT-based system. It cuts down over 80% of the expenses. Briefly, it envisages that all electioneering will be only through the electronic media; that is through the 750 and odd TV channels that are on the air for 24 hrs a day. Every one of which will be required for say 15 days before balloting to make available say 12 hours a day time for the contestants to speak to the viewers and take questions live online from the viewers. Independent; intelligent anchors may be commissioned to engage contestants in debates. A law can be passed to require all channels to give time free, according to a time table laid down by the Election Commission. Voting will be electronic. There is a camera with every electronic machine. Every voter has a pre-assigned number and his photo is in the electronic store. He appears before the voting machine and keys in his number. His image the camera captured is compared with that in the electronic store. If they tally, a green signal comes; the voter

can press the button for the candidate he votes for; the vote is registered and a paper-trail print-out is served to him by the EVM. If there are to be any meetings they should be only in closed spaces like auditoria. That this is quite feasible has been accepted by the great advocates and evangelists of e-governance persons like Chandra Babu Naidu Sri L.K.Advaniji was given a presentation. Yet since expense-less ICT-based election does not give any advantage, no politician is prepared to legislate for it. There will be enough number of doubting Thomases who say that all electronic voting can be rigged. Such people in directly perpetuate voter purchase system and so black money through corruption.

When Sri Neelam Sanjeeva Reddy was the President of India and Indira Gandhi was the Prime Minister, there was widespread talk of Abdul Rahman Anthulay’s corruption. Antulay was Chief Minister of Maharashtra. There was acute shortage of cement. Its distribution was controlled by government. Antulay was giving permits in return for donations for his Indira Prathibha Prathistan. Hundreds of crores of rupees were reportedly collected. Dr. Reddy wrote a letter to Indira Gandhi about the stinking reports of Antulays corruption. He suggested to Indira Gandhi that if ever there is one person who could stop corruption that is, herself; that is, Indira Gandhi, because she is wielding immense power both in government and in the party and in the country. Lindiraji indignantly replied that Dr. Reddi was indirectly suggesting that she was the source of corruption; she added that her grandfather, father and her family had made immense sacrifices and gave their most valuable properties to the nation and so she had no need to be corrupt. To which Neelam Sanjeeva Reddy spiritedly replied that Nehru family was not the only one which made sacrifices. In fact, in return for the sacrifices made, her father, herself and her aunt and so many other relatives have been enjoying the nations property and its high positions while the progeny of people like Tanguturi Prakasam and Babu Rajendra Prasad and many others are living in penury. I am referring to this episode to reiterate the validity of what Neelam Sanjeeva Reddy said corruption can be stopped only by the most powerful in the nation who has the will and determination to do so.

Undoubtedly, for the past few years in India, **the only source of power and absolute power is Sonia Gandhi**. The regional politicians like Lallo Prasad Yadav, Mulayam Singh Yadav, Mayawati, Karunanidhi and many others are all under leash because their histories of corruption are all with madam Sonia Gandhi. It is universally believed that Y.S Rajasekhara Reddy was Sonia Gandhi's confidante during his lifetime. Within a period of six years as Chief Minister of A.P he is believed to be collected more than Rs. 100,000 cr through various means and most of it has been sent to Delhi and only a little part is with his son and with some other party leaders, mostly his cabinet colleagues. Some had been spent to ensure victory for Congress. An internationally famous and for long wealth-estimating and rating journal Forbes gave out that Sonia Gandhi is the fourth wealthiest person in the world. The TIME magazine has rated Sonia as one of the most powerful ten persons in the world. Obviously, if anybody can suppress corruption and punish the corrupt, Soniaji is in the most appropriate position. If this illustrious lady is really intent upon curbing corruption, just as Neelam Sanjeeva Reddy suggested then, and as every patriot will endorse now, **she should come out with a statement of all the properties that she holds in her name and the property all her family members hold.** The Indian Company Law defines who are members of the family; they are not only spouse but also daughters, sons, son-in-law, brother-in-law, sister, grandson, granddaughter, sambandhi etc. They are all said to be connected and so every Director of a company has to declare their names. If the Company Law can have such a requirement, may not we expect that persons of the stature of Sonia and Prime Minister and Chief Minister should also publicly declare who their relatives are and what are their properties. Neelam Sanjeeva Reddy's request to Indira Gandhi then is relevant to our request to Soniaji now. She alone can do anything that can be effective in reducing corruption and bringing out the black money.

It is with great apprehension that I am writing this public appeal to her. Soniaji has promised zero tolerance to black money and corruption. I trust she would receive every suggestion that shows the way to her to put down corruption. After her own disclosure, she should challenge all

prominent persons in public life and all billionaire businessmen to follow suit. Then all public and civil society will take up the battle. We may largely reduce corruption.

Dt: 11/06/2012

If this is not crisis what else is?

- Rs. 1 lakh cr had been withdrawn by foreign investors from India in the three months March, April May 2012. The value of the rupee has fallen to over Rs. 56 to a dollar from Rs. 44 a few months ago. It is now going downhill towards Rs. 60s to a dollar.
- Foreign companies are wanting to exit India because of the most immoral and investor -unfriendly move to charge capital gains retrospectively by circumventing the judgment of the Supreme Court of India as for example, in the case of Vodafone.
- The current account balance of trade is adverse to India to the extent of over \$160 bln; that is about Rs. 90,000 cr in the year. It is now 4% of GDP up from 2.7% in 2010-11.
- India's credit rating is downgraded to, **speculative grade** by the global ratings agency **Standard and Poor** on Monday 11, June. It said, "the division of roles between Prime Minister Manmohan Singh and UPA Chairperson Sonia Gandhi has led to weakening of the frame-work for making economic policy. Moreover, **paramount political power rests with the leader of the Congress party Sonia Gandhi who holds no cabinet position, while the government is led by an unelected Prime Minister, Manmohan Singh who lacks political base of his own.**" Standard and Poor titles its report, "will India be the first BRIC's Fallen Angel?" In April it already lowered India's **rating to negative from stable.**
- The Finance Minister says that **not all the money of India in foreign banks is black!** This is a cover-up for many influential

politicians and friendly businessmen who had been given enough time to ingeniously convert the black money into white. There can be no worse shameless protection of those who have hoarded black money abroad and feed the ruler and the leading ruling party in UPA II.

- In order to appease Resident Non- Indians (RNIs), **Vandemataram**, even the shortened version **had been removed from the text books** in government schools in Andhra Pradesh.
- The Government of Andhra Pradesh has been prevailed upon by RNIs and their appeasers to appeal against the judgment of the High Court of Andhra Pradesh that 4.5% reservation on the basis of religion for Muslims from out of the 27% meant for backward classes is unconstitutional. Wisely, the Supreme Court refused to vacate the stay the A.P High Court imposed
- In execution of the Js. Sachar Committee report on Muslim backwardness and alleged discrimination against them, Government of India has directed the state governments to **post at least one Moslem police -man in every police station in the country**. The jihadis will now get excellent cover and non-exposure
- In a repeat performance of Bhajanlal of the Janata Party who in 1980 turned over his entire Legislature Party to the Congress-I led by Indira Gandhi, Chiranjeevi turned over his Praja Rajyam party in Andhra Pradesh wholesale, to the Sonia—led Congress. In return, he got a seat in the Rajya Sabha and two of his party men got cabinet posts in Andhra Pradesh. Rs.350 million of cash found in his Sambandhi's house would now be white money. From sale of votes, MLAs, MPs, we have progressed to **sale of political parties**.
- It was a Minister of the Congress-led UPA-II, Sri Veerappa Moily who was in- charge of A.P Congress affairs that got the signatures of A.P's MLAs that Jagan should be made the Chief Minister consequent upon his father's death. Since this is not

acceptable to the I-Command, **Jagan is set upon by all the investigative and enforcement authoritatives**. The six cabinet ministers in YSR's government who signed away the states' properties in favour of Jagan are untouched as they swear abject allegiance to the I-Command.

- The I-Command declared zero tolerance to corruption. But there is total silence about the Rs. 100,000 cr that had been collected by the former Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh despite this collection being within the knowledge of Prime Minister and the I-command. 70% of the collections were gifted to the I-Command. If corruption is to be tackled the I-Command who is also the fourth wealthiest in the world and one of the most ten powerful politicians in the world, has to come out with an account of the wealth of herself and her relatives. (as Director of Indian companies are required to furnish under the Indian Companies Act)
- The advisers of the I-Command are all RNIs-. Gulam Nabi Azad, Salman Khurshid, Ahmed Patel, Oscar Fernandez, Vincent George and a convert, Smt. Ambica Soni.
- The Members of the National Advisory Council (an unconstitutional body) are also RNIs, Hindu-baiters. They advise the president of a party, Congress (I) but are paid by government! Is this not immoral loot of tax payer's money.

Avoid These:

*Commerce without ethics
Pleasure without conscience
Politics without principle
Knowledge without character
Science without humanity
Wealth without work
Worship without sacrifice
-Mahatma Gandhi*

Js. Rajinder Sochar Report - Re-hash of the Muslim Leagues (1938) Pirpur Report

In the wake of Second World War all the Congress ministries in the provinces resigned in 1939. The event was celebrated on Friday, 22 December 1939 as **Deliverance Day, deliverance of Muslims from the Hindu Congress rulers' oppression and enslavement of Muslims during 1937-'39.**

The All India Muslim League appointed a Committee under the chairmanship of the Raja of Pirpur (U.P). Raja Syed Mohammed Mahdi, a Mogul Scion. The Pirpur Report was published towards the end of 1938.

The Bihar Provincial Muslim League appointed another committee of Inquiry under the Chairmanship of S M Shareef. It produced in March 1939 a report of Congress Hindu atrocities on Muslims in Bihar.

A third Report on Muslim Sufferings Under Congress Rule was produced by Fazlul Huq of Bengal, in December 1939.

The Pirpur report held that the use of the Congress flag, the use of the National Song, Vande Mataram, the reverence paid to Mahatma Gandhi, the policy of cow protection and the use of Hindi etc., are **attacks on the civil and cultural rights of the Muslims.**

The atrocities committed by Congress (Hindus) Rule during 1937-'39, according to these reports were:

- Hindi is being foisted upon Muslims and their language Urdu is being eliminated
- Muslims are forced to sing Vande Mataram which is against their religion

- The Congress ministers were favoring Hindus and discriminating against Muslims
- Muslim culture is being eliminated
- Muslims and Islam are insulted. Police forces are in favour of Hindus.
- Recruitment of Muslims to government jobs is being reduced
- The Muslim religion is in danger of extinction by various acts of commission and omission by the Congress Hindu governments.
- Vidya Mandirs are established. Mandir means temple. So Muslim children are forced to worship idols.
- Wardha scheme of education, Nayee Taaleem (evolved by a committee headed by Dr. Zakir Hussain) is forced on Muslim children. **Dance and music are part of Nayee Taalim; they are repugnant to Islam. Muslim children are being forcibly Hinduised.**
- Congress is banning cow-slaughter. But beef is Muslims favourite food. So Hindu rule means denial of good food for Muslims.
- Hindu governments are praising Gandhi and non-violence. We cannot accept non-violence as a creed.
- Under Hindu Congress rule, Muslims are humiliated; Hindus are being arrogant towards Muslims.
- Hindus are taking processions in front of mosques.
- Azaan (Muezzin's call to Muslims for prayer) is being objected and obstructed

The Jamiat-ulama-i-Hind, an organization of Muslim divines **politically aligned to the Congress (!)** was critical of the Congress ideology. It criticised the Wardha education scheme of propagating that every religion of the world is true on the ground that this is un-Islamic. It further said that it could not subscribe to the Congress philosophy of non-violence as a creed but only as a policy; **it was of the view that non-violence could be contrary to the teachings of the Koran.** ... It suggested that the Congress policy and the philosophy were likely to subvert Muslims' culture and thus indirectly supported the allegations of the Muslim League against the Congress. In Jan 1942.... Jinnah told Mr. Lumley, the Governor of Bombay that Muslims had sampled the Congress rule (1937-'39) and **were determined not to submit to**

any constitution which would install (Hindu) Congress domination over the whole country. the general feeling among the Muslims of all classes was that the Hindu Raj had arrived. They held that the Wardha scheme of education did not allow freedom of thought, expression and discussion. It encouraged intolerance was creating uncertain future for urdu; ...Hindi is an imposition on Muslims”.

Even after Independence in August 1947, while India's Constitution was being drafted, “nationalist” Moslems, including Maulana Abul Kalam Azad, were pleading for reservations for Muslims. Sardar Patel was the Chairman of the Minorities Committee. Under his leadership, this Committee and there after the Consenbly, unanimously decided to do away with reservations for Moslems and other religious minorities (Is it not significant that Congress “nationalist” Muslims (like Maulana Azad in the past) Ghulam Nabi Azad,..Salman Khurshid etc., are again asking for reservations for Muslims ! Sardar Patel very aptly quipped that **the only nationalist Muslim in Congress was Jawaharlal Nehru**)

The Js. Sachar's report is more or less amplification and updation of the Pirpur Shareef and Fazlul Huq's reports of Hindu oppression of Muslims. It has said that Muslims present in government jobs, their admission to educational institutions credit for Muslims entrepreneurs are all reduced ; Muslims are living in fear for their life culture and religion and so on. Almost all the charges against the 1937-39 Congress governments are with a change in language incorporated in Sachar report. In the 1930s, the British were there to recognize Muslims' grievances as facts and help the Muslim League to gain almost the full support of all Muslims in India. The UPA-II government led by the lady of foreign origin and with a Prime Minister who has run away from Pakistan and taken refuge in India, have launched the **Muslims First** programs (to satisfy and uplift Muslims to parity with Hindus). What were done during the 11th Plan under **Muslim First** programs are given in the Annexure.

Now comes another recommendation to be implemented. Prime Minister Man Mohan Singh has written to all the State governments that **in every police station there should be at least one Muslim**

policeman. This is damnation not only of the secular nature of the state but of Hindus in their entirety. The Prime Minister and his government are believing that the entire police force is Hindu- biased and is oppressive of and insensitive to Muslims. Very soon, the same should be extended to Christians also. Progressively, Hindus will be depicted as murderers of Muslims and Christians; minorities. That would be the justification for passing the **Prevention of Communal and Targeted Violence Bill**. The bill presumes that every riot is started only by Hindus; it provides that whosoever is complained against by the minorities should be immediately arrested. The complaint could be anonymous. Any speech or a song alleged to be offensive to the minority (Muslims & Christians). Can be complained against as offending the minorities. Police are obliged to act upon it. They should also take appropriate action against the person and inform the complainant periodically.

The rot and offensive on Hindus began when Indira Gandhi was the Prime Minister. Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed was the minister in the Congress government in Assam. He was facilitating the mass illegal influx of Bangladeshi Muslims into Assam. On extensive complaints and agitations from “Communal” Hindus, Indira Gandhi brought Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed into her cabinet in Delhi to reduce his facilitation of the illegal influx of Bangladeshi Muslims into Assam. In September 25, 1969 there was the founding convention for Organisation of Islamic Conference (OIC) in Rabbat, Morocco . Indira Gandhi sent her Cabinet minister, Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed **with an application seeking India's admission into the OIC**. India, by its Constitution is a secular State. It did not occur to Indira as to how a secular state should join the Islamic Conference as a Member country. The organizers of the OIC were wiser. They did not admit India into their conference. They said that unless India is declared as a Muslim country or as an Islamic Republic, it would not be admitted into their OIC. So the efforts to please Muslims initiated by Jawaharlal Nehru by the Haj pilgrimage subsidy Act in 1959, has been growing in scope and intensity. By now, this country has come to be ruled by people not of this country's origin. Actions for re- subjugation of Hindus to alien rule intensified and since Hindus are de-Indainised by secular education, initiated by the Nehru's Dynasty, the onslaught of aliens is

increasing, in a creeping manner. It is facilitated by secularists, Marxists, leftists, progressives, Maoists, conversion enterprises and vote-hungry regional parties whose proprietary leaders are casteists, establishing Dynastic leadership/ownership of their own like that of India's oldest party, now taken over by the I-Command.

Annexure:

The UPA-II government has proudly advised the following special benefits and privileges conferred on the Muslim minority during the 11th five-year plan period

- The plan is the 15 point special programme of the Prime Minister for the benefit of minorities
- 14.1 mln Muslim students had been given scholarships.
- For Muslims upto year 2011 Rs. 1,54,799 cr of loans had been given in the priority sector.
- The % of Muslim employees in the Central Government has gone up from 6.93% to 11.99%
- Under the special loan giving scheme Rs. 877.21 cr had been distributed to 4,67,909 Muslims
- The equity of the National Minority Development Finance Corporation had been increased from Rs. 375 cr to Rs. 875 cr
- The Corpus Fund of Mualana Azad Education Foundation had been increased from Rs. 200cr to Rs. 750cr
- Government resolved to give 4.5% reservation to Muslims within the 27% meant for BCs.
- To develop Muslim villages, Rs. 3,734 cr had been sanctioned. Rs. 3,01,556 Indiramma Avas Yojana Houses, 27,797 Anganwadi centers, 686 madrasa schools; 13,825 additional class rooms; 332 hostels; 34,553 drinking water facilities; 2,624 health centers; 71 and 31 polytechnics had been opened in 90 districts where Muslims are in great numbers.
- To give post-metric scholarships online scholarship for 85 lakh Muslim students management system has been opened

- Special programs to develop Muslim women as leaders are launched.
- Special schemes to develop skills among Muslims are launched.
- All the wakf records are being computerized
(In A.P, government budget monies are given for repair and construction of Mosques, Haj House, Urdu Ghars, Shadi Khanas all for Muslims)

With all these, the **90 districts will soon become Muslim majority districts.** Hindus would be made to flee from there just as from Kashmir Valley. They are being developed so that they will ask for autonomy like in Kashmir and afterwards, ask for secession from India to form a Federation of Indian Pakistans. All the money meant for this development is being taken from Hindu tax payers. **This is undeclared zezia** which used to be imposed by Muslim rulers in India in medieval times and strictly enforced by Aurangzeb. It was this discriminatory treatment severely enforced by Aurangzeb that led to the resurgence of Hindu resistance to Islamic rule under the leadership of Chatrapati Sivaji. The Marathas established Hindu Pada Padashahi. The Muslim rule in India was wiped out, not Muslims. It is a historic fact that the **rulership of India passed to the British not from any Muslim ruler but from the Marathas and Sikhs.** The Marathas were beaten by the British because the latter had superior arms and there were enough Hindu traitors to collaborate with them. Even now there are many traitors like a former Chief Minister of a large state, who are collaborating for the restoration of the minority rule in the country.

Js.Rajendra Sachar committee report is an updated and inflated version of the Raja Pirpur report

- During the rule of the Congress in seven Provinces in 1937-39, the Muslim League appointed three committees headed by Raja of Pirpur, U.P Fazlul Hucq of Bengal and Shareef of Bihar. They produced reports that the Congress was Hinduising India and suppressing Muslims. Their main chargers were:
- Vandemataram is being sung in schools and in public meetings. This is offensive to Islam.

- These governments are talking of cow protection. Beef, the food of Muslims is being denied to them.
- They are using Hindi instead of Urdu in schools, there is Saraswathi vandana which is offensive to Muslims there was the Vidya Mandir scheme. The Hindu Congress governments are trying to make Muslims idol-worshippers and temple goers
- The Congress flag is being flown everywhere, which is offensive to Muslims. Processions are going in front of mosques
- The call, Aazan of the Muezzin is being obstructed Muslim culture and religion are being suppressed. Muslims are harassed and humiliated Muslim are being discriminated adversely for recruitment to government jobs and admissions to schools.
- There is a concerted move to make India a Hindu Rashtra. The Js. Sachar Report has more or less reiterated all these and goes beyond by suggesting what should be done. The Prime Minister Man Mohan Singh's Muslim First program of converting 90 districts in India into Muslim districts with special grants and facilities and privileges for development of Muslims is the outcome of Js. Sachar (Pirpur) Report.

Reservations for Muslims in Nehru-Liaquat Ali Pact

How ignoble it is for the Congress and other so called secular parties in India now to compete to promise reservations and proportional representation to Muslims and how shameful it is that "Secular" intellectuals (Js. Rajinder Sachar, Kuldip Nayar etc.) are pleading for reservation for Muslims in government & educational institutions on the basis of their ever increasing population these are the ones who fled from the Islamic state of Pakistan and have taken refuge in India! Their integrity and their strength of conviction could be believed only if they go back to the land where they were born which is Pakistan and exert themselves to use their punditry in the Islamic state of Pakistan to get for the impounded Hindus what they are seeking to provide for Muslims in India.

Dt: 18/06/2012

Tackling Maoist Insurgency – Tribal (like Muslim) First Programs And Special Administration

The violent Khalistan movement was considered to be a serious menace. It raged furiously but had been put down by the determined actions of H.S Gill. The government had the political will to put it down. It was being financed from abroad. The terrorists had sanctuaries across the borders in Pakistan. Yet, it was put down because Indira Gandhi showed the greatest determination, fully realising that she was risking her life and yet she sent the Indian Army to flush out the Khalistani rebels from the Golden Temple. She paid for her life but her patriotism and her determination shine brilliantly. They outshine her many follies and wrongs.

The Maoist menace in about 200 districts spread across the country but most virulent and effective and challenge to the Indian state is in the Andhra, Orissa, Jarkhand, Chattisgarh, Maharashtra areas of the Dandakaranya. There is a parallel government there. There seem to be factories producing mines and small arms. There is evidence that they are in league with the ISI of Pakistan. They have engaged India's armed police and have taken a heavy toll of them while the latter were engaged in combing operations. They are even able to mount pitched battles. They scored significant victories. Their victories are now publicly celebrated. Their activities are extensively reported in the Indian print media. **They have an above-ground infrastructure comprising of various outfits in the name of human rights, civil liberties, freedom of expression, right to life, preservation of environment and so on.** The above-ground Maoists are entrenched in the academia, among journalists and writers. These are in constant touch with the underground armed Maoists as frequently evidenced from the fact that the moment an encounter takes place, the above-ground Maoist writers and journalists talk to the Chief Minister and give out to the press that

so and so were caught but shot in a fake encounter. Maoists are routinely abducting tourists, government officers, MLAs and other prominent people. They hold them as hostage and bargain for the release in exchange of their jailed comrades. The above-ground Maoists are silent on these abductions. That means these are accepted instruments of their battles against the government. It is incomprehensible as to **why the ruling organs cannot pay the Maoist in their own coin by abducting their above-ground comrades and holding them as hostage for those abducted by the underground armed Maoists.**

The aim of the Maoist armies is obviously clear. They do not recognize the open multi-party elections and democracy. Their aim is to overthrow the government and **establish a dictatorship of the proletariat**; that is, their party, its Polit Bureau, themselves. That has been the aim of all armed communists whether it was in the Soviet Union or Latin American countries or India.

It is obvious that in our central government and in some political parties there is sympathy for the Maoists in their profession that they are defending the rights of tribals and the national wealth by not allowing ores from being extracted and exported. No person whatever be his political philosophy can oppose these rights and therefore, special development programs for Adivasis. Therefore when the Maoist and their above-ground outfits plead for intensified development in the Maoist affected areas, the general public also thinks that there is justification for the Maoist activity in the affected areas. This is nothing but misinformation and propaganda by the above ground agit-prop units of the Maoists. That in the central government also there is some lurking sympathy for Maoists is evident from what Jairam Ramesh, the Minister for Rural Development talks about. He says by having special development programs effectively implemented in the Maoist affected areas, tribals and others in the areas can be weaned away from armed struggles and that Maoists can be tamed.

Sri Jairam Ramesh is an all-knowing person. When he was in charge of environment, he stopped every project eg: PASCO steel plant in

Orissa for the last seven years. Now he will not allow any industrial or other development activity unless it is part of his rural development program. I have a suggestion to be implemented by Sri Jairam Ramesh under a special cabinet decision of the government. We have given lakhs of crores of rupees as packages for Kashmir in the hope that disaffection and terrorism would disappear. But we have not succeeded. Every succeeding package is more than the previous one. Money had been pocketed by the ruling few. There should therefore be a special legislation constituting an Autonomous Development Authority for the contiguous Mao affected areas in Andhra, Orissa, Maharashtra and Chattisgarh. It may be headed by Sri Jairam Ramesh. He may be aided by a Council of Ministers or advisors. Packages of funds may be kept at his disposal. This Council may consist of the above-ground Maoist professors, journalists and sociologists. They may plan development and implement the Tribal First programs. **If we can have Muslim First program for the development of Muslims in 90 districts in the country, under which according to a GOI advertisement in June 2012, during the 11th Plan period, GOI spent, under Muslim First programs Rs. 1,56,000 crores on a variety of schemes, we should rightly afford that much money to be spent upon our Adivasis in their areas.** A Five Year mandate may be given for the supremo, Sri Jairam Ramesh. His and his Council's office should be in the midst of the Maoist-affected forest region. There should be concurrent audit from the CAG as well as a periodic review by a committee of the Parliament. During this period there should be no armed encounters between the Maoists and the Union forces. The above ground Maoist professors, journalists, writers and poets and human rights activists must persuade the underground Maoists for accepting this special vehicle for the development of the Maoist affected areas. The acceptance of this **Mao First** program and the special administration will be a test both for the government and Maoist in their professed aims of true development.

Feudalisation of Indian Politics

One cannot but notice the progressive deterioration in the political life especially among political leaders in our country. The noble ideals of true public service, personal integrity and inspiring common people to aspire high, to patriotism and to self-help for self-advancement are all unfortunately extinct among the political class. Over time politics has become a profession for many otherwise unqualified people. It has become a very **highly paying business with least investment and minimum risk**. Once elected as legislator or a MP, they are able to quit poverty, accumulate wealth and become over-lords and owners of parties. By now except the communist parties and the BJP, all other **parties have become nearly private; in fact proprietary**. The Congress -I, the RJD the BSP, Samajwadi, RLD, (Rastriya Lokdal), Biju Lokdal, DMK, AIDMK, TDP, Trinamul Congress and the Akali Dal have all become more or less properties of a family. The trend was set by the first family, the Nehru –Indira-Rajiv-Sonia – Rahul family. (Rahul is sixth M.P of the family). Membership of legislatures and parliament and even cabinets is **increasingly becoming hereditary**. The so called high -commands have degenerated into I-Commands. Any person reading news papers can easily identify who the proprietors of these parties.

We have abolished Maharajas, Nawabs and the Zamindars. In their palaces, we have got a **“New Class” of hereditary political feudal lords**. There is an excellent study of this development of inherited political positions by the British journalist-author, Patric French. **In his book, India An- Intimate Biography of 1.2 bln People** in the chapter, Family Politics .information is presented about the New Class of MPs (Members of Parliament) these are called **HMPs**; that is , **Hereditary Members of Parliament**. The following tables give the information.

Feudalism Replacing Indian Democracy.

How did the 545 MPs enter the Lok Sabha (2009)

No significant family back ground	255
Family	156
Student politics	47
Business	35
RSS	18
Trade Union	10
Royal family	7
Maoist Commander	1
Inducted *	16

- **Inducted** means the MP was a famous actor, or someone who had done well abroad and returned home, or one who was a commando in Rajiv Gandhi's security cordon parachuted into politics or a cook of the first family etc.

HMPs or Hereditary MPs

Percentage of Hereditary Congress MPs by age

age	71-80	61-70	51-60	41-50	31-40	Below
%	18.2	22.6	31.2	43.9	86.4	100

Percentage of hereditary MPs by Age in all parties together

Age	71-80	61-70	51-60	41-50	31-40	Below
%	10.5	16.4	20.6	36.8	65	100

Which Political Party is most hereditary?

(What % of party MPs had reached the Lok Sabha through a family link-excluding parties with fewer than 5 MPs)

RI.D	100.00%	5 out of 5	CPI(M)	25.0	4 out of 16
NCP	77.8	7 out of 9	JD(U)	20.0	4 out of 20
BJP	42.9	6 out of 14	BJP	19.0	22 out of 116
INC	37.5	78 out of 208	Trinamul	15.8	3 out of 19
BSP	33.3	7 out of 21	Sivasena	9.1	1 out of 11
DMK	33.3	6 out of 18	AIDMK	0.0	0 out of 9
SP	27.3	6 out of 22	TDP	0.0	0 out of 6

Source for all the Tables: India (A Portrait) by Patrick French
(Chapter: 4 - Family Politics ; Publisher- Allen Lane)

Taking view from the current power -wielding proprietors of the feudalised political parties, many castes are also moving to found their own centers of power. New persons are emerging as leaders of castes. For eg. in Andhra Pradesh, Sri R. Krishnaiah has emerged as leader of the BCs. His movement and agitations and demands have become so strong that threatened by this move , Sri Chandra Babu Naidu the I-Command of the Telugu Desam Party **is obliged to call his party a party of BCs**. He has promised that not less than 100 BCs would be put up by his party in the elections to the State Assembly in 2014. An SC sub caste Madigas, have thrown up their own leader Sri Krishna Madiga. He has been demanding that since Madigas constitute 60-70% of the SCs in Andhra ; that percentage of the 15% reservations for SCs should be set apart for the Madiga caste . He is moving to launch a party of his own with himself as the leader. He will emerge as one more proprietary feudal party.

During the sun-set period of the rule of the Moguls, emerged Nawabs and Rajas owing nominal allegiance to Delhi –with so many regional proprietary parties, do we not seem to be heading into a disintegrated India, to be once again ruled by foreign elements settled in India? This indeed was the fear (and warning given) expressed by Dr Ambedkar in his speech to the concluding session of India's Constituent Assembly in November 1949.

Dt: 2/11/2012

Ushering in Minority Raj

When Dr Y S Rajasekhara Reddy (YSR) was the Chief Minister he proudly and without any hesitation announced that his is “**a government of minorities**”. He first announced fee reimbursement for Muslim students in professional colleges, then extended it to Christian students and finally after some furore to the BCs. The fee reimbursement for Muslims led to the **tripling** of the Muslim students joining engineering colleges in the very next year. He created a separate A.P State Finance Corporation for Christian Minority, confining the existing A.P State Minority Finance Corporation to Muslims. In his office sat a functionary of Churches. His job was to instruct Collectors and Superintendents of Police for distribution of largesses like assignment of government lands, grant of bank loans giving government and temple lands and funds to Christian schools, churches, and cemeteries for Christians and missionaries and their NGOs. He took retired central government Christian officers like the General Manger of South Central Railway and the Post Master General of AP as his Advisors.

Many critical and influential posts were filled up by Christians on instructions from No.10 Janpath. As to who should be in the TTD Board was also dictated from 10 Janpath, New Delhi then (as well as now).

Recently in Andhra Pradesh one Mr.Samuel, IAS has been elevated to the post of Chief Commissioner of Land Administration (CCLA) the incumbent of which normally becomes the Chief Secretary to the Government. The present Chief Secretary Mrs. Minni Mathews held this post. In order to get another Christian as the Chief Secretary, on instructions from Delhi, three senior IAS officers were superseded and Sri Samuel was appointed as CCLA. This appointment is now challenged by the superseded officers on count of seniority and violation of rules before the CAT (Central Administrative Tribunal) in Hyderabad. This Mr. Samuel is being investigated for his role in the numerous, multi billion Rupee scams of Jaganmohan Reddy, MP and YSR's son.

It maybe recalled that Sri P.J.Thomas was appointed as Chief Vigilance Commissioner ignoring the minute of dissent by Smt Sushma Swaraj, Leader of the Opposition in the Lok Sabha and the third member (Prime Minister & Home Minister) of the committee that selected Sri Thomas as Chief Vigilance Commissioner. This Mr. Thomas was then facing investigation by the CBI for his involvement in some scam in the Kerala government when he was Secretary there.

Just, consider this: The Supreme director of the UPA Government is a foreign origin Christian. The Foreign and Health ministers and the Vice President of India, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court are Muslims; Defense minister is a Christian; the Prime Minister is a non main stream Hindu belonging to one of its off- shoots; the Home Minister is a nominal Hindu suspected to be a convert to Christianity; (a siluva or cross in the home and shiva outside). The de-Hinduisation of the government is on the way. Hindus are slowly but steadily becoming once again the ruled class. RNIs (Residnet non-Indians) are taking over India. **“Divide the Hindus by caste and by region and by language ; unite the minorities by religion and rule over the majroity”**. This is the principle put into practice with enough number of stooges in collaboration (recall Jai Chand, Mirza Raja Jaisingh and Jaswant Singh, Man Singh of history...and now Digvijay Singh and his tribe).

Sri Chandra Babu Naidu on his yathra, in his desperate bid for winning the elections in 2014 is making all sorts of promises like that the debts should not be paid; all debts owed to government and banks would be written off and so on. While such promises will bankrupt the finances of the State, there is another set of promises of his which will disrupt Indian society. He is promising a Rs.2500 cr separate budget for Muslims Rs.3000 per month payment to Imams, Rs.50,000 for the wedding of Muslim girls and bicycles for Muslims girls. He would also establish a separate bank for Muslims. It is quite obvious that in his bid for power, he would do everything that would please Muslims (& Christians) and would care not for Hindus. Hindus are the tax payer to extent of 95% of the government's revenues. These will be utilized for the welfare and promotion of Muslims & Christians. **Hindus must unite and defeat**

every leader and party which takes their money and promote predatory religions.

Tail Piece: One Fiji More, a Japan-origin person immigrated to Peru (South America). He did well wealth-wise and entered politics. He rose to be the President of Peru. He amassed huge fortunes in violation of rules. When his corruptions and loot were exposed and prosecution was about to be launched he ran away to his mother country, Japan. Japan sheltered him. Any lesson for India?

Dt: 24/06/2013

Dalit Uplift

Sri M Satyanarayana, speaking on the occasion of the release of the Telugu version of his book, “My Father Balaiah” is reported to have said (Indian Express 23/6/13) that **“untouchability was not practiced by Christians”**. This is factually not correct. Until 1994, the 9% White, Christian rulers of South Africa practised “apartheid” ie. separation of the 90% Black, natives into separate habitations; gave them no place in government. The native Black Christians(ised) Bishop Tutu famously, said, **“when you (white Christians) came here you had the Book (Bible) ; we had the land. But, now, we have the Book (converted to Christianity) and you have the land”**.

The White Christian immigrant settlers in Americas **bought Black Africans as slaves**. They denied them all human rights even after converting them to Christianity. President Abraham Lincoln undertook, **a civil war to free the slaves**. But “segregation” (apartheid) continued till as recently as the 1960s. President Eisenhower had to send Federal Troops to **enforce de-segregation in the Southern States of the USA**. Even today, the Christianised Black Afro-Americans have Churches, separate from those of the White Christians.

As regards Muslims treating Dalits better, one has only to read the long letter of the late Sri Jogendranath Mondals long letter to the Prime

Minister, Liaquat Ali Khan of Pakistan. Mondal was from East Bengal (Bangladesh since 1971). He was leader of the Scheduled Castes there. He was in alliance with the Muslim League and was a Minister in H.S. Suhrawardy's Muslim League cabinet that ruled undivided Bengal in the mid 1940s. He was in the Muslim League's team of the Vice-Roy's Executive Council in the Interim Cabinet (September 1946 to 14 August 1947) in Delhi.

After partition, **he was Minister for Law in Pakistan**, under Liaquat Ali Khan's Prime Ministership. The scheduled caste Hindus in East Pakistan were subjected to rape, loot and forced conversion to Islam. Mondal wrote memo after memo to Liaquat Ali Khan, describing the atrocities and requesting a stop to them. Liaquat's reply was, **"Islam permits no forced conversions or atrocities. Your complaints are all therefore false"**. Shocked and disillusioned with Islamic rule and Muslims' treatment of Dalits, **Mondal fled from Pakistan, got refuge in Calcutta** and died there unsung and unnoticed. Most Hindus that are still in Pakistan are Dalits, often subjected to abduction, rape and conversion, living as sweepers, prevented from migrating to India (I have printed copies of Sri Jogindranath Mondal's letter to his Moslem Prime Minister & colleague. It will be mailed to whosoever wants).

Prof. Kolakaluri Enoch, observed, at the book release function that **"only 20 percent of Dalit in the country were emancipated like Balaiah"**. True. If only reservations for S.Cs are restricted to say, two generations of the first beneficiary, then many more Dalits would have received higher education and so would have been emancipated. I urge upon Dalit intellectuals and "left", "progressive" campaigners to read the chapters **"Caste over-turned"** and **"Vote Banking"** in Sir Mark Tully's book "NON STOP India" to know much about the emancipation of Dalits in our country and in particular, the study called **"Rethinking Inequality"** conducted at the initiative of Sri Chandra Bhan Prasad, the outstanding Dalit intellectual and prolific writer. The study comprised a field survey by a team of academicians and two from the United States, one from India and himself. One of their findings is that India's Dalits have **gained considerable social equality as** distinct from

economic equality, education and affirmative action mandated by our Constitution, (but unimaginatively implemented by government of all parties) are the engines of Dalits' emancipation.

It is however regrettable that **some politicians are re-inventing and promoting castes in Christianity and Islam - Dalit Christians** (80% of Indian converts) and Dalit Muslims (Pasandas, 85% of Muslims). That reservations are advancing only some sub-castes among S.Cs (unrecorded conversions) has given rise to the demand for **vargikaran** or classification and apportion of reservations among the different sub-castes according to their numerical strength. Politicians engaged in creation of vote banks are demanding that there be reservations for B.Cs, that many more castes be declared as B.Cs, S.Cs and STs and that among the reservations for B.Cs there should be quotas for different castes among B.Cs.

One despairs and wonders whether our politicians are working for the realization of a society and nation in which social, economic and political inequalities will be eliminated as envisaged by Dr B R Ambedkar or whether their **interest is to form caste and religion-based Alliances and Fronts** to get ruling power to build up new **ruler, feudal dynasties**.

It would be great folly for Dalits to jump from the frying pan (of so called unjust Bharatiya Dharma) into the fire of Christianity by conversion. Dr B.R. Ambedkar expressly and decisively advised India's Dalits to reject foreign origin religions and embrace only a swadeshi Dharma – Buddhism and reclaim the great Swadeshi heritage minus the excrescences like untouchability, graded inequality by birth and denial of humanness to some. The history of foreign origin religions reveals far worse inhuman-ness, slavery, exploitation, inequality, superstition, loot and oppression. India's intellectuals should strive to sculpt an egalitarian, non-hating, non-intolerant, polity.

Immoral Governments Encourage Criminal Businesses

Sage Tiruvalluvar said,

“Government in business means the ruination of people and if the Governments (or Public Sector companies) are rich, the people will be poor”They are good rulers who observe ethics, commit no crime and walk the path of honour and courage.

Bhagwan Krishna taught that common people’s conduct will be like their leaders’ and that they adopt the standards leaders set, Gandhiji said,

*Politics without principles ; Wealth without work; Commerce without morality;
Knowledge without character; Pleasure without conscience ;
Science without humanity; religion without sacrifice; are sins verily*

These maha vakyas are cited in order to show how important it is that governments make such rules, set such standards and so govern that not only ordinary people but businessmen who use the nation’s and nature’s resources to create wealth and services the society needs in an honest and dharmic, dharmaavirudda (not opposed to Dharma) way. I am pointing some immoral and unjust rules and practices of the self-proclaimed Nehruvian, “socialist” “secular” government in relation to business corporations. These actions drive business corporations to adopt subterfuges, and amoral practices.

Employees’ Provident Fund (EPF). This is intended to help workers to have money when they cease to work or after their retirement. Every company must scrupulously remit every month the prescribed proportion of the salaries /wages paid to workers to the EPF Commissioner. A number of ITES and IT companies sub-contract work like that for National Population Register (NPR) or Adhar (UIDAI) to self-employed professionals. They don’t employ any labourers. Yet the EPF

commissioner insists that 30% of the value of the sub-contracted work, which he attributes to workers/labourers, must be remitted to him. There is no requirement of the names of persons and their addresses to whose account the remittance would be credited. The amount accumulates with the EPF Commission, is undisbursable. So it constitutes an exaction; an immoral one.

A Sales Tax Officer raises a demand of Rs. 520 mln on a company. The latter represents, submits documents; is heard over a period of an year; Finally, it is reduced to Rs. 5.2 mln ! i.e one-hundredth of the frightening initial demand. Was the initial demand arbitrary? Was the officer asked for an explanation as to why he raised such a fantastic demand and settled it for one per cent only? What was the consideration? And even this one per cent is under appeal. The company has to deposit 12.5% with the Appellate Authority during the pendency of the appeal which may be five to ten years. And finally, it may be waived. But the harassment to the company continues.

Service Tax is the most obnoxious tax and is now the largest producer of revenue to government. Every service which is not included in the “Negative List” is liable to be taxed. Government gives an order to a PSU (like ECIL, BEL etc) for UIDA or NPR enrollment. The PSU subcontracts to private companies. The latter further sub-contract to self-employed people groups. When the work is completed, the private company raises an invoice against the PSU. On this amount, it has to pay the service tax, whether or not it gets the payment. The PSU invoices the NPR or UIDAI. It has to pay the service tax whether or not it gets the payment!. The government departments don’t pay for years; the amounts are “accounts receivable” in the Balance Sheets of the companies. They are revenues. Profits arise from these revenues (not received). The company has to pay a dividend tax, on the profits paid out as dividends. The companies borrow money to pay the service and dividend taxes! And the debtor is the government. And the banks who lend are mostly government banks. The government entity (eg. NPR, Aadhar) does not pay interest on the

amount it owes to the company which did the work. Yet it gets the service tax, dividend tax and dividend from its banks which lend money to the company! Can the companies not “recognize” the invoiced money as revenue to avoid service tax? No revenue means, no bank credit, no business, no employment. What is the root cause of this sordid affair? Immoral government fulfilling its need for profligate spending (welfare gives away to get votes) by misuse of its taxing power.

One more example Governments are contracting out E-Sevas. Certain facilities (like accommodation, power, broad-band, telecom connection, air conditioning etc) are to be provided by government. They are delayed. The delivery of Sevas is delayed. The Accountant General audits the contracts, payments and outcomes. He says the contract is breached- services and services delivery are delayed. He says penalty clause must be implemented. Some payments to the contracting company are withheld until a departmental inquiry is held, and responsibility fixed. It is not “fixable”. The company gets no payment. Sometimes, the bank guarantee is enforced for default. The company is incurring costs on account of the inefficiency and unaccountability in government. This imposition of costs is immoral and is the cause for sharp practices by companies.

Government of Andhra Pradesh contracted computer education to private companies. They put computers in schools and appointed IT people as teachers. Government is in arrears of payments to companies for 12 to 24 months. Reason, “no funds”. Since it does not pay on this account, it does not get the matching grant from the central government. Teachers not paid or irregularly paid, don’t teach regularly. Children don’t learn. But they are certified to have had computer education. So we have the young “**certified but not qualified**”. Can this be demographic dividend we are boasting of?

Can the companies (not paid by government) be morally expected to borrow money from banks, paying interest on it which will not be reimbursed by government, to pay teachers they engage to impart computer education?

It is the Dharma of companies to get business; make a profit and serve its share-holders. The costs unrelated to work like the interest they pay to banks for money borrowed to pay service tax, EPF etc. are built into the price they quote for the service or goods they render/supply to government. Ultimately, people are bearing these costs occasioned by immorality in government, unaccountability of its officers. Government in the ultimate analysis, means the ministers, the (ruling) political party. **If we have immoral leaders, we get an immoral government; its exactions like taxes may be legal but are immoral.** To avoid the burdens, companies are forced to take recourse to immoral practices. More laws are made to curb evasions. They give more power to government officers, who arbitrarily and high-handedly use the state power. To minimize damage, companies resort to corrupt the officers/ministers. Corruption generates black money.

The moral is: Governments must not only make laws but also observe morality in making and implementing them. People’s conduct is more governed by culture which is based on notions of **right and wrong, punya and papa**; and obedience to unenforceable **laws**.

Public sector Undertakings

Academicians have attributed several traditional strengths to the public sector .

They primarily are:

- ability to survive without profit
- state-ownership gives them immortality
- wages and high bonuses can be paid over by continuously incurring losses
- government ownership gives full benefit of a monopoly

- **Vasant Sathe,**
Restructuring of Public Sector in India

Dt: 10/09/2013

Kashmir Film Festival & Ambedkar Students' Association

One Zubair Ahmed, Convener of Ambedkar Students' Association (ASA) in the University of Hyderabad (UOH) organized a "Kashmir Before our Eyes" film festival a few weeks ago (Sept 8, 2013). The films show, the "unfortunate" Kashmiris i.e the Valley's Muslims as victims of India's armed forces; denied civil and human rights, groaning under the oppression of India with arbitrary arrests and denial of freedom of expression and association, for Azaadi and /or accession to Pakistan. Some of the films are certainly seditious in content. They don't show the ethnic cleansing of 400,000 Hindu Pandits and Sikhs, terrorized into abandoning their homes and properties of thousands of years and now staying in refugee camps since over two decades. The films are therefore propaganda for the Islamist, separatist, terrorist movement, sympathized by India's congenital anti-Hindus, Marxist intellectuals and communal secularists (like Dilip Padgaonkar who headed, UPA-II's Interlocutors' Team to Kashmir, a few years ago and produced an infamous report suggesting plenty of autonomy to the Muslim majority state of (65%) J&K.

What is interesting is that in the UOH, a students' association is named after the venerable Ambedkar and its convener is a Muslim (probably from Kashmir). This Zubair Ahmed perhaps does not know Dr. Ambedkar or his work well in relation to Kashmir and Muslims. When India's constitution was being drafted, Shaikh Abdullah wanted utmost autonomy for J&K, conceding only defense, external affairs and communication to the Indian Union. The Congress party was opposed to that but Nehru was for it. Nehru advised Shaikh Abdullah to meet Dr. Ambedkar and plead with him and convince him to provide for the utmost autonomy. Dr Ambedkar received Shaikh Abdullah and listened to him politely and gave him a patient hearing. After Abdullah had finished, Ambedkar told him: ***"You want India to defend Kashmir, feed its people, undertake its all round development and give Kashmiris equal rights all over the country; but you do not want the rest of***

India and Indians equal rights in Kashmir. I am the Law Minister of India. I cannot betray my country". With these words, he refused to draft the article Abdullah desired. Nehru never dared to talk to Ambedkar on this subject. This showed that Ambedkar was a better nationalist than Nehru and his approach in regard to Kashmir was more realistic. (*Source: Nationalist Extraordinary, By Balraj Madhok*)

It is altogether a different matter that Article 370 granting some special status to J&K was included in the Constitution as a temporary provision. It is getting prolonged indefinitely just like the provision for reservations to Scheduled Castes which was to be for only ten years. This permanent temporariness is a special feature of "Nehruvian socialist secularism", fashioned by communal and casteist parties to fish for "minority" i.e Muslim votes.

Another view of Dr Ambedkar that the Ambedkar Students' Association and Zubair Ahmed don't seem to know or conveniently ignore is that Dr Ambedkar advocated partition of India and creation of Islamic Pakistan as demanded by the Muslim League led by Mohammed Ali Jinnah. He wrote a big tome **"Pakistan or The Partition of India"**. He established that the Muslim problem in India is centuries - old and **that it could be settled once for all only by partition and creation of Pakistan as home-land for the sub-continent's Muslims. He further supported, indeed strongly advocated the Muslim League's demand that there should be an obligatory exchange of minority populations between Pakistan and Hindustan, as such an exchange alone would settle the Muslim problem of India.** As a statesman and scholar, he pointed out that such an exchange of minority populations of Christians in Muslim Turkey and Moslems in Christian Provinces forming part of the Ottoman Turkish empire was carried out under the auspices of the League of Nations (precursor to United Nations); soon after the First World War. Pakistan got rid of its 19% Hindu-Sikh minority (reduced to 1.5%) and Bangladesh has been reducing the Hindu-Buddhist minority population from 35% to 7% (still going down). While Pakistan carried out its plan i.e. expelling the minorities and so has **no minority problem** India under Nehru-Gandhi leadership did not send out the minority i.e Moslems to Pakistan of their creation. And so, **the Muslim problem in India is not only not**

settled but has been aggravating; the 10% Muslim population in 1951 has now come to between 18% to 20% . Muslim-Hindu riots are unabated (the latest is in September 2013) and Muslim militancy is reaching the 1946, August 16 heights direct action against the Hindu majority.

Yet another view of Dr. Ambedkar about Muslims seems to be unknown to Zubair Ahmed and ASA. While discarding Hinduism, Dr. Ambedkar advised dalits not to convert to Islam (the Nizam offered Rs. 7.0 crores to Dr. Ambedkar if he and Dalits convert to Islam) because the fraternity in it is confined to “believers” (and the rest are Kafirs) and also not to convert to Christianity because the lot of “dalit” Christians remained depressed and unimproved. Dr. Ambedkar deliberately chose Buddhism, the Dharma born in India and not any religion of foreign origin.

Finally, let us recall the lot of dalits who chose the company of Moslems. Sri Jogendranath Mondal was the leader of Scheduled Caste Federation of (East) Bengal. He allied his party with the Muslim League (ML) and in the coalition government of (undivided) Bengal he was a Minister in the Shahid Suhrawardy’s League cabinet. He was a nominee of the ML, in the Interim Government under Vice-Roys Wawell and Mountbatten. After partition, he was Minister for Law in Liaquat Ali’s cabinet, in Pakistan. He witnessed the forced conversions, abductions, rape and loot perpetrated on Hindus including dalits by Muslims in East Pakistan. His complaints and pleas to Liaquat Ali Khan against these atrocities were dismissed saying, “Islam does not permit such things. Your complaints are therefore false”. Mondal was humiliated, disregarded and isolated. He fled to India, settled in Calcutta and died unsung, unmourned. His long letter to Liaquat Ali Khan is an indictment of the Moslem League and Muslims’ treatment of Dalits. Sri Zubair Ahmed’s embrace of Hindu or Christian dalits, will be as disastrous to the latter, as Muslim Leagues to Jogendranath Mondal.

Dt: 1/10/2013

Son-stroked Politicians

The UPA-II’s move (fortunately aborted by an outburst of Sri Rahul Gandhi against it) to nullify through an ordinance the Supreme Court’s judgment that those MLAs & MPs convicted for more than two years of imprisonment should not withstanding their appeal to higher courts, cease to be Members of the Legislatures must shock every Indian who still hoped that one-day corruption would be contained. During the struggle for freedom there were great leaders who set examples of noble public life - Acharya J B Kripalani and his spouse Sucheta, Jayaprakash Narayan and his spouse Prabhavathi Devi, Prof N G Ranga and his spouse Bharati Devi and Sri Puchchalapalli Sundarayya and his wife Leela were great patriots who dedicated their entire life for the freedom of India and for the building of a just society and moral government thereafter. In the 1930s itself, **they decided not to have children**. The reasoning was that political life was a full time engagement, they would not have time to bring up their children properly and when freedom comes, if they have children they might be tempted to acquire wealth for the sake of their children by using their political positions. What a great resolution it was?

Another set of leaders like Mahatma Gandhi, Sardar Vallabh Bhai Patel, Sri Tanguturi Prakasam, Rajendra Prasad, Rajaji and Morarji Desai were married but they never allowed their children to come into politics. They thought that allowing them to enter into politics would lead to many temptations and many compromises they may have to make to nourish their political ambitions. We don’t have the children of any of these great leaders in politics. In fact, some of them have been living in penury and very few know who they are and how they are living. Such was the rectitude and standard that these great leaders set.

In contrast to all these great men, we have the Nehru Dynasty. There was a deliberate effort to build up a Dynasty. Motilal Nehru was a very successful lawyer making huge money. His son Jawaharlal Nehru entered politics. Motilal Nehru got into politics after his son took to

politics . Once Mahatma Gandhi exclaimed to Lokamanya Tilak that Mothilal made a great sacrifice in the interest of the country by giving up his lucrative law practice. Lokamanya replied, “Are you sure whether it was the love of the country or love of his son’s career that brought Motilal into politics”. Mothilal Nehru became a top leader of the Congress, a mantle which his son Jawaharlal inherited.

Jawaharlal Nehru did want that his daughter Indira Gandhi should one day succeed him . At the Nagpur session of the Congress (1962) it was almost decided that Nijalingappa should become the next Congress President. This announcement was to be made by Kamraj Nadar in Chennai.

But in the early night hours, some Congress women were incited to propose Indira Gandhi as the next Congress President instead of Nijalingappa. An emergency working committee meeting was called at the instance of Nehru. Sri Y.B. Chavan asked for the emergency meeting. Indira Gandhi’s name was suggested at this emergency working committee meeting for the Presidentship of Congress instead of Nijalingappa as already decided. Pt. Govind Vallabh Pant protested and said, “*Indu’s health is not good. Nijalingappa is alright. He has been in the Congress for a long time and deserves to be the Congress president*”. Nehru protested and said, “*Indu is alright. There is nothing wrong with her. Her health is not good because she has no work. So she is not well*”. Once members came to know of Nehru’s mind they fell in line and it was agreed that Indira Gandhi would be the Congress President.

This is not the first instance when the Nehru Dynasty asserted their right over Congress. Nijalingappa writes, “There is still another instance of Nehru’s blatantly supporting members of their own family. This happened in 1929. That year Sardar Patel’s name was in everybody’s mind for Congress Presidentship as he was the hero of the No Tax Campaign in Bardoli. But Nehru’s father, Mothilal Nehru went to Gandhiji and insisted that his son Jawaharlal was young and very enthusiastic and it would be desirable that he be made the Congress President for that year. Gandhiji acceded to Mothilal’s request and

ordered Sardar Patel to step down as a candidate for Congress Presidentship and Nerhu became the Congress President .

One more attempt of Dynasty’s assertion happened when Sir. Sarvepally Radhakrishna was the President. This is what he told Nijalingappa, “when Nehru comes to me and wants me to make his sister Vijayalakshmi Pandit as Vice President of India. I had to tell him, “you are the Prime Minister of India, your daughter is the President of the Congress and you want your sister to be Vice President. What would people say. I cannot have it. I put my foot down and sent him away”. (Source for paras 4 to 7 : P101 & 102 of the Book, My Life and Politics by S.Nijalingappa)

And we know that after the short interlude when Lal Bahadurji succeeded Jawaharlal Nehru, Indira Gandhi became the Prime Minister in 1966. So that nobody would challenge her and she could have perfect control over the party, she split the Indian National Congress in 1969 over the issue of Sanjeev Reddi as the candidate for Rashtrapati. She nominated him but on second thoughts, she got V V Giri put up against her own nominee, Sanjiva Reddi and got the latter defeated! That was the reassertion of Dynasty’s supremacy in Congress . She threw out all the elders, calling them the Syndicate comprising of Kamraj Nadar, Atulya Gosh, S.K.Patil, Sanjeeva Reddy and Nijalingappa. She became almost the proprietor of the Congress. She renamed the party as Congress (I) (I standing for Indira). A class of flatterers flocked to her. Hem Barua was one such who became the President of the Congress (I) before and during the Emergency that Indira Gandhi declared in 1975. Barua sang the song, **Indira is India : India is Indira**. She became the Bharat Matha. After the Emergency, to a question by journalists Vijayalakshmi Pandit, Indira’s aunt and Jawaharlal Nehru’s sister said “**that her family has received more than what it gave to the nation. My father was President of Congress; my brother was President & Prime Minister . I myself was an Ambassador and my niece is now the Prime Minister of India.**” This opinion was flashed. Indira was shocked and furious. She asked her aunt, “did you say what was reported in the paper about our family .” She said, “of

course , I said that and that is a fact. “ From that day onwards, there were no relations between the niece and the aunt.

When Indira died Rajiv Gandhi, her son while returning from Calculate to Delhi had on the flight itself said that he would have to take over and he did take over as Prime Minister to succeed his mother. Without the formality of the Congress Parliamentary Party electing him as its leader, he got himself sworn as Prime Minister. So it has come as a belief in that family that the Prime Ministership belongs to them.

After Rajiv's death, Sonia wanted to give up politics. Also, during the Emergency when Indira was being tormented by court case after case, she unsuccessfully tried for emigration to Italy. After Rajiv's assassination, she gave the public to believe that she was not interested in politics and she would be busy bringing up her orphaned children in safety and security. But in 1998 she was egged on by the family's flatterers to take over the Presidentship of the Congress. When Poor Sitaram Kesari, the then Congress President left his chair to go to the toilet, she was led to occupy the chair and Sitaram Kersari there -after died of heart attack. Over the years, she contrived to become the unquestioned proprietor of the Congress Party. Just like Indira inducted and groomed Rajiv, Sonia has been grooming the reluctant Rahul for Prime Ministership. She is the President of the Congress for 15 uninterrupted years, a distinction that no Indian, even Jawaharlal Nehru ever had.

The building up of the Dynasty in the Nehru family (by the way, Indira married a Parsi, Feroze; he is not a Nehru; he was a Ghandhi (A Parsi-Gujarati family who were professional oil-pressers). So neither Rajiv nor Rahul can be said to be the descendent of the Nehru's but the promoted popular belief is that not only are they descendents of Nehru's but also of Mahatma Gandhi). Sri Firoz Gandhi (actually Ghandhi) was a very honourable man. He had the distinction of fearlessly exposing the corruption of ministers T.T Krishnamachari and K D Malavaya in the cabinet of his father-in-law, Jawaharlal Nehru. It is an irony that Indira and her successors are leaving no stone unturned to cover up their

corruptions. Contrast son-in-law Firoz Ghandhi with another son-in-law, of the Dynasty, Robert Wadra. This son-in-law is amassing wealth in the most questionable ways and the Dynasty and its captive party are covering it up. What a fall !

The example set by the Nehrus-Indira-Rajiv-Sonia line is what is followed by other political parties in the country justifying the truth in Lord Krishna's lesson to Arjuna” - ***as the leaders in society act, so will the common people. The standards that the leader sets, are what will be followed by others***”. That is how we have come to have the political dynasties.

Sri Rahul Gandhi belongs not only to Indian class of Dynastic leaders and rulers but also to an international class of hereditary rulers like North Korea's Kim Il Sung and Syria's Bashar al-Assad. Here in India, he has the further distinction of setting the example for Akhilesh Yadav, the son of Mulayam Singh; M K Stalin, Azhagiri ad Kanimozhi, sons and daughter of M Karuna Nidhi; Rabri Devi, the wife of Laloo Prasad Yadav; Sukhbir Singh Badal, son of Prakash Sing Badal of Siromani Akali Dal; Supriya Sule, daughter of Sharad Pawar; Sandip Dikshit, son of Sheila Dikshit, Chief Minister of Delhi and grandson of Uma Shankari Dikshit, a Cabinet Minister in his time and Navin Patnaik, son of Biju Patnaik (Chief Minister of Odisha); the late N.T.Rama Rao of Telugu Desam Party, his daughter Smt. Purandareswari (Minister in UPA government) and his son Hari Krishna (MP, Rajya Sabha) and his son-in-law Sri Chandra Babu Naidu; K Chandrasekhara Rao, MP and former Minister of the Telengana Rashttra Samithi (TRS), his son K.T.Rao and his nephew Harish Rao are both MLAs (and his daughter Kavita is being groomed as a fiery public speaker and leader of movement); the late Vijayaraje Scindia her daughter Vasundhara Raje, former Chief Minister of Rajasthan, Madhavrao Scindia and his son Jyotiraditya Scindia; the late Rajesh Pilot and his son Sachin Pilot (Minister in the UPA government); Murali Deora and his son Milind Murali Deora (Minister in UPA government); late Sunil Dutt, former Minsiter and his daughter Priya Dutt (MP, Lok Sabha) etc. This is not an exhaustive list.

Many more Dynasties of political leaders are in the making at the State, District and Panchayat level. All of them take inspiration and justification from the Nehru Dynasty. The only exception appears to be among the Communists and the BJP politicians. This may be because these parties are ideology-based while the rest are all parties for the members of which politics is the least investment involving, least qualification requiring and least risk ridden profession. Loyalty and devotion to the proprietor of the party brings in rewards. It is quite obvious that in India, politics is feudalised and all this in the name of Nehruvian socialism, secularism, social justice, aam aadmi, garibi hatao and inclusive growth, all sloganised and popularized by members of the Nehru Dynasty and its flattering, flunkies.

This Gandhi Dynasty is particularly fraudulent because, they append the name Gandhi while they have no connection at all with Mahatma, Mohan Das Karamchand Gandhi, the great leader of India. So the son-stroke is indeed real among the political class in India.

Dt: 21/11/2013

Legacy of Maulana Azad

Sri Abul Kalam Azad is portrayed as a great nationalist Muslim totally dedicated to the preservation of united India that is, no partition and no Pakistan. His presidential address to the Indian National Congress in 1940 is quoted in vindication of his faith. (Time to Resurrect the Legacy of Maulana Azad – Mir Ayub Ali Khan: Times of India 17.11.13). To any perceptive person it is clear from his speech that Azad did not want the division of India not so much in his belief in the single nationhood as in the preservation of the strength of the large number of Muslims in an undivided India. In fact, while presiding over the Muslim League session in Calcutta in 1928 he even gave expression to the terrible idea that the Hindu minority in the Muslim majority Provinces of Bengal, Punjab, North-West Frontier, Sindh and Baluchistan would be a hostage so that Muslims in the rest of the Hindu majority provinces are not treated unfairly. Is this a profound or a profane idea?

Sri Azad was indeed a great Islamic scholar. He was born in Mecca. He lived in Calcutta. In spite of all his scholarship and background, he had no following among the Muslims of India. In 1946, he was not elected to the Constituent Assembly from Bengal or Delhi or from anywhere else in India from any constituency reserved for Muslims. He was elected from the Congress-ruled North-West Frontier Province (NWFP) courtesy, the nationalist Muslim leaders Khan Abdul Gafar Khan and his brother, Khan Sahib. After partition, NWFP became part of Pakistan. He lost his membership of the Constituent Assembly. He was brought in there from a general constituency that is, non-Muslim constituency, from Bihar. **He was a Muslim leader of Hindus in Congress and not a leader of Muslim masses or electorate.**

That the so called nationalist Muslims had little following was evident from the fact that in the 1937 elections while the Muslim League won 106 seats out of 485 reserved for Muslims (the rest were won by non-Muslim League provincial Muslim parties in the Punjab and Bengal and Muslim independents in the rest of India). The Congresses' nationalist Muslims got zero seats. In 1940 Md. Ali Jinnah stridently spelt out the two-nation theory and demanded the partition of India asserting that Muslims are a separate nation and that Pakistan should be created as a national home-land for the sub-continent's Muslims. His call was taken up by almost all Muslims in the country. In the 1946 elections out of 485 Muslims seats in the Provincial Assemblies, the Muslim league won 425; Congress contested only 58 seats and got 26. The nationalist Muslims voting for Congress brand of single nation and undivided India were 1.4%. It is therefore clear that the **Muslims in Congress were leaders of Hindus and not of Muslims**.

When independent India was making the Constitution, Maulana Abul Kalam Azad led the Muslim members to demand the continuance of separate electorate and weighted reservations (50% more than Muslim population justified for Muslims). Fortunately, under the inspiring leadership of Sardar Patel a few former Muslim League members like Begum Aizaz Rasul denounced the idea of two-nations and separate

electorate in post-partition, free India. Christian, Parsi and Sikh members also denounced reservations for minorities and separate electorates. So, we did away theory legacy of separate electorate and reservations for minorities. It is obvious that the so called nationalist Muslims have had little following on any of the Indian parties ranging from Communists to the Congress (Sardar Patel used to say that the only nationalist Muslim he knew in Congress was Jawaharlal Nehru).

As Education Minister for eight years, Azad saw to it that history of India presented in the text books was negationist; that is, cover up of the cruel deeds of loot, slaughter, destruction of temples, zezia, forced conversions, forcing widows of dead soldiers into harems, sale of captured children in the slave Bazaars of Baghdad, burning of libraries and appropriation of defeated peoples properties to constitute Waqfs for the welfare of Muslims etc., perpetrated by the Muslim invaders and settlers and converts. Maulana Azad was indeed a great scholar. He was not psychologically Pakistani bent of mind. He was a Muslim Indian (who was for the preservation of Muslims' safety and influence through larger numbers) who did not want the partition of India and creation of Pakistan. That he was repudiated by the Muslims of India was clearly told by himself in a speech he made to Muslims gathered in Jama Masjid of Delhi on 23 October 1947.

Addressing a meeting of bewildered Indian Muslims in the Jama Masjid of Delhi, Maulana Azad made a surpassingly moving speech. In that speech Azad regretted that his co-religionists had ignored his advice. *He said: "I hailed you, you cut off my tongue. I picked up my pen, you severed my hand. I wanted to move forward, you cut my legs. I tried to turn over, and you injured me in the back. When the bitter political games of the last seven years were at their peak, I tried to wake you up at every danger signal... I warned you that the two-nation theory was the death-knell to a meaningful and dignified life, forsake it. To all this you turned a deaf ear. And now you have discovered that the anchors of your faith have set you adrift. The debacle of Indian Muslims is the result of the colossal blunders committed by the*

Muslim League's misguided leadership." (Syed Saiyidin Hameed's translation of Maulana Abul Kalam Azad's talk in Urdu.)

In his autobiography 'India Wins Freedom' posthumously published, he expressed the opinion that he erred in backing Jawaharlal as Prime Minister. He opined that, that Sardar Patel would have been a better Prime Minister. Gandhiji prevailed upon Sardar Patel to withdraw from the contest for Presidentship of Congress, once in 1928 and another in 1945, in favour of Jawaharlal Nehru. Gandhiji's fear in 1945 was that Nehru would never agree to be number two in a cabinet headed by Sardar Patel and therefore there would be a rift in the Congress. Maulana Azad's legacy is wrongly reflected in the establishment a Urdu University named after him, in Hyderabad. There are plans to open five more Urdu Universities. These would be that many Aligarh Muslim Universities, to produce Muslim Leaguers as of yore. May this not happen.

Annex#1

A Note on Maulana Abul Kalam Azad

Maulana Abul Kalam was a great Islamic and Arabic Scholar. He was born in Makka. He lived in Kolkata. He edited a Urdu journal, Al Hilal. He was regarded by Muslims as a great Islamic scholar but was reviled for his nationalism and Indian nation-hood. He was President of the Indian National Congress for the longest period, 1940-'46 before Independence. He led the Congress delegations (as opposed to Mohammed Ali Jinnah and his Muslim League) in negotiations with the Cripps Mission 1942 and Cabinet Mission 1946. he was India's first Education Minister for nine years (1947-'56)

2. The quality of nationalism of Maulana Abul Kalam Azad, the tallest among nationalist Moslems can be assessed from his speech as **President of the Muslim League at its Calcutta session in 1927**. The Maulana declared, "...there would now be nine Hindu provinces against five Muslim provinces and **whatever treatment**

Hindus accorded in the nine provinces, Muslims would accord the same treatment to Hindus in the five provinces . Was not this a great gain? Was not a *new weapon gained for the assertion of Muslims rights?* (P111, Pakistan or the Partition of India by Dr B R Ambedkar).

3. It is a moot point whether Azad would have liked India treat Muslims, just as Pakistan has been treating Hindus there. When the Pakistan's High Commissioner in India expressed his country's dissatisfaction of India's handling of Meerut riots in which they fell to the receiving end after they started the riots, Prime Minister Desai bluntly asked him, "**do you want India to solve its minority problem as Pakistan solved it** (by expulsion of its minorities) ?

4. Abul Kalam Azad was elected to the Constituent Assembly in 1946 from NWFP, ruled by Premier Khan Sahib of INC. After partition, he came to the Consenbly from Bihar. Abul Kalam Azad also put his signature to a Memo of some Muslim Members of the Constituent Assembly of India, demanding the continuance of separate electorate for Muslims, and weighted representation. Fortunately, the Minorities Sub-committee of the Constituent and Assembly (Consenbly) later rejected the 'separate electorate (for Muslims). (Pages 207, Pilgrimage to Freedom, by K M Munshi).

5. That he, the Nationalist Muslim was spurned by Muslims of India was poignantly put by Maulana Azad. In that speech, Azad regretted that his co-religionists had ignored his advice. He said, Addressing a meeting of bewildered Indian Muslims in the Jama Masjid of Delhi, Maulana Azad made a surpassingly moving speech. In that speech Azad regretted that his co-religionists had ignored his advice. *He said: "I hailed you, you cut off my tongue. I picked up my pen, you severed my hand. I wanted to move forward, you cut my legs. I tried to turn over, and you injured me in the back. When the bitter political games of the last seven years were at their peak, I tried to wake you up at every*

danger signal... I warned you that the two-nation theory was the death-knell to a meaningful and dignified life, forsake it. To all this you turned a deaf ear. And now you have discovered that the anchors of your faith have set you adrift. The debacle of Indian Muslims is the result of the colossal blunders committed by the Muslim League's misguided leadership." (Syed Saiyidin Hameed's translation of Maulana Abul Kalam Azad's talk in Urdu in 1948.)

Dt: 11/12/2013

Sardar Patel - A Proper Appraisal

On the 15th of Dec 1950 Sardar Vallabh Bhai Patel, the Iron Man of India and Dy. Prime Minister of the Central Government passed away. Soon thereafter, his name and memory have been deliberately diminished by a rising Dynasty. In fact, for quite some time self styled "eminent" historians have been resorting to the assassination of the Sardar's character even by saying that he was communalist, a foe of Muslims and that his work for the integration of the princely states was exaggerated. The truth is entirely different. Recently, one advocate-journalist belonging to the minority community wrote a book, "The Destruction of Hyderabad" in which Sardar Patel is portrayed in the worst light.

The first charge is that Patel was a communalist. The evidence given was that while Jawaharlal Nehru wanted to preserve the Hyderabad culture, the enemy of Muslims that the Sardar was, took military action against the expressed opposition of Nehru. The fact was, that the Nizam of Hyderabad and his goonda gangs of Razakars aspired to convert the state into an Islamic kingdom and perpetuate the rule of a 10% minority over 90% majority. To achieve this aim the Nizam was hobnobbing with Pakistan; his police and Razakars were inflicting inhuman atrocities on Hindus and were strengthening their army; the Nizam complained to the Security Council of the United Nations that India was committing aggressive actions to extinguish the independence of the Hyderabad. Nehru cared more for his reputation as a man of peace and the opinion

of foreign countries than the security of India and popular rule for the people of Hyderabad. The Commander-in-Chief of India, General Bucher, a Briton was saying that Hyderabad could bomb Ahmedabad and Bombay and because of the Kashmir trouble India's victory would be doubtful. Nehru's withdrew his opposition to armed intervention when once he was shown the complaint of the British High Commissioner that Razakars were raping 70 years of Nuns besides Hindus. But for the military action by determined Sardar Patel, Hyderabad would have become a third Islamic state in the Indian sub-continent in the very heart of India.

Sardar Patel visited Hyderabad twice after the Police Action, once in February 1949 and the second time in October 1950. He addressed mammoth crowds in the Fateh Maidan. He told the Muslims that they are citizens of free India, with rights equal to Hindus. If anyone of them believed that Pakistan was their land of pilgrimage and that Pakistan would come to their help they were mistaken. They should forget the past and build a great future for India.

In December 1947, 70,000 Muslims gathered in Lucknow. It was attended by Maulana Abul Kalam Azad, the nationalist Muslim. In that meeting, Syed Mahmud, a nationalist Muslim from Bihar said that if Muslims are finished in India, *no Hindu or Sikh will either remain alive*. Such inflammatory speeches were made. Sardar Patel went to Lucknow on 6 January 1948 and addressed a huge gathering. He declared that those people who believed in the two-nation theory and the division of the country and the creation of Pakistan have achieved what they strove for. Their place is not in India. They can freely go to land of their creation. We will provide every facility but if they remain in India they shall remain as Indian citizens totally loyal to the country. He asked why the Muslim organisations are not denouncing Pakistan for its perfidious aggression in J&K. Finally, he warned the Pakistaniat-minded persons to choose between the country of their residence to which they should be loyal and the country that they created. Is there anything wrong in this?

Pakistaniat still grips and rules the minds of the Muslim leadership in India. Look at what Maulana Abul Hasan Ali Nadvi (known as Ali Mian) said at the reception given by the Secretary General of the Pakistan National Alliance to delegates of the first Asian Islamic Conference at Karachi in July 1978, ***“Muslims all over the world including those of India were hopefully looking upto Pakistan for help and guidance and whatever happened in Pakistan or any other Muslim country casts its shadows on the Indian Muslims also. Pakistan’s debacle of 1971 has caused immense grief to Indian Muslims”***. Ali Mian was head of the All India Muslim Personal Law Board (not a government body but seductively named, Muslims’ created body) and Rector of the Nadwatul Ulema, Lucknow. India was at war with Pakistan in 1971; Pakistan’s defeat caused “immense grief” to Muslims in India; India’s victory did not cause delight to India’s Muslim nationals, but grief! Does such grief of a section of residents in India conduce to building and fostering a common virile nation-hood? Looking to Pakistan for help and guidance? Are not help and guidance coming amply from the ISI and jihadi gangs fostered by and located in Pakistan? Are we not familiar with the 26/11/2008 massacre of hundreds of civilians in Mumbai by jihadis from there; Pakistan-based handlers guiding that massacre?

Some Muslims demonstrated against and forced Hindus of north eastern states working in Mumbai, Bengaluru and Hyderabad to leave these places since Hindus in Bodoland of Assam were forcing out the illegal Bangladeshi Muslims infiltrating and inundating their own Bodo land. Some other sections of Muslims are bringing to Delhi & Hyderabad Rohingyas Bangladeshi Muslims who infiltrated into Myanmar (Burma) and are driven out, that is, they are settling foreign Muslims in India. These people have never condemned the expulsion of 4 lakh Hindus and Sikhs from the Muslim-majority Kashmir Valley of J&K, an integral part of Indian territory. The questions that Sardar Patel raised in 1948-50 are the same now; there was no answer then; there is no answer now. Because these questions were asked and Muslims told to behave as true citizens of India with no foreign loyalty Sardar Patel (and anyone else who asks) is called a communalist.

Yet one more incident is the context of the Nehru-Liaqat Ali Pact of April 1950. Lakhs of Hindus from the then East Pakistan (now Bangladesh) were subjected to untold atrocities and made to flee in floods to India. Sardar Patel declared for that one third of East Pakistan would be liberated and a safe area would be created for East – Pakistan minorities under Indian protection. Liaqat Ali Khan, Prime Minister of Pakistan came running; met with Nehru and these two drafted a pact in which among others, Nehru conceded reservations for Muslims in Indian government service including the Armed Forces. When it came for ratification in the Cabinet, Sri N.V.Gadgil, Minister for Works, Housing and Mines immediately opposed that one asserting that India is a secular country and Constitution did away with separate electorate and reservations for Muslims. Nehru was furious. The Dy Prime Minister, Sardar Patel adjourned the meeting. When the draft was placed before the Cabinet next day this clause providing reservations for Muslims in violation of our Constitution and what all the Congress stood during its entire life, was removed. People rightly thought that Sardar Patel was the only person who could stand up to Nehru and remove this communal provision. That is why Sardar Patel is called a communalist and anti-Muslim.

Secularists, some from Muslim writers and leftists and Dynasty loyalists are saying that it was not Sardar Patel who got the 560 Princes to accede to India and integrated the states. The facts are otherwise. When Sardar Patel agreed to Mountbatten's proposal to partition India and create two dominions India and Pakistan, Sardar Patel put a condition to Mountbatten. *"We will deal with that question (Princes); leave it to us. You take no sides. Let paramountcy be dead"*. The way Sardar Patel pleaded, persuaded, threatened and got the Provinces not only to accede to India but also form various unions like Saurashtra, PEPSU, Rajasthan etc. is very well recorded. Mountbatten and many British statesmen paid tributes to Sardar Patel. *Sardar Patel did integrate what is now India into one -nation state a feat which could not be accomplished either by Ashoka or Samudra Gupta or Akbar or the Kings and the Queens of England.* Nikhita Khrushchev, of the Soviet Union who visited India in 1956 paid the highest tribute.

"You Indians are an amazing people. How on earth did you manage to liquidate the princely rule without liquidating the Princes".

Sardar Patel was also the Minister for Information & Broadcasting. He had a British gentleman G.S.Bozman as the Secretary to the Department. He wrote, *"The Sardar (Patel) was the strong man among the Indian leaders. Any discussion which failed to recognise the fact were likely to be unfruitful. He was essentially practical man with whom business could be done but if he was left out, he was in opposition to invoke a veto just as crippling as anything known to UNO"*. In a letter (14-06-1947) to his mother, Mountbatten wrote, *"I must stress the importance of Patel in the agreements so far reached. He has rough exterior and uncompromising manner. his achievements tend to remain below the surface; but he was probably the first of the Congress High Command to realise that the 20th February statement implied partition.....having absorbed that vital implication, he has never wavered and has stood firm against inner voices (of Mahatma Gandhi) and neutral indecisions (of Nehru) that have sometimes afflicted his colleagues.*

When once Sardar Patel submitted his resignation because of Nehru's and Gandhi's partisan attitude in favour of Muslims and hostile to the Hindu-Sikh refugees from Pakistan during the communal riots in Delhi and with Gandhi for his neglecting the interests of millions of refugees who poured into Delhi from Pakistan, Mountbatten, the Governor General beseeched Mahatma Gandhi to prevail upon Sardar Patel to withdraw his resignation as without Sardar Patel, the government could not be run.

Pigmies, resident non-Indians, psychopants of the Dynasty and the traditionally anti-Hindu leftist intellectuals and parties are the ones that are exerting to besmirch the name of Sardar Patel. Hundreds of projects, universities, buildings and welfare schemes are all named after the Dynasty and within it, after a person who was Prime Minister just for five years and after whose rule the Congress never became the majority party in the Lok Sabha. It is great that now Sardar Patel's memory is being

revived and he will be remembered by the nation through the tallest statue that is being built in Ahmedabad under the title of “**Unifier of India**”.

Dt: 30/09/2014

Population, Development, & Employment

The greatest problem facing India's youth is inadequate gainful employment. This is linked with the growth of our economy and the technologies that are the engines of development. While almost every industry and business required permit, licence and quota, during the Nehruvian socialist era which lasted from 1947-91, that which did not receive any licence was the production of children and so the growth of the population. From under 40 cr in 1951 the first year of socialist planned development, we are now more than 125 cr. Excepting the Muslim and some African countries no country witnessed such huge growth. When we were about 70 cr the population was growing at about 2.8% that is, we were adding about 20 mln people a year. When we were 100 cr population, we were growing at about 1.8% that is, we were adding 18 mln people a year. And now even as the population growth rate come down to 1.5%, we will be adding over 19 mln people a year. The base has increased too much and the declining the growth rate will still adding 19 mln people a year. Never did we create more than 10 mln jobs in any year. And that 10 mln was when our economy's GDP was growing at about 8.5%. But now the growth rate has come down to about 5%.

The latest national sample survey shows that there are about 420-450 mln people in the working age. Of them only 17.9% have a regular monthly wage/salary. 29.9% are casual labourers, looking for work on a daily basis 52.2% are self-employed; they include those who sell vegetables on push-carts and sell eatables in the morning and afternoon for workers, repair cycles and shoes. They are also small street corner vendors. Our agriculture is contributing 18% to the GDP but 49% of the work force is related to the agricultural operations. It is obvious

that the output per agricultural labourer is very small. The manufacturing sector contributes 27% to the GDP and employees 24% of the work force. The per worker earnings are higher than that of the agricultural labourers. The services sector contributes 55% of the GDP but only 27% of the work force is engaged in this sector. That means the productivity or wealth produced per worker is highest in the services sector. This is understandable because it employs educated, and technically qualified people. The manufacturing sector engages skilled people. Their contribution to wealth production is much less than that of the services sector. With no skills excepting manual labour the output per worker is the lowest in the agricultural sector. As the economy develops fewer and fewer people will be engaged in the agricultural sector because of mechanisation of many operations, requiring less manual labour but more skills. But they will be producing all the food required because of scientific and large scale farming and higher yields.

Development shifts people from agriculture and rural areas into manufacturing and further education shifts them into the services sector. But both manufacturing and services sector require fewer and fewer people for producing the same output because of mechanisation and information technology. The problem therefore is with less educated and less skilled and less educated rural people who in search of work will be migrating to urban areas.

When the First Five Year Plan (1951-56) was being formulated the late JRD Tata pleaded with Jawaharlal Nehru to impose family planning so that unbridled population growth would not nullify the economic growth and detract from rise in per capita income. He said that by the time born today attains the age of 18 years, when he can earn and contribute to nation's wealth, the state would have to spend on education, health, electricity, roads, transport, water, housing, sanitation etc., and that would be a huge amount. For every child birth avoided (say after one or two), that much could be invested for industrial and business growth, for creation of employment and prosperity and security for the country. He even proposed rewards for families with only two children, a fixed

deposit certificate which can be encashed with accretion of annual interest, when the mother attained the age of 45 and remained with two children only. Nehru dismissed the idea- the result is runaway growth in India's population. Millions of young are an asset provided they grew in health and are educated and skilled to be employable or to become entrepreneurs. Uneducated, unskilled multitudes are a liability to kept alive and humoured in painless poverty as voters to be bribed with "welfare" and entitlements, rights for everything (including for a spouse and child and leisure and free food). The talk of "demographic dividend" is pleasant gas but poisonous deception, if welfare spending undermines investment in enablers- education, health, highways, electricity, irrigation, industry and defence.

It is unfortunate that our political leadership is mostly engaged in exercises for gaining government power. They resort to populism more and more welfare pay outs and distribution of gadgets – TVs, cell phones, grinders, mixers, i-pads (to farmers), and sarees and dhotis, Rs. 5 meals, Rs. 1/- breakfast and Rs. 1/kg rice etc. Quality education and limited family are not being canvassed and held to be essential for everybody to get a decent job and poor can quit poverty instead of looking to subsidies and free provisions. The constant talk of increasing welfare and free supplies of everything to the poor can enable people to survive in comfortable poverty, all the while depending upon governments all free provisions. This is disastrous to the country's prosperity and its emerging as a powerful nation with respect among others.

The first and foremost attention of our policy makers and rulers should be to contain the population. While the well-to-do are having only one or two children, it is the rest who are proliferating. Some communities see large families and growth of their particular population as enablers to get political power. The welfare payments have ballooned from about Rs. 40,000 cr in 2004 to over Rs. 250,000 cr currently. This must be cut down. **Government should restrict welfare only to families with one, at the best, two children.** The moment a third child arrives in the family, the family must be barred from every welfare measure

including fee reimbursement; Rs.1 or no rupee per kg rice ; free electrify; subsidised housing etc., must all be withheld. The runaway increase in population is going to be a disaster, imperilling our economy, social stability and good politics. China must be an example for us in respect of population. The one-child norm during the period of economic growth, raised per capita income and rapid poverty reduction. It enabled employment for all, good education and better paid jobs. Those who believe that god gives children should look to God and not government to live and proliferate.

Dt: 27/06/2014

Pamulaparthi Venkata Narasimha Rao The Pradhama Bharatiya Pradhana Manthri

On June 28, the 93rd Jayanthi of the late Sri P V Narasimha Rao garu has been ordered to be honoured as a State function by the Government of Telengana. This is a fine and excellent gesture for the Telugu Bidda who had the unique honour of becoming the Prime Minister of India from the South for a full five year term. The circumstances then (1991) were very adverse - our foreign reserves hit the rock -bottom; all the gold that was in our vaults was taken to London and pledged to the bankers there to get a loan so that we can import the essentials like oil for the next few months. The Congress party did not have the majority in the Lok Sabha. After the assassination of Rajiv Gandhi, Sonia was sulking and she was doubly, angry that not a loyalist but a rank outsiders like Narasimha Rao became the Congress chosen Prime Minister.

"I am the last English -man to rule India ". This was what Pt. Jawaharlal Nehru told John Kenneth Galbraith, the famed US Ambassador to India. Galbraith was stunned. He expected that in Independent India a famous leader would be proud of Indianness but Jawaharlal Nehru prided in being an English -man. He fulfilled the wish of Lord Thomas Babington Macaulay who replaced the Indian system of education by the English system so that there would, in India be **"a class of anglicised Indians who would be**

Indian only in colour and blood but totally English in all other aspects.

Narasimha Rao was a great scholar and fully conversant with, our great heritage and the state craft that was revealed by Bheeshma to the Pandavas, by Chanakya in the Artha Sastra and the great and wise rule of patriots like the Vijayanagara Kings, Chatrapati Sivaji and Raja Ranjit Singh. He knew that how extensive Bharata Varsha was - from Buddhist Central Asia to the Indian Sub-Continent, farther to the East covering the Sailendra and Sri Vijaya Kingdoms of what are now Malaysia and Indonesia, Kampuchea, Thailand, Laos, Vietnam, South China and upto Philippines. He was aware of the Ayodhya Princess married to a Korean King and the Dynasty of Kim there which was proud to trace its lineage to Ayodhya. Rao was not only a scholar of every aspect of **Sanatana Dharma** but of the chequered history of Bharata Varsha, and the vicissitudes of its fortunes. He was aware that Bharat was the most powerful and prosperous nation in centuries past, even upto the first decade of the 18th century. He was aware of the great manufacturing and exporting and trading traditions of India; of the world's great scholars coming to Bharat and partaking of the accumulated knowledge in our great universities like Takshasila, Vikramasila, Nalanda, Kasi and Ujjain. Armed with this great knowledge of Bharat's great and glorious past, he launched a clean break with the permit-licence-quota raj, otherwise called **Nehruvian Socialism** which had extinguished the famed entrepreneurship of India's classes. He unleashed the entrepreneurial spirit of the Bharatiyas by doing away with state capitalism also known as Nehruvian socialism. With one clean sweep, **he liberalised the Indian economy inviting our entrepreneurs** utilise the natural resources of this country and accumulated knowledge of enterprise, to unleash economic development. We broke out from the stultifying 3.5 % annual growth in GDP, the Indian economy started growing upto 8.5% per annum in the years to come that again, under another Bharatiya Pradhana Manthri, Sri Atal Bihari Vajpayee.

One great example of the success of liberalisation initiated by the great seer Sri P V Narasimha Rao is in telecommunications and IT. He boldly enunciated the National Telecom Policy (NTP) - in 1994, the full flowering of which took place under the second Bharatiya Pradhana Manthri Vajpayee in 1999 when the National Telecom Policy (NTP)- '94 was further liberalised and all vestiges of monopoly were abolished. The result is ***haath haath mein telephone*** and **its universal affordability even by the poorest** and India emerging an information technology services resource for the world.

In addition to the great economic liberalisation in order to realise the Bharatiya's potential of adventure and entrepreneurship, Sri Narasimha Rao **oriented India's foreign policy towards the East**. Until 1991 under the Nehruvian and Indira Gandhian direction India became more or less a running dog of the Arab and other Islamic Countries, especially in their hostility to Israel. Despite our unreasonable instantaneous support for every move of the Islamic countries, the Organisations of Islamic Conference (OIC) has been year after year condemning India for violation of human rights of Kashmir's Muslims, who are alleged to be groaning under India's military occupation. India's unrequited love and friendship to the OIC countries. Sri Narasimha Rao reminded Bharat that Bharatiya Sanskriti and its soft power was to the East of India, in Brahmadesh, (Myanmar) and further East, the whole of South East Asia. He laid the foundation for ***South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC)*** which further extended to ***Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN)*** to cover upto Korea. A Chinese Ambassador to the United Nations once said that China was never conquered by any foreign nation excepting by India and that conquest of India was not by sending soldiers, but by giving to the Chinese people the message of Buddha. That is a high tribute to Bharat which Narasimha Rao was fully aware. The third Bharatiya Pradhan Manthri is Sri Narendra Bhai Modi who, in further strengthening of Narasimha Rao's **Look East** policy, invited SAARC countries for his swearing ceremony.

We have had several Prime Ministers since 1947 but only three of them are truly Bharatiyas – Narasimha Rao, Atal Bihari Vajpayee and Narendra Bhai Modi. It was a daring step to break from Nehruvian socialism and misplaced foreign policy that Narasimha Rao initiated. Just as Ambedkar is revered and commemorated long after his passing away, Sri Narasimha Rao will be revered and commemorated in an ever increasing measure as Bharat's prosperity and power once again become extensive. In characteristic selfishness and jealousy and exclusivity of the Dynasty, the Congress party under tutelage to a foreign origin proprietor, showed despicable discourtesy in not allowing the cremation of the great Narasimha Rao in Delhi. Nor did that party or its government has been showing elementary courtesy to the great Narasimha Rao. It is commendable that the Chief Minister of the Telangana State created on the premise of self - respect, has decided to observe Narasimha Rao's Jayanti as a State function. Sri Narasimha Rao belongs not only to Telangana and Telugu people but the whole of Bharat.

Dt: 27/06/2014

The Uniqueness in Sri Modi's Victory

That the BJP has by itself got the majority of the Lok Sabha seats (282) and together with its allies 336 is in itself a significant development, putting an end to creaky coalition ministries. People were fed up with the corruption and non-governance of the 10-year long UPA government.

One type anger found expressed in Anna Hajare's movement against corruption especially in his demand for his version of the Lok Pal Bill. Had Anna Hajare campaigned for the BJP, critics would have said the BJP and Modi won because of Anna Hajare's movement.

People's anger found another expression in the emergence of the Aam Aadmi Party (AAP). If the BJP had an alliance with the AAP, critics

would have said that Modi-led victory was due to Kejriwal and his AAP.

If Nitish Kumar of the JDU of Bihar did not break with the BJP, critics would have said the BJP's victory was in a great measure due to the alliance with Nitish's JDU especially in Bihar.

As it happened, neither Anna Hajare nor Kejriwal nor Nitish Kumar was associated with the BJP in the Lok Sabha polls 2014. On the contrary the latter two that is Kejriwal and Nitish Kumar viciously campaigned against the BJP especially targeting Sri Narendra Modi. It is in spite of these three persons and their flocks that the BJP won under the leadership of Sri Narendra Bhai Modi. It is also the BJP's stalwarts the famed L K Advani and the scientist politician Dr. Murali Manohar Joshi were not involved in the campaigns of the BJP all over India. That Modi and the BJP secured such an impressive victory and reduced Sonia Congress to a mere 44 and the loquacious communist parties to a mere 9 is the evidence of impact that Sri Narendra Modi made on the people of India. It is significant that people have seen through the secularism of the non-BJP parties as anti-Hinduism and therefore in States like UP where the secular parties were whipped up the minority card, 36 minority candidates put up by these secular parties were all defeated. Making one, to think that there was reverse polarisation against the minorityist secularism.

The conclusion can be no other than that the victory of the BJP was the work of Sri Narendra Modi. That gives him moral authority and independence to steer the BJP and the government to a new economic education and culture policies building upon the great knowledge and heritage of Bharat in these sectors over the millennia. We now have a full blooded Bharatiya as a Prime Minister. Bharatiyata started asserting first through Sri P V. Narasimha Rao who buried the Nehruvian socialism and initiated the look east policy. He had to labour under great restrictions because of the Dynasty's sulk and the conspiracies to destabilise his government by men like Arjun Singh. The next Bharatiya Prime Minister Sri Atal Bihari Vajpayee had no more than 190 BJP MPs with him. He had to have over 20 parties as his coalition partners in order to have a

majority in the Lok Sabha. He very dexterously managed the coalition. He could not inject sufficient Bharatiyata into governance and policies. Now there is an opportunity for Sri Narendhra Bhai Modi to implement the full blooded Bharatiya policies in every sector and put Bharat on the way to prosperity, power, scholarship (scientific , industrial, economic) and ethical (education, culture and gurutva) to become Jagatguru once again. The Artha Sastra of Chanakya, the Ramayana and Mahabharata of Valmiki and Vyasa shall be the sources of wisdom and action.

Dt: 3/07/2014

Certifying but not Qualifying “Education”

The Vice Chancellor of Osmania University had in June 2014 said that 75% of the BA, B.Sc, B.Com etc., graduates are unemployable . About 60% of those who appear in such examinations get the pass certificate. Of them 25% only are employable. **That is, 85% of those who join the university classes are unemployable!** NASCOM, the National Association of Software Companies has been asserting that only 10% of the B.Tech certificate holders are employable and maybe, another 10% can be trained to be employable. About 13 lakh students join the 3600 engineering colleges in the country every year. 85% that is, about 11 lakh B.Techs are certified but not all are qualified to be employed. What for then is this nation spending money on the university education? Here in Andhra Pradesh the 720 and odd engineering colleges have an admission capacity of 3,25,000 . About 2,80,000 are “qualifying” to enter engineering colleges through the admission test, EAMCET. Of these only about 2,50,000 are joining the colleges. About a lakh of seats are going unfilled. There are about 10 lakhs unemployed B.Tech certificate holding young men and women in A.P. There is no immediate prospect of India’s’ ability to create employment for the lakhs of engineers we are graduating. This B.Tech certificate holders India is producing is more than the combined number of such persons produced by the USA, China, UK, Germany and Japan. Our economy is a fraction of the US or China’s economy. Some of our politicians have been bragging about the demographic dividend that is, the number of young men we

are producing every year is a great asset compared to hardly any increase in the population of the developed countries. But what is the use of adding 18 mln people to our country every year, while we are creating no more than about 5 mln jobs a year? Young people are an asset if they are educated and skilled and are enterprising to create wealth by utilising the natural resources like the sun , the minerals , the river waters and the forests and the oceans. If they are unemployable or if we cannot create gainful employment for them, they are so many mouths to feed, clothe, house and care for their health at enormous cost.

The quality of engineers we are producing is very poor. The main reason is that our intermediate education has been completely destroyed. Private colleges are coaching these young only to pick up answers to questions . There is no understanding of the fundamentals whether they be physics, chemistry, biology or maths. In the engineering colleges text books are seldom read by the students. In most colleges, the “**JNTU Bible**” a compilation of the last few years questions in the EAMCET and answers only are “read” . A few examples illustrate abysmal level of a knowledge of even the best among them.

I interact with the associates in the largest IT company in the country during lunch. I ask questions like this:

- You are an electrical engineering graduate. Tell me what is hysteresis. What is the formula for power in a three phase power supply. What do you do to improve the power factor . The answers invariably are that either they are not taught or they have forgotten. These betray the lack of elementary knowledge of electrical engineering.
- I ask the ECE graduate what is Heaviside layer? Why do broadcasting stations go on changing the frequency for transmission during the day and according to seasons. Why code division multiplex is superior to time division multiplex. No answer.

- I ask the IT graduate, what is VOIP? What is steganography? What is digital compression? No these things are not taught.
- I ask a bio-medical engineer who has taken Botany and Biology in his Intermediate. There is a potted plant, you weigh when you put the seed. After the seed sprouts and the plant grows say, to 50-100 cms height you weigh it, there is an increase in weight. Where and how did this extra weight come from. No answer.

It is obvious that these people have studied the **JNTU Bible** and not any text book which explains fundamental physical and chemical properties and processes. How can such people be creative.

A few years ago the Government of Andhra Pradesh introduced fee reimbursement for university courses. This was advertised as a measure for “inclusive” development, that is, the poor and the disadvantaged should be helped to become among other engineers. This is a very good idea but see the consequence. The father is a barber or a washerman or a potter. Wonderfully, the son is enabled to graduate as an engineer college at no cost to the family because of the fee reimbursement. The education in the collect is miserable. But they come out with a certificate, B.Tech. Because of the poor instruction and learning and understanding, they are not employable so they are jobless. How can a young person with a B.Tech certificate take to his father’s profession. There is no earning. It is such people who are in the 10 lakh unemployed B.Tech certificate holders. Engineering education has become a farce in the state. The managements of the colleges will one day or the other surely get the fee reimbursement for the number of students that are enrolled in the colleges. Because of unemployment, not many are wanting to join the engineering colleges. The college managements are employing canvassers whose job is to approach the parents of those who qualified to enter engineering colleges through the EAMCET. Competitive offers are made - will give Rs. 20,000 if your son opts for our college; another says not only Rs. 20,000 but we give free transport; a third offers Rs. 20,000 free transport and mid-day meals and the fourth says, besides those three we will give full attendance; and the fifth says in addition to

those four, we will give the 25% marks that are in our hands. Now where is the incentive for the young to learn, the teacher to teach and the management to improve the teaching and learning.

Instead of fee reimbursements scholarships should be given to those who are wanting to learn seriously. Loans also maybe given which carry a moratorium for repayment. Whatever is given free, has no merit nor does it add to the empowerment of any person.

It is easy to point out all the deficiencies but can anyone suggest any solution. The rot has started at the Intermediate stage. It is now being taken by the corporate education enterprises to the secondary stage of education, into high schools.

With less than 5% growth in GDP, how can we create enough jobs. When our GDP was growing at 8.5% to 9% we were able to create 10mln jobs a year. Of course this was much less than the 18 mln we are adding every year to the country’s population. During the UPA regime no more than 5 mln jobs per year could be created. Now there is talk of improving employability by imparting skills in communication and by development of personality. This is another fashionable “solution”. Skills and personality grow from childhood, by nurture in the home, by instruction in the elementary and secondary stage schools, by extensive reading not only text books but also literature and history. The great characters in our ethics wow how did they grow what did they perform how did they move with other its knowledge of these. That for childhood builds up one’s personality. His confidence, ability to communicate with others and is ability to learn and acquire knowledge. Money-makers thrive by marketing vending high sounding courses in communication skills and personality development skills come through ability to learn and speak and be spoken to; moving with others to share or to excel; initiative and cooperative behaviour come through collective activity. The ability to write out thoughts and to speak and pronounce properly are to be cultivated from childhood, from the lowest class in schools. Neglecting all these and just going through costly personality development and communication skills classes, one will not be able to acquire which is not imbibed.

Many a distinguished engineer, economist and scientist in India had his education through the mother tongue upto the matriculation; the education in schools and from the parents was such that they could rise to great positions through impressive accomplishments. Our education has been destroyed in the name of secularism which branded Mahabharata and Ramayana as Hindu literature; populism which led to elevating politicians in power as benefactors of the nation and slogans of social justice, inclusive growth and welfare of the poor laying seeds for class conflict. It requires a few years to root out the rot and introduce true education that promotes natural growth of personality and communication skills.

Dt: 24/07/2014

Why did America drop not one but two atom bombs on Japan ?

After the surrender of Germany in May 1945 the US unleashed its full Naval might for the defeat of Japan. Towards the end of July 1945 the American Naval Forces landed in Okinawa, the outlying Japanese Island in the Pacific Ocean. The Japanese put up an unprecedented resistance on the ground. About 80% of the more than three lakh people on the Island perished fighting. This slaughter was terrible. Thousands of American soldiers also died. President Harry Truman was faced with a dilemma. If the American forces went on to the Japanese mainland they would encounter as much fierce resistance as in Okinawa. Millions of Japanese men and women will fight and die when Americans land upon the Japanese mainland. Tens of thousands of American soldiers would also die. The Japanese were determined to die rather than surrender to the Americans. If the fight was taken to the finish, the slaughter on either side would be too terrible and mankind would be shocked. In those circumstance Truman had to decide as to how to end the war with the least slaughter. Should he use the atom bomb? It was with a heavy conscience and over the opposition of the greatest scientist of that time, Einstein that he decided and dropped the

first atom bomb on Hiroshima. A few hundred thousand Japanese died. But Japan did not surrender. Truman had to drop the second bomb over Nagasaki. A few more lakhs of people died. The destruction was awesome. Then only Japan surrendered. What was the judgment of the world? Was Truman right in the use of the atom bombs and putting an end to the Second World War avoiding the slaughter of many more millions. The world by and large approved Truman's decision as it chose a lesser evil.

In the Mahabharata war the strategy of Kaurava generalissimos Bheeshma and Drona was to drive away Arjuna from the main battle field. They detailed the Samsaptakas, lakhs of them to challenge Arjuna and take him away from the main battle field. In the event, almost all the Samsaptakas were slaughtered. They fought knowing that none would survive.

These two historic events are recalled in the context of Israel's war on Hamas in Gaza. The Hamas is a non-state actor, fanatically committed to the destruction of Israel. It is prepared to sacrifice every one of its people just as the Japanese were fighting to the last man and not wanting to surrender; just like the Samsaptakas fighting Arjuna in war and getting wiped out. Neither the Japanese nor Samsaptakas cared for human life. Similarly, the Hamas is prepared to sacrifice all men and women and even children over whom it has established its despotic rule. This is why like the Samsaptakas it is fighting Israel. It is not difficult for Israel to wipe out, God forbid, whole of Gaza. Hamas seems to be prepared for that with its leaders in the meanwhile hoping that world opinion would be outraged and force Israel to stop its onslaught. Israel is now faced with the same moral problem as President Truman faced in 1945. It will be considered inhuman to support Israel in its determination and decisive actions to put an end to this non-state actor, Hamas. It is worthwhile remembering that excepting condemnation of Israel, no Arab country, including Gaza's neighbour Egypt or Palestinian Authority or other Islamic countries are raising any furore. They all know that Hamas is a fanatic and reckless organisation and that it would

not hesitate to turn against anyone including its own Umma in its death-inviting resistance to Israel.

India has been a victim of relentless jihadi terrorists in several cities of India and over the entire Kashmir valley. We have deployed several divisions of our armed forces in this small area of Kashmir and empowered them with the Armed Force Special Powers Act to tackle the death-inviting suicidal fanatical jihadis. In the light of the historic events cited above and our present problem inside India especially, in Kashmir, it would be prudent for India to just hope for the best and if at all, make only proforma statements urging the combatants to cease warfare and settle the problem through mediation by countries of goodwill to both.

Dt: 26/06/2014

Backwardness as a Badge for Power

There was a time if any person or class of persons were called backward great offence would be taken and the glories of that persons' family and his class would be recounted with great pride. But alas! For the past few decades, especially since the INC has been taken over by a lady of foreign origin, **backwardness has become a badge of pride and right to privilege and power.** Muslims who ruled this country in parts for hundreds of years, who pride in saying that they brought culture to this country are saying that they are backward. Almost every caste in Hinduism is also stridently demanding that it should be classified as a backward class and given the special privileges of reservation in government jobs, legislatures an admission to educational institutions and so on. Backwardness has become so respectful that Brahmans in Andhra Pradesh represented to Js. Puttappa Commission that their caste be classified as backward. When asked in wonderment how, while everybody is denouncing Brahminism, Brahmans could claim to be backward, their answer was that all those among them **who were "forward" had migrated to the USA and only the backwards among them are left in India and so** they are entitled to be classified as a backward caste.

Jats in North India, Marathas in Maharashtra and Kammas, Reddys, Velamas and Kapus in Telugunadu are sturdy, well to do farming classes. As land lords, they had been wielding considerable power in rural areas and in the past few decades they had also taken to education and are coming abreast of the traditionally educated Brahmans. Now they are also wanting to be classified as backward.

Political parties are very unwisely yielding to this shameful claim of backwardness. In view of the coming elections (late 2014) to the state Legislative Assembly, Maharashtra government has decided to give 20% reservation for Marathas and 5% for Muslims. In UP, competition for Muslims votes put the figure of reservations for Muslims from 5% to 18%. In Telangana the regional party has promised 12% reservation for Muslims who until the liberation of the Hyderabad state from the Nizam, while constituting 9% of the population had 90% of government jobs. Regional parties in Tamilnadu have classified more than 70% of the people as backward and put Muslims also in that category. In West Bengal, the regional party TMC is also promising between 15% to 18% to the ever increasing Muslim population in that State.

Backwardness in India is thus growing inspite of 12 Five Year Plans to get out of poverty and backwardness. This is despite the ***garibhi hatao*** and such populist programs from Indira Gandhi days onwards and despite claims of great development, the UPA-II government enacted the Food Security law putting 65% of India's population Below Poverty Line (BPL) to be given almost free food grains. Backwardness conceded to every caste and commitment to give free to the poor now become the dominant discourse of political parties and social justice warriors. If continued this will spell ruin to this country. We should hope that this unwise populist discourse and demands will be put an end to by the no nonsense Bharatiya Pradhana Manthri who should place **work ethic over welfare ethic;** self improvement instead of dependency; education and skills above entitlement.

Poverty could never be eliminated absolutely; it could be ameliorated by well-designed measures like motivating the poor to work, acquire skills in demand and have limited families. Backwardness can likewise be left behind by education and help to enterprise. Preservation of the poor and backward by promoting dependency in them is no virtue.

Dt: 30/07/2014

Israel and Gaza

The demand of a number of non-NDA MPs in the Parliament that India should denounce Israel for its actions in Gaza is unreasonable, unwarranted and is not in the interest of India. Hamas is a terrorist organisation, publicly and proudly announcing its determination to destroy Israel and throw out the Jews out of Israel and bring that land under Palestinian dominion. The position of Hamas vis-a-vis Israel is exactly like that of various secessionist jihadi outfits in J&K in relation to India. India has been having to keep several divisions of its armed forces to control the secessionist, jihadi terrorist actions in Kashmir. Armed Forces Special Powers act to deal with this inhuman jihadi activities in Kashmir.

Further almost every year the Organisation of Islamic Countries (OIC) denounces India for suppressing human rights of the Kashmiris by our armed forces, despite the decades-long support of India for the Palestinians. Israel has been and is a very strong and sincere friend of India, helping us in the development of our defence strength.

It is strange that the BJP unit in J&K has joined the anti-Indian secessionists in their denunciation of Israel. No amount of appeasement will get the sworn enemies of India to support India's integrity and sovereignty. The NDA government should firmly reject the demands of the minority's votes-seeking parties to denounce Israel. Hamas is using civilians as human shield and their homes for launching missiles. It is thereby courting martyrdom in order to evoke sympathy from other nations. This deception should not mislead us.

Hamas is a militant, non-state terrorist Palestinian organisation vowing destruction of Israel. It has broken away from the PLO which has made some sort of peace with Israel. Hamas has turned civilian homes, hospitals and educational institutions into missile launching sites and armouries in Gaza. From these apparently civilian buildings it has fired over 18000 missiles into populated towns and even Dimona the nuclear facility in Israel.

But since Israel has an iron shield over its air space, (an electromagnetic shield) all these missiles are being destroyed in the air itself but Israel is having to have severe restrictive measures for normal work to go on. In sheer self defence Israel is compelled to take out those buildings in Gaza which are used for launching missiles. Obviously the civilians living and working in those buildings will be hurt and killed. This destruction is deliberately invited by the Hamas in order to get worldwide sympathy by accusing Israel of wanton destruction of civilians. Hamas constructed a network of tunnels into Israel to sneak terrorists and tunnels into Egypt to smuggle war material into Gaza. It would be wrong for the world to condemn Israel for the death of civilians in Gaza as the Hamas is inviting this destruction. Hamas once boasted that (women's) wombs will be used as bombs, meaning that Hamans/ Hizbullah mothers would produce suicide bombers. The world should condemn Hamas and not Israel. Israel has been showing all possible restraint but if its civilians are in peril then Dharma dictates it should defend itself by every possible means and evil doers should be put an end to. In fact this is the lesson that Mahabharata teaches us and the world.

The NDA government should be complimented for resolutely refusing to take sides in the conflict between Israel and the terrorist Hamas which is running a government parallel to that of the Palestinian Authority. Hamas is a non-state terrorist outfit which has taken over Gaza just as ISIL is taking over territories in the Middle East. We should not go out of the way to embarrass and discomfit our staunch allies like Israel. Secularism in India has come to mean dancing to the likes of a particular community and their kin elsewhere.

The UN Relief and Work Agency (UNRWA) has been sheltering and feeding tens of thousands of Palestinians since 1967. India is one of the countries which is also contributing to the expense of the UNRWA. In the entire history of mankind, there never was a time and place when so many people were so long held to be refugees and fed at the cost of the rest of the world. This is in contrast to 400,000 Hindu Pandits expelled from Kashmir by jihadi Muslim terrorists living in camps in Jammu and Delhi without care by the UN or even by the Government of India for 25 years.

Obviously, in India politics is Muslim-centric all the while and by almost all the parties save to some extent, the BJP. But it is surprising that the J&K unit of the BJP is demonstrating against Israel notwithstanding the humiliating fact of four lakh Hindu pundits staying and suffering as refugees in their own country.

Indian government should just keep quiet and allow the problem to be sorted out between Israel and Hamas.

Who Wins?

Life's battles do not always go
To the strongest or fastest men
Soon or late the man who wins
Is the one who thinks he can
Then welcome each rebuff
That turns earth's smoothness rough
Each sting that bids not sit nor stand, but go!

-Robert Browning

Permanent Poverty for the Poor Prosperity for Politicians

That according to the World Bank, one third of the world's poor are in India is a tribute to India's political parties and the politicians. There have been 12 five year plans each one more eloquently proclaiming that its aim is to eliminate poverty. Those who repeatedly asserted that they and their party are totally committed to elimination of poverty are Jawaharlal Nehru, Indira Gandhi, Rajiv Gandhi. In 1969 Indira Gandhi gave the slogan garibhi hatao and nationalised several banks and coal mines professing to use those resources for garibhi hatao programs. There was a 15 point program, later on expanded to 20 points by her successor Rajiv Gandhi to eliminate poverty. During the 10-year rule of Sonia Gandhi-led UPA governments (2004 - 14), spending on welfare of the poor was stepped up from about Rs. 40,000 cr in the year 2003-04 to about Rs. 2,50,000 cr besides an equal amount on subsidies. There had been a one-time Rs. 70,000 cr loan waiver for farmers in 2008 and since the last several years, under NREGA about Rs. 40,000 cr per annum are being given away to rural labourers. In the southern states (Tamilnadu, Andhra Pradesh & Karnata) political parties competed with one another and distributed bicycles, uniforms, mixers, grinders, TVs, a packet of provisions for the kitchen, cell phones, lap tops and now Andhra Pradesh has decided to give I-pads to farmers, doles for the unemployed, nutritious food for the pregnant, performance of mass marriages, waiver of the debt owned by women's self help groups and a second round of waiver of farmers debts; tens of lakhs of Indira Awas houses for the poor (claimed to have been built), free electricity, to poor homes, rice @ Rs. 1/- per kg, for over 85% of families; fee reimbursement costing about Rs. 5000 cr per year for all under graduate courses.

Inspite of all these measures according to the Planning Commission 30% of India's population is still below poverty line. The Food Security Bill envisages spending of about Rs. 2 lakh cr per year for the poor 67% of India's population! that is, not only the BPL but some above the

BPL also will be given food grains at throw away prices and some States want to give them free.

All these measures are keeping the poor alive but not enabling them to get out of poverty. There is the wise Chinese saying that if we want to poor live for a day we should give him fish but if they have to live for years then we have to teach them how to fish. India's political parties and leaders are content with spending ever increasing money in the name of the poor to keep them alive, obviously in continuing poverty. If the poor are not there, there can be no political activity for the parties are competing with one another to give ever more fantastic freebies to the poor. What does an illiterate farmer do with an I-Pad beats the understanding of intelligent people. Where there are going to be common service centers which will give every type of government information for people in every walk of life freely, why should the mostly illiterate farmers be given I-pads?

House sites are claimed to have been given to the poor. The local politically influential persons got these sites allotted to the poor but did not give them physical possession. They consolidated them into 200-400 sqyd plots as properties for themselves or sold them away with the poor left only with pieces of paper.

It is obvious that widespread corruption and personal and family aggrandisement in the name of poverty elimination has become the main trade of the politicians and political parties. Huge amount of wealth is being created in the country by the increasing number of aspiring and able entrepreneurs but that wealth is getting concentrated in a few. China also has been experiencing huge wealth creation but one percent of China's families have come to possess 30% of the nation's wealth. India is creating dollar multi billionaires faster than every other country. Inequality is not only growing but is becoming conspicuous. The rich are indulging in vulgar display of their wealth as exemplified by one person having a 20-storied residential building with a helipad on top, the whole costing a few hundred crores of rupees. Crony capitalism has enabled a business man MP to float an airline which has collapsed

and the gentleman MP is in a over Rs. 4000 crores debt to banks. He is declared a wilful defaulter but nothing will happen to him. A former Chief Minister of a secular; social justice party in Bihar was sentenced to imprisonment after about 20 years of trial for misappropriating money allocated for fodder for animals. But he is out of jail on bail or parole and has resumed his full political activities. Neither sloganeering about socialism, inclusive growth, elimination of poverty nor the waiver of loans is lifting the poor out of poverty. The poor are periodically given gifts like TVs, radios, micro-waves ovens, stoves, i-pads, cell phones, mangal suthras. One politician in a girl deficient State has promised to bring girls from Bihar for marriage to his wife-less young male voters. The old adage that one can fool all the people for some time; some people for all the time but not all the people all the time appears to be invalid in respect of our politicians. Most MPs seeking a follow-on term had declared two to ten times more wealth than five years ago.

Keeping people in poverty with unavailing education and periodical distribution of cash and waivers of debts is providing for the continued prosperity and power for politicians.

Corruption & Nehru Family

Once when N. Sanjeeva Reddy was the President of India, he wrote to Indira Ggandhi, Prime Minister about rampant corruption in the government, Indiraji reacted violently and wrote back to Sanjeeva Reddy about the extraordinary sacrifices that her family has made for the freedom of India. Sanjeeva Reddy was not an ignorant man. He was involved in the National Congress, in the freedom struggle. What he wrote back (Sri N Sanjeeva Reddy's letter dated 11.10.1981) to Indira Gandhi is most appropriate to recall. Here it is:

"...I am aware about the contribution of your grandfather and father to the cause of India's Independence, who under the leadership of Mahatma Gandhi, along with men like Sardar Patel, Rajaji, Rajen Babu and Subhash Chandra Bose, gave up lucrative careers and joined the freedom struggle. The place your family has in the country's recent history is very well known. We should also not forget men like Prakasam, who had given up his flourishing practice at the bar to join the non cooperation movement and thousands of unknown men and women all over the country but whose wholehearted participation in the freedom struggle, in response to Gandhiji's call, the country might not have achieved Independence at all. Many of them had to sell their properties to support themselves and their families; not only had they to undergo privation in their life time but their families are now living in penury. On the other hand some of us who are living today have the good fortune of reaping the benefit of their sacrifice...."

Open letter to the Chief Ministers of Telangana & Andhra Pradesh**Who should be in the TTD and other
Temple Trust Boards in the Telugu States**

As never before **Hindu dharma** is under attack and aggression by religions of foreign origin which have as their mission the **conversion of all Hindus to their faiths**. **Hindu dharma** has a universal message—there is only one God who maybe called by any name, may be conceived in any form, maybe worshiped in any manner, he has no **chosen people** and no despised people; everyone must lead a moral and ethical life in harmony with all of God's creation and so conduce to social good and harmony. It is tolerant of all sincerely held faiths and ways of worship and beliefs. It exhorts that all should live in mutual respect and harmony and should strive together and not hate any one. It is the only belief system that is inclusive of all and is in conformity with nature which has tremendous diversity and yet obeys one universal law of coexistence and evolution.

It is therefore necessary that the **Hindu dharma should be preserved and protected from aggressions of those who are mutually opposed to one another and together are opposed to Hindu dharma** believing, asserting and wishing to impose their religion on all the world's population. While Hindu Dharma promotes peaceful, co-operative, co-existence, the foreign-origin religions are divisive (believers and non-believer; saved and sinners). The obligation imposed by their respective Gods to convert all others, is generative of intolerance, hatred and strife. Every person born in Hindu Dharma families must be made aware of the fundamental difference between his Hindu Dharma on the one hand and the predatory religions on the other.

The responsibility for protecting the **dharmā**, propagating it and instilling it in people rests with the temple-centric organisations. In A.P and Telangana all the Hindu temples which are most popular with the people, are **controlled and managed by governments through the TTD**

Board and the Endowment departments. This is in glaring contrast to the places of worship namely Churches and Mosques and wakfs of Christians and Muslims respectively, scrupulously kept outside the control and management of the governments. The government management disables the functionaries of the Endowment departments and the TTD from effective defense of the Hindu dharma and allows not such programs and projects they must undertake in order to keep people within the Hindu dharma. Also the Pujaris or Archakas are unequal for the task of propounding and defending the Hindu dharma against the criticisms and onslaughts of foreign origin religions. The officials like Executive Officers and other functionaries in the TTD as well as the Endowment Department are **government servants**, required **to be "secular"** unlike Pastors and Bishops and Arch Bishops in Christianity and Imams, Maulvis and Mullahs and Mauzins in Islam, who are free and are indeed tasked to publicly and dutifully promote and propagate their religions by canvassing political and government support for their religions and their people.

That Hinduism and Hindus are being adversely discriminated is clear from a few facts like the government-owned Christian Minority Finance Corporation financing the construction of Churches and subsidising Christians' pilgrimages to Jerusalem; governments giving land and funds for construction of Haj House and subsidising Muslims pilgrimages (Rs.1000cr per year to Mecca), construction of Urdu Ghars and Shaadi Khanas etc. In contrast, Hindus have to pay for themselves to go to Tirumala, Amaranth, Manasarovar or Kasi and worse still, they have to buy tickets to see their God.

The above facts are mentioned to bring out the **necessity of commitment and mission into members of the TTD Board and Trustees of various temples. Their primary and immediate task is to protect Hindu dharma from subversion and aggression of the predatory religions.** It is therefore necessary that people who are aware of the present danger and harm to Hindu dharma and who are

determined to save it shall be in the TTD Board and in the Boards of Trustees of temples .

Who should not be in the Boards :

- People in active politics or MLAs and MPs or those party men who have not been given any office of profit or prominence by the ruling or any party should not be in these Boards.
- People who don't have faith in Hindu dharma shall not be in these Boards.
- People who are not aware of the essentials of Hindu dharma and do not practise it and describe themselves as "secularists" and atheists and money bags who are after positions of power and influence should not be in these Boards.

Who should be in these Boards

- Well informed, educated, knowledgeable persons, determined to protect the Hindu dharma and to promote it.
- People who are known to be philanthropists and patronise Hindu institutions
- People well versed in Hindu literature, culture, history and life of the Hindu peoples.
- Persons who have knowledge of the working of public institutions, handling public funds
- Most importantly men of high integrity, character and dedication

We request that the Chief Ministers of Andhra Pradesh and Telangana not to rush to nominating picked up people to the TTD Board and Trust Boards of various temples in the State. It would be a good practice if names of those whom the government wants to nominate to these Boards, are publicised and public comments are invited; they are taken into consideration and then only persons are nominated to the Boards of TTD and Temples under the Endowment Commissioner appointed.

Dt: 20/08/2014

Separate sub-plan for Minorities

It is preposterous for the Government of Andhra Pradesh to prepare a sub-plan for minorities that is, Muslims & Christians . They are not foreigners under the care of India. Muslims were the rulers of many parts of India continuously for hundreds of years . The ruling class subjected Hindus to heavy taxation, like zezia and the properties of the defeated were largely confiscated to create wakf that looks after the welfare of Muslims. The government which has got to be secular that is, not treating people on the basis of religion, is already fowling the secular principle by subsidising the pilgrimages of Muslims & Christians and giving them many other concessions which are not extendable to Hindus . Hindus have even to buy tickets not only for travel but even to see their Gods in the temples .

A separate plan for minorities creates divisions between Hindus on one hand & Muslims & Christians on the other. The appeasement of Muslims before 1947 by the then rulers had resulted in the grievous partition of India and the expulsion of Hindus, Sikhs and Buddhists from Pakistan and Bangladesh while the Muslim population. There in India with several privileges has increased from 10% in 1947 to more than 15% or perhaps even 20% . Pampering and giving privileges to minorities at the cost of Hindus is unpardonable and will certainly result in reverse polarisation as it happened in Uttar Pradesh.

Any sub plan for minorities will lead to the disintegration of India's polity, promote strife and may be, creation of Muslim & Christian districts as in Kerala and Haryana under communist and Congress rule respectively.

GOAP is allotting substantial amounts for minority welfare. In the year 2013-14, it was Rs. 1027 cr. Part of this went to the A.P State Christian Minority Finance Corporation. That corporation freely funded the construction of 670 Churches by giving them Rs. 1,477 lakhs. It also gave subsidies of Rs.10.40.400 lakhs for Christians making pilgrimage

to Jerusalem! A secular state utilising the tax revenues for promoting a particular religion is subversive of the secular nature of the state and its government .

The **wakf** properties are those which were confiscated by the conquering Islamic invaders from the defeated native rulers and soldiers. The purpose of **wakf** is to look after the welfare of Muslims. When such welfare funds are already available from the confiscated properties of defeated Hindus, why should the “secular” state give further funds for the welfare of Muslims?

The Minority Commission is another subversion of the Constitution . Its very existence suggests that the majority Hindus are tormenting minorities who therefore require a Commission to protect them. This demonises Hindus . There is no such Commission in J&K, Nagaland, Mizoram and Meghalaya where Hindus are minority.

Minority educational institutions are abuse of Article-30 of the Constitution. There can be any number of them for any subject and not merely for subjects dealing with minority religion. By admitting Hindus into the privileged minority colleges for secular professional courses like engineering, they are making money which can be used for the welfare of the minorities.

In the light of these several revenues for their welfare and the abuse of the funds by the Christians Minority Corporation for construction of Churches, it is highly improper and discrimination against tax paying Hindus to allot huge sums for “minority” welfare and worse still, making separate plans for spending them . A secular government should not favour some religions over the rest.

What is more appropriate and justified is, a separate budget for the income and expenditure of Hindus’ temples under the management and administration of the government. The incomes of the such temples are not government’s income. They belong to Hindus alone and should

be spent for the defense of their religion, for propagation of dharma and for the construction of temples as desired by Harijans, Girijans and slum dwellers and make them the Trustees and Archakas of those temples. The temples must also be utilised for providing free quality education for the Hindu poor; dharmic education and health care for the Hindu poor. It is therefore necessary that **the government prepare a separate budget** detailing the incomes, expenditures under different heads, provision for construction of new temples, repair, renovation of the old and the non-functional and for countering conversions and so on as long as the temples are under its management.

Dt: 25/08/2014

The Savagery of Fundamentalist Islamists

When homo –sapiens, that is, human beings evolved from their ancestors monkeys and apes they were savagers . There used to be murderous inter-tribal battles . The victorious used to behead the defeated and the leader of the victorious tribe used to sport a garland of skulls. Such savagery survived among the Dayaks, a primitive tribe in Malaysia till the 1940s . The Dayaks were exterminated by the government of Malaysia in the late 1940s.

This fact of history comes to memory when we read about Pakistan’s soldiers beheading the Indian soldiers caught from across the line of control in J&K and the recent beheading by the forces of the Islamic State of Iraq and Levant (ISIL); of the US journalist James Foley. The ISIL is also beheading; hundreds of **Yazidis** a 4000 -year old religious group in the territory of north-west Iraq and Syria. When the Islamist foreigners like Mohammed Ghori invaded India , they had the savage practice of beheading thousands of defeated soldiers and making pyramids of their heads for exhibition in the city -centers in order to strike terror among the natives. Similarly, when Ahmed Abdali of Afghanistan and Nadir Shah of Iran invaded India, they too beheaded thousands of Hindus and Sikhs who fought them their heads dripping with blood were assembled into pyramids and exhibited for days . In

the after-math of the 2nd battle of Paniput in 1556, the young Akbar was urged by his word Byram Khan to cut off the head of Hemu the defeated Hindu General. By that act Akbar was told that he would become a Ghazi and would go to the highest level of heaven according to their faith. Throughout the history of Islamist conquests in India and elsewhere it was an accepted and venerable practice for the Islamist conquerors to behead the defeated **if they did not accept conversion to Islam**. It appears that this is a sanctioned jihadi practice which has been surviving throughout the history of Islamist conquests in several parts of the world.

This savagery of the Islamist jihadis is in full operation by the newly established Caliphate of Abu Bakr Al Bagdadi and the armies of the ISIL Yazidis who are about a million in numbers live in and around Sinjar in north-west Iraq astride Syria. Their land has come under the occupation of ISIL which ordered that the Yazidis should convert to Islam or face death or expulsion from their land.

The Christians in the same territory (a few from Andhra Pradesh are also working there) since they belong to the Book (i.e Bible) are given the choice of conversion or expulsion. They are not being beheaded as the Yazidis who are not either Christians or Muslims but follow a faith with elements from Sanatana Dharma, Zoroastrianism and even Islam. It is not very surprising that not one Muslim organisation in this country has condemned the genocidal and savage actions of the Islamists of the ISIL in fact the ISLM includes India in the territory to be conquered for the Caliph. The venerable cleric of the very old Islamic organisation Darul-ul-Uloom of Lucknow has sent a message of approval and sympathy and support to the ISIL in its jihad to establish the Caliphate for the entire Umma. It is reported that 500,000 Muslim residents of India have expressed willingness to go and fight. In the ranks of the ISIL and a few thousands from Maharashtra have already applied for visas to go to Iraq and join the ISIL armies. The ISIL is murderous of not only Yazidis and Christians but also Muslims of the Shia sect. The ideological allies of the ISIL in Pakistan have been day after day bombing and killing Shias and this Shia-Sunni slaughter is going on also in Syria, Iraq, Lebanon and in some other places.

Another curious thing is that while some Muslim organisation in Hyderabad and elsewhere and their congenital allies, communist and leftist intellectuals are calling upon India to condemn Israel its defensive war against the Hamas and Hizbullah (party of god) in Gaza they have no word to denounce or disapprove what the ISIL jihadi armies are doing to Yazidis, Christians and even to Shias. India's Muslim organisations which brought Rohingyas, (the illegal infiltrators into Myanmar now expelled from there) for re-settlement in Delhi and Hyderabad, are stony-silent about the massacres of Yazidis (and Shias) in Iraq-Syria.

Bharat has given refuge and shelter to the persecuted in the world - Jews in the first century, and Parsis in the 8-10 centuries. It is giving refuge to Tibetan exiles. The Yazidis are, according to some accounts, nature-worshippers like Hindus. Many rituals of theirs are Hindus. It is most appropriate the first fully Bharatiya government headed by Sri Narendra Modi exerts itself to bring to India's safety the persecuted and imperilled Yazidis. In the first instance it must raise this issue of Islamist persecution and genocide of religious minorities by the ISIL, before international bodies like the UN.

It will be a great service to humanity if India exerts itself to bring the imperilled Yazidis and give refuge to them in this sacred land in the spirit of our **sanatana dharma**. Nationalist and true intellectuals and humanists in the country must call upon the Muslim residents of India and the Islamists governments and the member countries of the Organisation of Islamic Conference (OIC) to raise their voice against the savagery of the fundamentalists operating in the name of Islam which is held to be a religion of peace. Peace should not be only among one sect of believers of that religion. Peace must be universal to encompass people of all faiths and all lands. Bharat's espousal of the case of Yazidis will signal to the world that it is on the path of becoming a Jagadguru once again.

All - free Praja Parties in Telugu States

There appears to be competition between the Chief Ministers of Telangana and Andhra Pradesh to be more and more populist. In the result, the following are some of the goods and services that are being promised to be given free or at nominal cost.

I-pads for farmers; dhotis and sarees for men and women on festival days; Rs.5/- meals Rs. 1/- breakfast; Rs. 51,000 for Muslim girls on the occasion of their marriage (indirect form of zezia on Hindus); burial grounds for Christians ; salaries for Muezzins and Imams; funds for celebration of Christmas and for construction of Churches; feeding 5000 lazy people per day at 9 temples (in all 45,000 food and not work seekers) in A.P; sand mining for women groups ; fee reimbursement for young girls and boys shepherded into engineering colleges; raising of retirement age to 60 years for government servants; Rs. 10 cr grant for Batukamma festival celebration ; age limit for entry into government services raised to 40 years ; increasing the pension to the old to Rs. 1000 per month. A few more every month. Most of these have not been asked for by the beneficiaries but competitive bidding for vote and popularity is the driving force for all free give -aways.

There is however one most important service by the government not yet thought of- assuring that every young man and woman will get a spouse with government assistance and that every couple will be assured of at least one child with government intervention if necessary for which purpose, surrogacy may be subsidised.

What remain to be promised are : three meals per day in a common kitchen in every village; free cinema shows for the entire family once a week; ensuring that every poor young man will get a bride at government cost ; the poor and disadvantaged would be given engineering and medical degree certificates; free medicines and free health care; nobody will be insisted to do any work but right to be fed, right to a house and

right to entertainment will be declared fundamental rights. Targets are to be set for loans to be disbursed but no target for collection of debts.

No questions should be asked where the money would come from. The center will be pressurised on pain of withdrawing support and launching agitations like jumping into the well; ripping microphones off their stands; shouting slogans and fisticuffs in the Parliament. Our friendly countries like Pakistan will be requested to supply us the necessary currency if we fall short.

Finally, all castes excepting four will be classified into BC, EBC, MBC, UBC and some of them will be put into ST category . A resolution will be moved in the UN that India should be declared a poor and backward country and therefore, reservations for Indians should be made in the jobs provided by the UN, the World Bank and the IFC and such international bodies.

The Chief Ministers of Telugu States will be setting an example for other States to declare themselves as all free services states. The governments will organise bandhs and gheraos demanding “special status” and packages of money for spending on poor-feeding, clothing, housing, child-bearing and rearing and distribution of degree certificates. The Telugu States will put other States, Tamil Nadu and U.P and Bihar to shame by adding more and more free services and supplies to the people.

Have faith in your ideas

Let them have the courage to be impatient.....let him have the patience to be brave, teach him to have faith in his own ideas even if every one tells him they are wrong. Because only the test of fire makes fine steel.....

- Abraham Lincoln's letter to his son's school teacher

The Inimitable Sardar or Rediscovering The Sardar

Sardar Vallabhai Patel has been, as no other leader, unpersoned by Jawaharlal Nehru and his successors in the Dynasty in the same fashion as some ruling leaders of the Communist Party of USSR had unpersoned their predecessors, appropriating all the achievements the country made for themselves. Three times during his life time, Sardar Patel stepped down from becoming the President of the Indian National Congress (INC), every time to make way for Jawaharlal Nehru, even though no Pradesh Congress Committee was forthcoming to support Jawaharlal Nehru. Mahatma Gandhi prevailed upon Sardar Patel every time to withdraw in favour of Nehru. In 1945 when India's independence was imminent and the new President in place of Abul Kalam Azad was to be elected, all Pradesh Congress Committees proposed Patel to be the President with the intention that would become the Prime Minister. Not one Committee proposed Nehru's name; yet on Gandhiji's advice Patel withdrew in favour of Nehru. The fear was that if he did not become the Prime Minister, he would leave the Congress or split it in the company Congress socialists like Jaya Prakash Narayan. Gandhiji feared that this, would be disastrous. In 1928 for first time Sardar Patel name was proposed as President of the INC. Nehru's father Motilal Nehru requested Gandhiji to make his son succeed him. On Gandhiji's advice Patel withdrew his candidature. In 1959 Indira Gandhi was made President of Congress at the insistence of Jawaharlal Nehru.

After Independence, Jawaharlal Nehru invited members of the Muslim League and its leaders who remained in India after splitting the country, to join the Congress. They joined in large numbers and since then the Congress has become more or less a Muslim-caring party. Sardar Patel invited the RSS members to join the Congress but they did not. They had been paying a big price for it.

The 600 and odd princely states in India had the choice to join either Pakistan or India or be independent. When Sardar Patel gave his consent to Mountbatten's proposal for the division of India, he put the condition that he that is Sardar Patel and not Mountbatten should deal with the Princes and Nawabs - Mountbatten agreed. Sardar Patel was able to persuade almost all the Princes not only to accede to the Indian Union but also for their consolidation into viable units like PEPSU, MATSYA etc. Later on, all these were integrated into the Indian Union during his short life of less than two and half years after Independence. Junagarh's Muslim Nawab acceded to Pakistan but under Sardar Patel's guidance, there was a peoples movement against that accession. The Nawab fled and Junagad became part of Saurashtra later merged in Gujarat.

Jawaharlal Nehru did not allow Patel to deal with Jammu & Kashmir. Nehru was under the influence of the Mountbatten. J&K remains a problem costing us a great amount in men and money. The Nizam of Hyderabad was in collusion with Pakistan. He was wanting to be independent. Mountbatten, as the Governor General with the tacit approval of Nehru was wanting to give a special status, not accession to Hyderabad. The Nizam and the his Razakars wanted that it should be an Islamic State in alliance with Pakistan. There was a Standstill Agreement. Sardar Patel waited for Mountbatten to leave India in June 1948. By that time, every attempt to have some settlement or the other with the Nizam including Mountbatten's and Nehru's offer of special status failed. Then, unlike Nehru in Kashmir Sardar Patel decided on Police Action. In a matter of four days on the 17th September 1948, the Nizam, his army and his Razakars were worsted. The territory was integrated with the rest of Bharat.

Sardar Patel is portrayed as an enemy of Muslims. He was far from it. Unlike Nehru and secularists he was not flattering Muslims or attributing non-existent patriotism to them. He gave priority for re-settlement of the millions of Sikhs and Hindus who were squeezed out of Pakistan. He was for their immediate rehabilitation in and around Delhi. Nehru was urging Muslims in Delhi & UP not to go to Pakistan although they

were responsible for the division and the carnage afterwards. Indeed, he asked Sri Prakasa, India's High Commissioner in Pakistan to persuade Muslims who had gone there to come to India! Sardar Patel did not like this but he did not prevent Nehru's actions. In his addresses both before and after the Hyderabad Police Action told Indian Muslims in plain terms not to sail in two boats. He assured them that all loyal citizens of India irrespective of religion will have equal rights and treatment but if the League's partitionist, separatist, militant two-nation theory mentality continues to be nurtured, every act of disloyalty and defiance would be put down. This plain talking is interpreted as Patel's enmity to Muslims.

From 1949 to 1951, Communists in India instructed by COMINFORM the coordinating body of communist parties everywhere under the hegemony of Stalin took to arms to throw out the newly independent Nehru-led government, describing it as a lackey of Anglo-American imperialism and establish "people's democracy" their name for the dictatorship of the communist party in the name of proletariat. Sardar Patel suppressed this movement with determination imprisoning hundreds of above-ground communists, under Preventive Detention Act. His successor, Govind Vallabh Pant finished the insurgency of the communists, their war against Indian Army in Telangana by September 1951.

Communists infiltrated not only into Congress but they were able to take over the labour movement. Sardar Patel was instrumental in founding the Indian National Trade Union Congress (INTUC) to distinguish it from the All India Trade Union Congress (AITUC) which became a communist controlled labour union. Communists infiltrated even into the Congress. A Committee which included Nehru found that communists were acting under direction of the international communist movement. They were expelled from the AICC.

These are some of the actions of Sardar Patel which have made him persona non grata with the Nehru Dynasty and Communists and their fellow -travelling "intelligentsia" and their "eminent" historians. Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose was another potential rival to Jawaharlal Nehru.

He won as the President of the Congress despite the opposition of Gandhi. Nehru who called himself a socialist cooperated with Gandhiji to see that Subhash Bose could not continue as Congress President. Bose left the Congress in disgust and founded, the Forward Block. It was Gandhiji's patronage that built up Jawaharlal Nehru and excluded Patel and Subhash Chandra Bose from leadership of the Congress.

In the last few years, over 400 projects and schemes, airports and universities were named after members of the Nehru Dynasty. They outnumber the combined Gandhi and other national leaders. The single party majority that BJP secured in the elections to the Lok Sabha in 2014 can be considered to herald the Second Republic of India. The first Republic Nehruvian, made us effete. It has been taken over by unIndian elements from within and outside the country. During this Second Republic, all those who mightily contributed to the freedom movement and our nationhood and integrity of this State should be honoured. It is for the first time that Sardar Patel's Jayanti is being celebrated with the involvement of the government of India. Persons like Tanguturi Prakasam Pantulu, Purushottam Das Tandon, J.B Kriplani, Rajaji not to talk of Subhash Chandra Bose, Bala Gangadhar Tilak, Lala Lajpati Rai and Bipin Chandra Pal should all be given the honours due to them.

God! Give us man who can't lie!

God give us men, a time like this demands

Strong minds, great hearts, true faith and ready hands

Men whom the lust of office does not kill

Men whom the spoils of office cannot buy

Men who possess opinions and a will

Men who love honour, Men who cannot lie

- J.G. Holland

Less known facts about the great Jawaharlal Nehru

Sri Narendra Modi, the Prime Minister did not go to the Shakti Sthal in Delhi on the death anniversary of Indira (Nehru) Gandhi on the 31 October. The Sonia's Congress party is celebrating Nehru's birthday on the 14 November but Sri Narendra Modi, the Prime Minister is not invited. Sardar Patel who had been relegated to oblivion by the ruling Nehru Dynasty is now celebrated and so will the forgotten heroes of our freedom struggle like Lokamanya Tilak, Subhash Chandra Bose, Rajendra Prasad, Tanguturi Prakasam and others. In this background it is as well to remember some less known, inconvenient facts deliberately surpassed about the great Jawaharlal Nehru.

In 1928 Motilal Nehru was the President of the Congress. Sardar Patel's name was proposed by all the Pradesh Congress Committees to succeed him in 1929 but Motilal pleaded with Mahatma Gandhi to make his son whose name was not proposed by anybody to succeed him in 1929. That was not the only such occasion.

Sardar Patel stepped down at Gandhiji's behest on two more occasions (1936 and 1947) in favour of Nehru at the behest of Mahatma Gandhi even as no Pradesh Congress Committee proposed Nehru's name.

In 1959 Nijalingappa was to become the Congress President. Kamraj Nadar was to announce his name at the conclusion of the Nagpur session of the AICC. Nehru got Yeswant Rao Chowhan to propose Indira Gandhi for Presidentship. Govind Vallabh Pant remarked that Indira Gandhi's health was frail; she would not be able to undertake the onerous responsibility. Nehru got wield and said, "nothing is wrong with her health. Not having any work affects her health". The cue was enough. Instead of Nijalingappa, Indira Gandhi became the President.

After August 1947 Nehru was more concerned with the prevention of the Muslims going to Pakistan rather than the rehabilitation of the millions of Hindus and Sikhs expelled from Pakistan and gathered in and around Delhi, disgusted, Sardar Patel, Dy Prime Minister submitted his

resignation. Lord Mountbatten, the Governor General went to Mahatma Gandhi pleading with him to prevail upon Patel to withdraw his resignation as without him Government of India could not be carried on. Gandhiji asked Sardar Patel to withdraw the resignation and also to promise that he would never aspire to be No.1 and he would always be subordinate to Jawaharlal Nehru. Sardar Patel did oblige.

In the late 1940s the British splitting India and India's freedom was imminent. Whosoever would become the Congress President was expected to be the Prime Minister. No Pradesh Congress committee proposed Jawaharlal Nehru's name. All but one proposed Sardar Patel name. Gandhiji ordered Patel to withdraw in favour of Nehru.

When Rajaji's name was proposed by Nehru as Governor General to succeed Mountbatten, Rajaji told Nehru that he (Nehru) should become the Governor General and Patel should become the Prime Minister. Of course Nehru did not agree.

When Radhakrishnan was the President, Nehru required his consent for making Vijayalakshmi Pandit the Vice President of India. Radhakrishnan put his foot down. He said, "You are the Prime Minister; you want your sister to be the Vice President and perhaps your daughter to be the Congress President. Sorry I will not have any of it". Nehru withdrew his proposal. Vijaya Lakshmi was made India's Ambassador to the Soviet Union, and later, leader of India's delegation to the UN General Assembly.

In 1950, when lakhs of Hindus and Buddhists were being expelled from East Pakistan Sardar Patel made a public speech in Calcutta telling Pakistan that if the flood did not stop, he would liberate a few districts of East Pakistan and settle Hindus and Buddhists in those districts under India's protection. Pakistan's Prime Minister Liaqat Ali Khan came to Nehru and they made the infamous "Nehru-Liaqat Ali Khan Pact". Nehru agreed for providing reservations for Muslims in government services and in the armed forces. When it came for ratification to the Cabinet, N.V.Gadgil objected. There was heated exchange between Nehru and Gadgil, Sardar Patel, the Dy Prime Minister adjourned the meeting. Next day a new draft was prepared in which

Sardar Patel saw to it that there were no reservations for Muslims. Nehru was furious but he knew that the Cabinet and the Congress was with Sardar Patel and not with him.

India is supposed to be secular state but in 1956 itself, when next years there would be India's second general elections, Nehru himself moved a bill in the Lok Sabha providing for subsidies for Muslims' Haj pilgrimage. Neither Sikhs nor Hindus nor Jains nor people of any other religion are given such subsidies for their religious pilgrimage whether within India or outside. Currently about Rs. 1000 cr are spent by the secular government on Muslims Haj pilgrimage.

Once while speaking in the Lok Sabha Jawaharlal Nehru pointing to Syama Prasad Mukhejee said that he knew everything about external affairs and the governance of India; nobody need teaching or give any advice to him. Then Shyama Prasad Mukhejee retorted, "why then don't you dismiss the Parliament and rule this country by yourself". Jawaharlal Nehru had the magnanimity to apologise but his arrogance did not go unnoticed.

He once wrote, "I am by education an English man, by culture a Muslim and by accident of birth a Hindu". He told John Kenneth Galbrith, US Ambassador to India "I am the last English man to rule India". Galbrith was horrified!

Prime Minister Nehru visited the US and met with President Kennedy. Most of the time he was aloof and most uncommunicative. Kennedy was disappointed. He wrote, "he (Nehru) was the worst foreign Prime Minister to visit me".

After the humiliating defeat of India by China in 1962, while speaking in the Parliament Prime Minister Nehru said that, "We were betrayed..." . He was interrupted by Prof N.G.Ranga. He said, **"Don't nationalise your defeat. The nation was not betrayed. You trusted China wrongly and it was you who was betrayed"** we had been warning but you cared not..."

In the after-math of Gandhiji's assassination, Nehru vowed to crush the Rashtriya Swayam Sewak Sangh (RSS) out of existence. He also invited the former Muslim League members to join the Congress and they did so in droves. The humiliating defeat that Communist China inflicted on socialist Nehru's India in 1962, the very great heroic Nehru invited the RSS to take part in the Republic Day parade on 26 January 1963, for their active role during war with China.

The Shiva's temple in Somanath was five times destroyed by the Muslim invaders and four times it was rebuilt rulers; the 5th time it was Aurangzeb who not only got it destroyed but converted it into a mosque. After Independence, when the Nawab of Junagadh fled to Pakistan Sardar Patel and Munshi visited Gujarat and declared that the Government of India had decided to restore the Somnath temple. The Archaeological Department and the Central Public Works Department were entrusted with this job. At Gandhi's instance, not one rupee from the government was spent but all the cost of restoration was borne by voluntary contributions from the people of India. The reconstruction was completed after Sardar Patel's death President Rajendra Prasad, was to inaugurate the "Pranaprathista" of the "Shivling", Nehru advised the President not to go. Rajendra Prasad ignored Nehru's advice, went to Somanath and inaugurated the temple. Nehru was so peeved that he ordered the All India Radio to blank out the event as well as Rajendra Prasad's speech. Pt. Nehru on Gandhi's advice repaired and restored many mosques in Delhi damaged in the wake of the partition of India and influx of millions of expelled Sikhs and Hindus from Pakistan.

Nehru knew that he was dictatorial but that India somehow loved him; in fact was infatuated with him. He wrote in 1937 in the Modern Review a pseudonymous piece referring to himself in the third person: "his (Nehru) will to power that is driving him from crowd to crowd... He calls himself a democrat and a socialist and no doubt he does so in all seriousness... but a little twist, and **he might turn into a dictator... His conceit is already formidable. It must be checked. We want no Caesars**". Alas! After Sardar Patel's death, there was none to check him; China's war on Nehru's India in 1962, put the final check. Indira (Nehru) Gandhi inherited the "dictator" in Nehru. But the people of India did check her

in 1977. The once adoringly called “Cha Cha Nehru” is in danger of being called “Chi Chi Nehru”.

Annex#1

What Spain, Poland, Russia and African people did to alien Conquerors and Domestic Tyrants

It may be recalled that Spain was once overrun by Muslim (Moors from what is now Morocco) armies and ruled for over 700 years by them. Churches there were razed to the ground and on those very foundations, mosques were built. And people were converted, mostly by force from Christianity to Islam. In the 15th century, a number of Christian kings and knights united to attack, defeat and drive away the Moors, the Muslim rulers from Morocco. The Christian rulers pulled down all the mosques and restored the churches which existed before the Muslim conquest. Besides, they also told the people that if they did not reconvert to Christianity, they would have to leave Spain. Over 95% reconverted to Christianity and the unwilling people went away to the lands from where their conquering, converting ancestors came. When Russia conquered Poland, a cathedral was built in Warsaw for the Russian Orthodox church. When Poland gained independence, the cathedral was pulled down and a Catholic church was built as the Poles did not want to be reminded of the humiliation of defeat and destruction. After the communist rule was ended in Russia, the cities that were named after Lenin and Stalin got rid of those names and had their original names restored, St. Petersburg for Leningrad and Volgograd for Stalingrad. Almost all the statues of Lenin and Stalin had been pulled down. Similarly, countries in East and Central Europe which were subjected to imperial Soviet communist rule, the national governments that succeeded the dissolution of the Soviet empire pulled down all monuments which reminded them of the humiliation of imperial domination and national shame. The most telling act of tearing down a monument for national humiliation is the pulling down of the infamous Berlin Wall on the 9th of Nov 1989. This wall was a standing monument to the inhumanity, cruelty and destruction of freedom practised by the

erstwhile Stalinist communist regimes. This wall was in particular built to prevent the fleeing of the oppressed East German people under Communist dictatorship to the freedom and democracy of the Federal Republic of Germany.

People who have gained Independence and throw out the shackles of foreign imperial exploitation and rule have, in assertion of their self-respect and national pride, discarded the memorials to the conquering hordes. For eg: the people of what was called Gold Coast, the name given by the British colonists renamed their country as Ghana; Rhodesia became Zimbabwe; Burma became Myanmar; Ceylon became Sri Lanka; Cambodia became Kampuchea; Siam became Thailand; Madagascar became Malagasy; Peking has become Beijing; Canton has become Guangzhou and so on. Uncharacteristically, it is we in our country that are retaining the name of India whereas for millennia we called our land Bharat and our people Bharatiyas. Without any self-respect, we are describing our country **India, that is Bharat** instead of saying Bharat that was India.

Annex#2

Historian Muhammad Nazim in his book: The Life and Times of Sultan Mahmud of Ghazni, Cambridge 1931.

What motivated Mahmud of Ghazni to destroy Somnath:

The destruction of the temple of Somnath was looked upon as the crowning glory of Islam over idolatry, and Sultan Mahmud as the champion of the Faith, received the applause of all in the Muslim world. One poet outdid another in extolling the iconoclasm of Mahmud. Shykh Faridu'd Din Attar said that the Sultan preferred to be an idol breaker rather than an idol seller. While rejecting the offer of the Hindus to ransom the idol of Somnath with its weight in gold, Mahmud is supposed to have said **“I am afraid that on the Day of Judgment when all the idolaters are brought into the presence of Allah, he would say: bring Adhar and Mahmud together, one was the idol maker, the other idol**

seller”. Adhar or Ezra the uncle of Abaham, according to the Quran, made his living by carving idols.

“Secularist” Jawaharlal Nehru negates truth:

On the other hand, Jawaharlal Nehru, in a speech at Panjim, now Panaji, Goa in 1963, observed that **“the conflicts with Islam in north India specially were not religious conflicts, but political conflicts of kings wanting to conquer India. Religious conflicts were hardly any and Islam also began to be accepted as a religion of India!”**

In a letter dt. 26-04-1932 Jawaharlal Nehru wrote to his daughter, Indira:

“You will find that after Islam began for many hundred years Musalmans lived in all parts of India **in perfect peace with their neighbours**. (What a lie! Muslim-Hindu riots and battles raged in India for hundreds of years, even when Nehru was writing his “Letters to his Daughter). They were welcomed when they came as traders and encouraged to settle down”.

The works and views of Prof. Nazim as well as those of Al-Beruni leave no doubt that Mohmud Ghazni was driven by religious fanaticism. That greed and cruelty were only accompanying motives. Why should Nehru, a Hindu and a Brahmin to boot, conceal the fanaticism of an invader, whose own people like these scholars are candid in their praise of the desecration in the cause of Allah? Surely, Nehru’s contention was anti-Hindu.

(Source: Hindu Masjids by Praful Goradia ,former Rajya Sabha Member)

What else could be expected from Jawaharlalji when he could say, **“I am by education an English man, by culture a Muslim and by accident of birth a Hindu”**. He told John Kenneth Galbrith, US Ambassador to India **“I am the last English man to rule India”**. Galbrith was horrified!

Dt: 18/12/2014

The Pleasures and Privileges of Being Poor

“Blessed are the poor; they will inherit the earth”, said Jesus Christ.

“Daridra Narayan” that is poor verily are Gods, they should be respected and looked after says the Bharatiya culture.

Both seem to be realised in “socialist”, “secular” democracy of India. These two adjectives qualify the Indian Republic. These were incorporated in the preamble to the Constitution during 1975 Indira Gandhi’s Emergency rule. Socialism is indeed working and manifesting itself for the benefit of and empowerment of the poor, it appears.

Twelve Five- Year Plans had been implemented each one with the chief objective of eradicating, not an ameliorating - poverty. Poverty does not appear to have gone. The most vocally socialism -professing and poor –loving, Prime Minister Indira Gandhi gave the war cry “garibi hatao”; that is, throw out poverty. Yet poverty has not gone.

Princes and the their privy purses; Zamindaris and their Zamins had all been abolished and the money saved was to go for the “elimination” of poverty. Banks and mines had been nationalised so that the wealth from them can be used for eradication of poverty.

Scores of schemes by the Central and State Governments had been launched to serve the poor and eliminate their poverty. Lakhs of crores of Rupee have been spent on various schemes. In Rajasthan there was the **Anthyodaya Scheme** for the uplift of the poorest of the poor . The regional parties especially in Tamilnadu and Andhra Pradesh have competed with one another and with the all-India party, Congress to woo the poor by programs which and various schemes pump money for the elimination of poverty; including free education upto graduate level; house sites had been; in the name of Indira houses had been rebuilt; in the name of Mahatma Gandhi; employment at a guaranteed wage rate had been created for a guaranteed number of days even without work; buffalos and cows had been given free; State owned financial

Corporations had been established to extend credit to the poor for their enterprises. Lakhs of women's self help groups of the poor had been established and thousands of crores of Rupees advanced as loans which are finally written off. The poor, the aged and widows are given pensions which are periodically raised. Poor pregnant women are given nutritious food (no limit on the periodicity or number of pregnancies); Arogyasree cards have been distributed so that over 100 ailments including even for costly surgeries heart and liver and cancer and so on can be freely cured. During the last (2014) elections at every level (Panchayat, Mandal, Zilla, State and Lok Sabha) each of the poor is given by all the contesting parties a few thousand rupees in addition to sarees and other gifts.

Yet poverty does not go away. In fact, but for poverty there can be no politics. Political parties are promising more and more welfare and empowerment to the poor. What were not included in their election manifestos are also given.

One class of the poor are specially empowered through a central law which when invoked against any person allegedly abusing that class of poor can be straightaway put in jail under a non-bailable warrant (Prevention of Atrocities Against SC & ST Act).

Surely some are benefiting but poverty does not go away. The poor would even get i-pads and smart phones; grinders; TV sets; radios; cell phones, free electricity. Such are the privileges and care that are bestowed upon the poor in the "socialist, secular" Republic. Community after community is claiming to be poor and poorer and poorest to be included in the ST category. They have the support of the secularists and communists. When the Supreme Court directed that creamy layers among those enjoying reservations and much welfare, the "socialist", "secular" legislators passed resolutions that there is no creamy layer at all, all are still poor; some raised the income limit below which one is poor!

Whether any other prophecy will come true or not, it appears that the Biblical prophecy that the poor will be blessed and inherit the earth appears to become true in "socialist, secular" India.

Dt 18/12/2014

How To Disintegrate The Hindu Society

India's citizens are divided into religious majority and minorities. The minorities are given constitutional bodies like the Minority Commissions and Minority Finance Corporations and Minority Educational Institutions, providing privileges and rights not given to the majority that is, Hindus.

New districts are being carved out to create districts with these religious minorities as majority. Example Kasergode in Kerala and Mewat in Haryana; Murshidabad and Malda in West Bengal; Kishanganj in Bihar and some districts in Assam have already become Muslim majority both by furious growth and facilitated infiltration from Bangladesh. This poses the danger of the Hindu minorities from the Muslim majority districts just the way as in the Kashmir Valley. These districts would demand autonomy and finally secession from India.

The Hindu society itself is being divided by creating State-owned SC & ST Finance Corporations as though even the State-owned Banks are discriminating against them. Those who have converted to Christianity but not disclosing that fact, are coming to head these corporations and are using their monies to construct Churches and mosques and repair them and so on.

The Christian Chief Minister of the undivided Andhra Pradesh created a separate institution, A.P State Christian Minority Finance corporation leaving the other minority finance corporation solely for Muslims.

The Chief Minister of the remnant Andhra Pradesh is creating another State owned finance corporation for Brahmins and has promised another for Kapus. Razakas (Washermen) and Kummaries (Potters), and Fisherman are demanding "social justice" for them and for each one of

them and some more castes, caste-specific state-owned finance corporations will be demanded and created.

The Chief Minister of Telangana state is proudly proclaiming 12% reservations for Muslims . 12% for STs and enhance 15% to 20% for SCs. He had laid foundation stones for Bhavans for three caste groups - Banjaras, Adivasis and Dalits.

Soon enough, the other castes like Razakas, Fishermen, Mudirajas, Salivahanas, Gouds, Yadavas and others will be demanding and getting their own Bhavans.

Minority religious groups will be pitted against Hindus and Hindus will be divided according to castes. Is this the society that our Constitution maker dreamt of? Is this the society that the National Integration Council can build? Are we to emphasise and sharpen differences or bring harmony through common endeavours for common development with education, limited families and good leadership as the essentials for building a harmonious, prosperous, powerful integrated nation.

Pseudo - Secularism

"In its (i.e., secularism's) name, politicians again adopt a strange attitude which, while it condones the susceptibilities, religious and social of the minorities, it is too ready to brand similar susceptibilities in the majority community as communalistic and reactionary. How secularism sometimes becomes allergic to Hinduism will be apparent from certain episodes relating to the reconstruction of the Somnath Temple. ... These unfortunate postures have been creating a sense of frustration in the majority community. If, however, the misuse of the term 'secularism' continues, ... if every time there is an inter-community conflict, the majority is blamed regardless of the merits of the question, the springs of traditional tolerance will dry up. ... While the majority exercises patience and tolerance, the minorities should adjust themselves to the majority. Otherwise the future is uncertain and an explosion cannot be avoided."

-Kulapati K M Munshi

Castes in Christianity

Christian evangelists engaged in gaining converts from Hindus, hold that Hinduism is ridden with caste distinctions, imposing inequality among its ranks and treating the low castes badly. Innocent, poor people are inveigled by promises that all Christians are equal, that there are no castes and no graded privileges among Christians in the world. This is total falsehood. That castes exist among Christians in India and elsewhere is an undeniable fact.

On the 11th of January 2015, a Catholic Reddy Association held a meet of Global Telugu Catholic families - not all Christians but only of those belonging to the Catholic sect and among them of Reddy families only (This was graced by the NCP leader P A Sangma and his daughter Agartha Sangma and a Hyderabad Archbishop, Thumma Bala).

I know of Kamma Christians with the surname Chowdary; Brahmin Christians with surname Sastri. Just as inter-caste marriages among Hindus are rare, so are they rare among Christians of different sects (Catholics, Methodists, Anglicans, Seventh Day Adventists, Baptists, Presbyterians, Marthomas, Russian/Greek Orthodox, Mormans etc.). Harijans (Madiga, Mala, Adidravida etc.) among Hindus converted to Christianity are a different caste - Dalit Christians.

As to inequality and privilege by birth, although dalits constitute 80% of converts to Christianity, not even 10% of Bishops, Archbishops in India are from dalit castes. There are no reservations for dalit Christians in the institutions (like schools, hospitals, church ranks) of Christians but Christians agitate and demand that Dalit Christians must be given reservations meant for Hindus Dalits.

In South Africa all the native Black Africans were converted to Christianity by the white, European Christian colonist rulers. That 91% population was squeezed into ghettos (like Mala/ Madiga gudems in A.P) and were untouchables (apartheid) and kept out of government offices and legislatures. A black African Bishop Tutu (a rarity) of South Africa

famously told the white, racist Christian rulers, “when you came here, you had the Book (Bible) and we had the land ; now, we have the Book and you have the land”.

White European Christian merchants had Black Africans (Negros – they were called) hunted, caught, chained, transported to Americas and sold them as slaves through auctions. These men and women slaves were converted to Christianity; they were the property of the White Christian masters. They were untouchables (except women for sexual gratification); they have separate churches; they could not until the late 1960s freely vote or travel in public buses sharing seats with Whites. Was this position of Afro-American (earlier called Negros, then Blacks, and now Afro-Americans) converts to Christianity better than Harijans in India? Also, Philippines is the first Asian country whose whole people were converted to Christianity by the Spanish conqueror-rulers, about 400 years ago. Yet, Christian Philippines remains the poorest Asian country. That is why Dr. Ambedkar advised Harijans not to convert to Christianity, as such conversion, neither in South Africa nor in the Americas or Asia improved their social or economic position.

Gandhiji has meticulously recorded his talks, discussions and interviews with Christian missionaries, Europe-American and Indian, engaged in converting poor Hindus in his journals, Hind Swaraj and Harijan. He held conversion as the greatest violence carried on by Missionaries. Decisively did he answer a pointed question by saying that if he had the power, after Independence, he would ask the missionaries to get out of India, unless they confined their activities to purely humanitarian work like education and health. In the context of Hindus re-converting Muslims and Christians back to their forefathers’ Bharatiya Dharma and the opposition it is encountering from “secularists” (who held that converting Hindus to Islam and Christianity is a fundamental right of Muslims and Christians), it is well to recall the inhumanity in Christian history till the recent past (1994 in case of South Africa and 1960s in the case of the USA).

Finally, the Catholic Reddy Association asked for privileges like relief from property tax, grants-in-aid to Church- run schools, declaration of

Loyola Academy (funded by Hindus) as a minority institution and so on (as if Hindus, the heathens have a duty to foster Christianity which is predatory on them).

Dt: 19/02/2015

Shuddhi, Ghar Vapasi and Reservations -

Are Hindus a Community like Muslims & Christians?

*“Shuddhi has become a matter of life and death of Hindus. The Muslims have grown from negative quantity into 70 mln. The Christians number 4 mln. 220 mln Hindus are finding it hard to live because of 70 mln Muslims. If their numbers increase, only God knows what will happen. It is true that shuddhi should be for religious purposes alone, but the Hindus have been obliged by other considerations as well as to embrace their other brothers . If the Hindus do not wake up now, they will be finished.”**

This is from the editorial in the newspaper Pratap dated, 10 January 1921, published from Kanpur.

That the Muslims would indeed proliferate and that Hindus would not wake up was not known to the poor editor then. The Muslims have been proliferating all the while. In 1881 when the first census of India was taken the Hindu population in Punjab was 43.8%; Sikhs were 8.2% and Muslims were 47.6% ; in 1941, the census before partition, the Hindu population declined to 29.1%; the Sikh population went up to 14.9% and Muslim population to 53.2%. The Indic population that is, Hindus and Sikhs together which was 52% in 1881 declined to 44% by 1941. In India, after Independence, the proportion of Hindu population is declining due to the rapid growth of Muslim population at 50% more than the all India average and conversions to Christianity of many Hindus. This trend was noticed by Swami Dayananda Saraswati who founded the Arya Samaj in 1875. In 1877 he started the Shuddhi movement; that is, through a ritual he started re-converted Muslims and

Christians back to Hinduism. Arya Samaj recognised no castes. Therefore those who came to the Arya Samaj fold through Shuddhi did not raise this issue as to into which caste they would be re-admitted.

The foul play of Christian evangelists is evident from the following facts. The evangelists are advising the converts not to disclose the fact of conversion so that they can continue to enjoy the benefit of reservations meant for SCs.

	Year				
	1971	1981	1991	2001	2011
% of Christian population	4.19	2.68	1.83	1.44	1.38

Ghar Vapasi undertaken since a few years ago by Viswa Hindu Parishad (VHP) is the current version of the Suddhi movement of 1877 by the Arya Samaj. The Arya Samaj has insignificant numbers with it since a few decades past. Like Buddhism, it too almost thinned out in the country. The question faced by Ghar Vapasi movement is into which caste the Ghar Vapasi people will be admitted. This is very vexed one. The SCs and the BCs and even the OBCs among Hinduism object to admit any of the Ghar Vapasis into their caste because it means **dilution of the reservations that they are now having**. Not only 15 cr Muslims and for that matter, even a few crores coming into SCs or BCs would be resisted.

In A.P, the Kapus, a largely farmer community are agitating to be included among the BC category. The BCs are resisting this demand for fear of dilution. The Madigas, one of the SCs are wanting that the 15% reservation for SCs must be sub-divided among the 54 sub-castes in the list of SC. This is due to the inequality among the sub-castes as well as the extent of literacy and education among the different sub-castes. In Bihar Nitish Kumar, the JDU hancha has divided the dalits and named some sub-castes among them as **maha dalits** and some among BCs as EBCs (extraordinarily backward). The Ghar Vapasi will encounter opposition from some Hindu castes **because of reservations by caste**.

There is not a single politician or political party which dares to say that reservations must be abolished. On the other hand, more and more sections are wanting reservations. Brahmins in some states (eg. A.P) are demanding to be included in the BC category saying that those who are forward among them, already migrated to the US and who are backward only, are remaining in India. The same plea will be made by **kammas** (Chowdarys) in Andhra Pradesh very soon. In fact, the kammas have already got them included as BCs in Karnataka and Tamilnadu. Both castes and reservations are complicated issues for which there does not appear to be any easy solution in good time.

Yet another problem is : is there a thing called the Hindu community? If we call for a meeting caste-wise like kammas, easily 10,000 will come. If we call for a meeting of Reddy's easily 20000 will come. But we call for a meeting of Hindus, about 100 only will come. It is because Hindus do not have a feeling that they are one community. But they are conscious on their caste and therefore the caste is their community.

There was talk of **sanghatan**; that is, consolidation of Hindus. How can it come? There are several denominations of Christians but people of every denomination go to the respective Churches on Sunday. There is a sermon; there is a prayer and then there is prasadam. At the end of it, they come out as a community.

There are several sects like Sunnis, Shias, Ismailis etc., among Muslims. People of each sect go to their own mosque; **all** on Friday. The Imam leads the prayer and gives a harangue and the people come out terribly charged as Muslims. They feel they are a community.

We, Hindus go to temples of our **ista devata** at any time, on any day and individually. The Archaka is conducting the puja on our behalf and we come back. When do we feel as a community? We must change according to the time and social and political situation. Our current practice could have been good enough when we were not preyed upon by evangelical religions - Christianity & Islam both of which are wanting to gain numbers in India. Muslims are gaining by accelerated breeding, their growth in population is 50% more than the average for

India. Christians are growing by converting Hindus - not only from SCs and STs but even from among the BC & FCs. Most Hindus in almost every caste lament the conversion but we are unable to act together, to avert this calamity. There are some efforts by some small groups, with meagre resources but no effort by the entire Hindu community with one aim and with great force.

This can come only from an organisation of our temples. In Andhra Pradesh (where in some districts converts have reached upto 20%) we have them in the TTD and the Endowment Department. These however are, unlike Churches and Mosques, under the control and management of the government. Not only is this discrimination against Hindus but a disability imposed upon Hindus. The resources of the temples, the revenues Rs.2,400 cr of the TTD and Rs. 600 cr of the Endowment Department, **could together be used to effectively stop the conversions in AP by proper deployment of these monies and the personnel of the organisations.** This can be done only if the management and administration of temples is removed from government and entrusted to a properly designed and legislated and constituted institution say, like the **Gurudwra Prabhandhak Committees** of Sikhs, bodies that autonomously administer the Sikh Gurudwaras. Until the disengagement of the government from temples takes place, we must demand specific tasks to be performed by the TTD and the endowment department. These must be primarily aimed at stopping conversions.

During the period of government management we must demand that the government put appropriate persons only in the Board of the TTD and in the Boards of Trustees of other temples. It is in this conviction that we have launched a movement, a signature campaign for a memorandum to be submitted to the Petitions Committee of the AP Legislative Assembly. While efforts by other bodies should continue, this specific demand must be pressed by all Hindu organisations together.

Dt: 25/02/2015

Muslim Clerics Questioning the RSS on Hindu Rashtra

A delegation of Muslim clerics led by General Secretary of the Sunni Ulema Council had met Sri Indresh Kumar of the RSS and posed six questions to the Sangh. I do not know what the Sangh would answer but I venture to answer some of those questions in the light of what hundreds of millions of Hindus think about the **Muslim question (and problem) in India.**

Bharat, that is India, can be a Hindu country and nation in the same sense as Pakistan, Bangladesh and 50 other Muslim-majority countries are Muslim countries (Members of the Organisation of Islamic Countries –OIC) and nations. The USA, UK and the European Union countries consider themselves Christian countries. In the Christian and Muslim countries and Bharat there are people who do not belong to Christianity, Islam or Hinduism. Numerically, they are minorities. In the Muslim countries, the minority non-Muslims are second class citizens in the nature of **dhimmis**. In some Muslim countries, like Saudi Arabia, people of other religions cannot exhibit any symbols of their faith or culture. In Bharat (contemplated as Hindu country and Rashtra) in accordance with the belief and culture and civilisation of Hindus, anybody can profess and practice any religion subject to laws and rules for maintenance of law, order and morality. This is so even in Christian-majority countries.

Never in the history of Bharat, had a Hindu King imposed his brand of Hinduism on all the people nor did he discriminate against people holding a separate belief. In fact, Hinduism is a collection of different faiths, cultures, beliefs and modes of worship but all of them having a common thread in the sense that the same God is conceived in different names, different forms and different attributes **without any contradiction between them and therefore no contradiction and no quarrels and no conflicts between people believing in and worshiping different Gods and Goddesses.** As long as the view and life and culture and

civilisation of this Hindu majority is respected, (not merely tolerated) people of no other faith need have any fear.

Hindus do not believe in gaining numbers by converting people of Islam or Christianity to Hinduism . But those Hindus who for various reasons had to embrace or were pulled into the non -Indic religions, Islam and Christianity, wish to come back to Hinduism; are welcome to come back to their former or ancestors' Dharma, i.e Hinduism. That was the purpose of **Shuddhi movement** launched by the Arya Samaj in the last quarter of the 19th century and the **ghar vapasi** that has been going on since then (and vociferously denounced by “ secularists”, those courting Muslims and Christians for their votes)

Islam and Christianity look upon one another as well upon Hinduism as an imperfect or a partially true or totally unacceptable religion/philosophy. Islam and Christianity are mutually intolerant and are together intolerant of Hinduism. That is why both of them are engaged in massive financially funded missions of converting Hindus to their faith. No Muslim country allows proselytising missionary activity. The fact that 2000 years of Christian propaganda and about 1400 years of Islamic propagation and propaganda have not been able to convert even half of humanity to their respective faiths, should humble the protagonists of the respective faiths.

If they are irreverent to and intolerant of Hinduism and think that they should be finished by conversion and think and declare that if Hindus are not converted, they would go to hell and incur the wrath of their Gods, **then Hindus can reciprocate the same feelings towards those people although it is not in their grain**. That the conversions from Hinduism to Islam and Christianity were only by the patronage of the rulers to those religions and because of falsehoods, inducements and even violence, is a historic fact. Some scribes like Al Beruni who accompanied the Islamic invaders into India recorded the fact of enslavement as well as desecration , destruction and forced conversions. The Portuguese in Goa resorted to **inquisition** and tens of thousands of

Hindus had not only been forcibly converted but even burnt to death for refusing to convert. The history of conversion of Hindus to Islam and Christianity is a sordid tale which should not repeat .

It is absolutely untrue that the ancestors of the Muslim residents of what remains as India had rejected the concept of two nations and Jinnah and his Pakistan and accepted Gandhiji as their leader. In the 1946 general elections to the Provincial Legislatures and the Central Legislative Assembly in Delhi, Muslims had a separate electorate . 98.3% of them had voted for the partition -demanding two-nation theory - asserting Muslim League and rejected the Congress of secular Gandhi, socialist Nehru and nationalist Maulana Abul Kalam Azad, Rafi Ahmed Kidwai and Asaf Ali all of whom had little following among Muslims. They were Muslim leaders of Hindu Congress -men. It is astounding that the learned Sunni delegation is telling the false-hood of their ancestors accepting Gandhiji's leadership, rejection of Jinnah's two-nation theory and partition and Pakistan . It is this type of false hood that appears to be practised to fool Hindus.

This delegation said that the **Muslims would not recite Vandemataram or Bharat Mataki Jai**. This is definitely unacceptable. It shows that they are loyal to their religion and not to this country. Patriotism requires love of the land . People have died for the defense of their land. Even communists hold their land as mother -land and father -land. Muslims are known to be believing in a borderless world -wise community of Muslims. Their loyalty is to that **Umma** and not to the territory in which they live. That is why we had the **khilafat** movement and various Muslim organisations even today demonstrating in favour of the Muslims of Palestine, **while keeping absolute silence about the expulsion of Hindu pundits from Muslim majority Kashmir Valley**. In the 67 and over years of independent India's history, which Muslim organisation has condemned the terrorists' deeds in this country and the expulsion of Hindus from Kashmir? Is not this Delegation of Sunnis aware that some Muslim organisations in Hyderabad and Delhi have brought Rohingya Muslims of Bangladeshi origin (who had settled in Myanmar and are now expelled from there as infiltrators and illegal residents)? These Rohingyas are being settled in

Hyderabad and Delhi and elsewhere. Has any Muslim organisation taken the initiative of sheltering the Hindu Pandits expelled from Kashmir? Is it proper that the Muslim citizens of this country harbour Muslims from other countries but have no sense of brotherhood and common citizenship towards their fellow Hindu citizens. .

Do the Muslims accept the evidence of history in regard to the tens of thousands of temples that have been destroyed by Muslim invaders and rulers the construction of Mosques on the very places where there were temples or just by their side? Just take three examples. Do they think that a mosque by the side of the Kesavdev (Krishna) temple in Mathura, a mosque by the side of Viswanath's temple in Kasi and a mosque by the side, if not on the very site, of Rama's temple in Ayodhya were due to no place for them elsewhere? Were they not planted to proclaim the victory of invaders and to remind the vanquished Hindus of their "impotence" and subjugation to Islam? (Read Arnold Tynbee, the historian-philosopher's allusion* to these brutal and vandal acts) .

As long as the historic wrongs are not acknowledged and the ideology behind it is not repudiated, will not Hindus be justified in viewing Muslims with suspicion?

Is there any Moslem country including Pakistan which provides safe guards, special rights and privileges and rights to non-Moslem religious minorities as India provides in its Constitution and laws? Why is it that the 19% Hindu- Sikh population in what is now Pakistan, reduced to 1% and why is it that over 30% Hindu -Buddhist population in Bangladesh reduced to 7% and continues to be reduced? And this, while the Muslim population in India is growing faster than the rest and rose from 10% in 1951 to 14.5% by 2011?

Has any Muslim organisation in this country condemned the infiltration of Bangladeshi Muslims into Assam and West Bengal? Do they think that Hindus can view with equanimity the alarming growth of Muslim population in the border districts of West Bengal, Bihar and Assam? Can Hindus be not alarmed by the Muslims demand for carving out Muslim majority districts like Malappuram and Kasargode in Kerala and Mewat in Haryana?

*** Aurangzeb: Kashi and Mathura:**

"Aurangzeb's purpose in building these mosques (Kashi and Mathura) was the same intentionally offensive political purpose that moved the Russians to build their Cathedral in the city center at Warsaw. I must say that Aurangzeb was a veritable genius for picking out provocative sites. Aurangzeb and Phillip –II of Spain are a pair. They are incarnations of the gloomy fanatical vein in Christian, Muslim and Jewish family of religions.....Perhaps the Poles were really kinder in destroying the Russians' self discrediting monuments in Warsaw than you (Indians) have been in sparing Aurangzeb's mosques. – Arnold Toynbee, The world famous historian and philosopher in his Azad Memorial Lecture at Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Mumbai -1963

Is there one country in the world which has separate minority welfare department, minority commissions, minority educational institutions, state-owned minority finance corporations; and is there one non-Muslim country which subsidises Muslims' Haj pilgrimage like India?

Why is it that while in the overwhelmingly Hindu majority states of Assam, Bihar, Rajasthan and Maharashtra, Muslims could become Chief Ministers, as no Hindu can become a Chief Minister in J&K where the Hindu population is over 35%?

Why is it that while BC groups among Muslims are given reservation meant for Hindu BCs. Muslims are still demanding that all Muslims by dint of their religion, must get reservation as a religious minority?

Why, while miniscule religious minorities like Parsis and Jews don't feel insecure, don't ask for reservations, Muslims only are asking?

Why, when the Government of India subsidises Muslims' Haj and takes care of those going to Makka, the Muslim government of J&K, not only not facilitate Hindus' Amarnath Yatra but creates hurdles?

Do not all these sectarian action make Hindus question the loyalty of Muslims to this country and their commitment to the integrity of this

country and to the nationhood of the people and brother-hood of all people?

Pakistan which your forbears carved out of India Saudi Arabia, Iran, Malaysia and fifty others are Muslim countries, members of the Organisation of Islamic countries (OIC). Islam is said to be a religion of Peace and justice. Would Muslims be satisfied if India gives to you the same rights and treatment which those Islamic countries give to their minorities? Why should Hindus agree to give you, Muslims which you don't give to Hindus (Sikhs, Buddhists and other non-Muslims) in countries where members of your Umma are the majority?

You say that you accept India's constitution and are loyal to it. Why then do you Muslims oppose the introduction of Uniform Civil Code (Article-44) and ban on cow slaughter (Article-48) ? Does not Muslims' opposition to some articles of the Constitution and acceptance of only some mean that you are loyal to your religion and not to the Constitution of India?

Hinduism is not a religion in the sense Islam and Christianity are. There are thousands of castes in the Dharma. No one caste has a majority. Each one is a miniscule minority, compared to Muslims (or sects among them). Why then should Moslems only be considered minorities? If there can be religious (and linguistic) minorities, why cannot castes be considered minorities and given the same privileges and rights and protection as Muslims ?

In South Africa, the White European settler rulers reduced the Black majority to slavery, converted them to Christianity and confined them to ghettos . For more than 200 years they ruled over them and ruined them. When at last the black majority gained self-rule, the former white Christian rulers became the powerless minority. If the black majority wanted to expel them, they could have done. In fact, there is historic precedent for it. Spain was for over 600 years ruled by foreign Muslim invaders. The local Christian people were converted to Islam and their Churches were converted into Mosques. But when the Christian kings of Europe conquered Spain, and ended the Muslim rule, they converted

all the Muslims back to Christianity - the counter -part of Shuddhi and ghar vapasi. Those who did not agree had to move out to Morocco.

In South Africa, the noble Nelson Mandela constituted the **Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC)** and gave a chance to the former exploitative white Christian rulers to confess their atrocities and crimes. The Black natives were also required to confess any atrocities they committed. The outcome was the confessions and the gracious pardoning of one group by the other group. That is how between the former racist, minority, foreign- origin, rulers and the majority native people was brought about. The truth of atrocities of the Muslim invaders and rulers are denied by Muslim residents of India. This denial is not only resented by Hindus but leads them to believe that the Muslim residents are not truly fraternal and desi i.e patriotic. We must have a Truth and Reconciliation Commission as the first step to tackle the Muslim question in this country.

Dt: 4/03/2015

Mother Teresa's Motive

The RSS Chief Sri Mohanji Bhagawat is not at all wrong in his observation that Mother Teresa was interested in gaining converts and her activities in charity and shelter for the destitutes are only means of converting as many unfortunates as possible to Christianity. Apart from what Sri Naveen Chawla has written about Mother Teresa in her biography, "Mother Teresa" referred to by Smt Meenakshi Lekhi, MP, Sri Aroop Chatterji in his book, "**The Final Verdict**" has extensively recorded Mother Teresa's activities, her acceptance of donations from drug peddlers and such criminals and her letters to the Governor of a State in the USA pleading for the release of a drug peddler undergoing jail sentence and so on. He further deposed before bodies in London and Vatican engaged in Mother Teresa's beatification and laid bare Mother Teresa's unbecoming and sinful associations with criminals and her limitless desire for publicity and converts out of destitutes.

What Gandhiji has observed about the Christian missionaries is worth knowing. Gandhiji gave an interview to the press in Delhi on March 21, 1931 (asked if he would favour the retention of American and other foreign missionaries when India secured self- government, Gandhi said, **“if instead of confining themselves purely to humanitarian work and material service to the poor, they do proselytising by means of media aid, education etc, I would certainly ask them to withdraw. Every nation’s religion is as good as any other. Certainly India’s religions are adequate for her people. We made no converting spirituality. (p157)**

The Harijan dated, May 11, 1935 published an interview given by Gandhiji to a missionary nurse before that date. The nurse asked him, **“would you prevent missionaries coming to India in order to baptise?”** Gandhiji replied, **“if I had power and could legislate I should certainly stop all proselytising. It is the cause of much avoidable conflict between classes and unnecessary heart burning among the missionaries.... in Hindu house -holds the advent of a missionary has made the disruption of the family coming in the wake of change of dress, manners language food and drink.”....** “vilification of Hindu religion is there, in Murdac’s Books sold in mission depots by missionary societies. There is nothing but vilification of Hinduism in those books.(P 171)

One Prof. Krzenski according to whom “Catholicism is the only true religion”, had a discussion with Gandhiji on January 2, 1937. The dialogue was reported in the Harijan of January 16, 1937.

Gandhiji, said “do you therefore say that other religions are untrue?”

Krzenski - “If others are convinced that their religions are true, they are saved”.

Gandhiji - “Therefore you will say that everyone would be saved even through untruth. For you say that if a man really and sincerely believes in what is as a matter of fact untruth, he is saved. Would you not halos hold, therefore that you one way may be untrue but that you are convinced that it is true and therefore you will be saved”.

Krzenski - “Claimed that he had studied and compared all religions and found his own to be the best” .

Gandhiji - to the professor, “your position is arrogant”.

Krzenski - then talked of fighting materialism

Gandhiji said, “it is no use trying to fight these forces without giving up **the idea of conversion which I assure you is the deadliest poison which ever sapped the fountain of truth”.**

And in this manner, Gandhiji decisively turned down the attempts of several Christian missionaries and intellectuals to get him to accept missionary activity in India. Gandhiji rightly understood how disruptive the conversion activity is.

In fact, in the Gospel of according to the Apostle Luke Jesus Christ said,

51 “Do you suppose that I came to give peace on earth? I tell you, not at all, but rather division.

52 “For from now on five in one house will be divided: three against two, and two against three

53: “Father will be divided against son and son against father, mother against daughter and daughter against mother.

-Ch 12: Gospel According to Luke

A Roman Catholic Father came to see Gandhiji on March 5, 1937 and after long discussion expressed his fear of Hindus coming to power in free India. “When Hinduism comes to power,” he asked, will it not make a united front against Christianity. There are the signs of Hinduism coming to power (how prophetic he was - BJP, a not Hindu -hating party has indeed come to power in 2014) and if it happens here, as it is happening in Spain, Indian Christians will be despised and persecuted and swept off”. Gandhiji told him that his fear was imaginary. “There is no such thing as Hindu rule, there will be no such thing.....let me tell you that no Hindu in his wildest imagination ever thought of this..... Hinduism was well able to destroy the first Christians that came. Why did it not do

anything of the kind? Travancore is a brilliant example of toleration”. (p.197)

That Christian groups before 1947 as well as now have been and are rabidly confronting Hindus can be seen from the following event. “The Punjab Indian Christians association held a meeting at Lahore on November 4, 1944 and adopted a resolution that “in view of **Gandhiji’s statement that conversion is the deadliest poison that ever sapped the fountain of truth**, this meeting urges the leaders of the community (Christian) all over India to make it known to all concerned that to preach the gospel is the definite command of our master and an integral part of the Christians Religion and that therefore **no constitution for India will be acceptable to the community which does not guarantee freedom to every citizen to propagate his faith** and every adult to change his religion at his own free will without any legal let or hindrance.... (p 255)

The 10th Triennial meeting of the National Christian Council of India Burma and Ceylon was held in November 1946. The meeting set up a commission for making recommendations on “the church in a self-governing India”. One Bishop C.K. Jacob read a paper in the Meeting: the Churches in India according to him were in a transition period. “Till the Churches are established on firm footing, **they should continue to receive financial aid from the old Churches in the West. Not only for the building up of the Church but for extending the evangelistic work in areas not yet touched, funds are needed by every section of the Church.** (p 260/261)

And this is how the Christian Churches, missionaries and their NGOs and fawning secular vote mongers justify the free flow of foreign funds to the extent of over Rs.10,000cr per year for the conversion gangs.

What we are witnessing in India in the Hindu homes is precisely this because of the foreign funded intensive and extensive conversion activity. This has to be resisted and put an end to. If the missionaries and converting troops invoke the right to propagate and convert, then

Hindus must be equally assertive of their right to preserve their faith and to resist conversion of their people to Christianity. They must assert their right to bring back those Hindus who by various stratagems had been weaned away from the mother religion, Hinduism.

The resources of temples, namely the monies offered by the devotees must have as their first charge the support to **ghar vapsi** programs and extensive and intensive propagation of **dharma** and exposing the inequities, imperfections, and intolerances indulged in by the proselytising religions.

Note: Reference to pages in brackets are in the book, “History of Hindu-Christian Encounters (AD 304 to 1996)” by Sita Ram Goel published by Voice of India, Delhi.

Feel proud of Indian - ness

I was raised in Tamilnadu in South India, in the ashram of Sri Ramana Maharshi. My English father and Polish mother were dedicated followers of Sri Ramana Maharshi. After all, how could anyone even an English boy grow up in Tiruvannamalai in the ashram of Sri Ramana Maharshi, and not acquire a pride in his roots? I was surrounded by Indians who were proud of their nationality and heritage. They believed they had a lot to teach us Europeans.

It is therefore with some misgiving that today I find myself dealing with Indians, many of whom do not feel proud of their Indianness. Indians are recognized throughout America as technically superior. Since the day Indians learn pride, India will rapidly move out of its third world status to become one of the world’s industrial powers.

-Adam Osborne, the co-founder (along with Steve Job) of Apple Computers writing for Dataquest magazine